

Broxtowe Community Governance Review 2023 - Stage 2 Consultation Responses

ID	Response	Support or Object
BBC1	<p>My property is directly impacted this proposal and I write in opposition to it. I have owned my home and been resident within Greasley for coming up to 30 years this September. I have had a very great deal of contact with every tier of government from the MP, County Council, Broxtowe and also Greasley PC in the area within that time.</p> <p>Greasley forms a centuries old natural and cohesive community formally established as a council for 130 years with a particular and unique identity and demographics. Greasley provides a model to other such councils and communities.</p> <p>I was aghast to learn from the Greasley PC “Save Greasley” edition of the Greasley Globe that a decision was taken by Broxtowe BC on 23rd May 2024 to “dissolve Greasley and transfer its area to Eastwood Town and Kimberley Town”. I was previously unaware and it is unacceptable that such decisions are taken without any consultation with the residents of the Parish and surrounding areas. Decisions such as the restructuring of government itself are far too important to be taken unilaterally at council level. The residents must be directly consulted and a referendum held. I voted for the Parish Council I have and it is anti-democratic for that to be pulled out from underneath me.</p> <p>My 30 year experience of Greasley Parish Council is that those on the council plainly care for the community, give generously of their time, have the very best understanding of local issues and endeavour to do what is right. Greasley PC is the best value tier of government of all of them in my experience. The community projects that they fund, manage and support are the best run and well enjoyed by the community. They should be recognised and rewarded with additional funding for their good governance. I cannot say the same for either Broxtowe, Nottinghamshire and central government. It is these larger councils and parliament that are less effective and frankly failing in areas important to my community. I support funding being transferred away from the failing centre and placed more so at my local level with Greasley PC. Dissolving Greasley PC would be a grave error for my community and an act of community vandalism.</p> <p>Greasley PC has been the only tier of government that has been prepared to meet with me and discuss with me. Louise Turgoose, the relatively new Parish clerk is particularly excellent, welcoming and responsive every time I have had contact with her. Conversely, Broxtowe BC, Nottinghamshire CC and the previous two MPs refuse to meet with me and do not respond. When government becomes detached, autocratic, closes ranks, badly run and seeks to destroy community identity and that which is important at local level, all that is left is self reliance and civil disobedience. This change is not representative of the views of those near to me I have canvassed; all are universally opposed.</p> <p>The proposed dissolution of Greasley cannot be permitted to happen. It isn't broke, rather serves as a positive example to the rest of government and well regarded by me and those I speak to. There is no need to try and fix it. What on earth does Broxtowe think it is doing? Not in my name. Please can I be kept informed of developments on this very important matter.</p>	Object
BBC10		Object

BBC11	<p>I strongly object to the dissolution of Greasley Parish Council (GPC). GPC provides great services at reasonable costs and is now being put at risk by a boundary review driven by goodness knows who at Broxtowe Borough Council (BBC)?</p> <p>I have not been given information on any potential benefits of the dissolution, probably there are none? I have no confidence in Eastwood Town Council that will be the majority of any future amalgamated council, just look at the state of Eastwood town centre and High street for example.</p> <p>Also I am aware that precedent has been set in the High Court finding in favour of a number of parish councils when being threatened with dissolution by Principal Councils. I can find no example of where a Principal Council has succeeded against the wishes of parish council or residents. I would be disappointed if you weren't already aware of this. Yet you still continue at great risk?</p> <p>The costs of this vanity project shouldered by Taxpayers is ridiculous. Councils pleading poverty yet pursuing objectives that no one wants or needs. This whole process should be brought to a halt immediately and focus BBC resources on worthwhile causes. If you are struggling to identify any feel free to contact me and I have a long list.</p> <p>If you persist with this folly I'm confident GPC will pursue legal advice and more than likely succeed looking at previous cases. I expect GPC to also look to recover costs as this whole charade has no merit whatsoever and is a complete waste of taxpayer money.</p>	Object
BBC13	<p>Regarding the assets of Greasley parish council, namely the allotments, community/sports centre, play areas, offices etc</p> <p>I have ask a few people and now one can answer who will take on these assets, how they will be financially supported and if they will be protected in the future from selling the land on (asset stripping) to raise money or if they will be protected by law for the future residents of the area</p> <p>If BBC get their way, where will the finances from Greasiey and any savings/investment the parish have as well as any additional land or debts go</p> <p>A decision or acceptance of any BBC proposal can not be considered by residents until these queries are answer, it is not just about movie boundaries to remove an old rural parish council, further information is required</p>	Object
BBC14	<p>I received a letter with information about abolishing the Greasley parish. I have to say I am quite disappointed with this decision as I believe the Greasley Parish has a well established identity and community. They are supporting a lot of local charities, provide excellent service and have a massive tradition and history, that would be all lost if you go ahead.</p> <p>Please don't go ahead with this. We would lose all the good stuff happening and it just does not feel right or justified. Please keep the Greasley Parish council.</p>	Object

BBC15	<p>Re: Review of Parish Councils and Boundaries</p> <p>Further to your latest invitation to comment upon the Council's amended proposals I note there was no option to object wholeheartedly to them. The invitation therefore, is biased.</p> <p>Secondly, the enormity of the public expense which the Council is incurring on these ridiculous, unreasonable proposals, consultations and council meetings is arguably an abuse of the fiduciary duty of the Council.</p> <p>Thirdly, the reason proposed by the Council to abolish the rural Greasley Parish and its Parish Council is supposedly for "better governance" by integrating part with the urban parish of Eastwood and part with the urban parish of Kimberley. Neither Eastwood nor Kimberley parishes as the currently stand can govern their areas even adequately - it is well known that Eastwood is the poor relation within Broxtowe Borough where no money is spent, and little is done for the residents. Adding further to the burden of both these urban parishes will impact governance, not improve it.</p> <p>The Council's amended proposals seek to fragment even more. Why, for example, are you proposing to shift just 2 properties from Mansfield Road into Brinsley Parish? Why not the entirety of the Newthorpe Grange estate (this is the entirety of the houses off Coach Drive? How can the Council pick and choose which random properties will shift one way rather than the other?</p> <p>The residents within Greasley pay for its existence and the provision of its Parish Council. It is a well-kept, historical and thriving parish. Leave it as it is please and stop this nonsense. If this is about future development by house builders, they should focus on the brown sites within the Borough - there are plenty of them.</p> <p>In summary, therefore, I object to the dissolution of Greasley Parish and its Parish Council. Please leave it alone.</p>	Object
BBC16	<p>Regarding your letter about the changes to parish boundaries I find it confusing to say the least. I understand that Greasley will no longer have a council and will be absorbed by other councils so:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many councillor will now be involved with the new council compared with the old arrangements? 2. Is it possible to send a "map" of the old councils and then the new? 3. What are parish councils responsible for? Whenever I have asked the question I am told "they are not responsible for that". 4. Parish councillor do not get paid but claim expenses so how do I find out what each councillor claims and what for? 	Not stated

<p>BBC17</p>	<p>Pls include our submission as follows.</p> <p>We can see why the proposed name is 'Eastwood and Greasley Town Council'. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> inclusion of 'Greasley' can only be to appease the current parish council and residents who, due to estate agency marketing when they purchased, think they've lived in a place called Greasley. <p>Greasley's a 'shrunken village' of 22 addresses on Church Rd, NG16 2AB. Not any addresses at Beauvale, Giltbrook, Moorgreen or Newthorpe. The ecclesiastical parish called Greasley will exist for as long as the Church wants it, but we don't include ecclesiastical parishes in postal addresses anymore.</p> <p>With Greasley civil parish no longer having any boundaries, estate agents will stop marketing houses as if that's their place name. So in the long term, calling the new council 'Eastwood and Greasley Town Council' will sound like 'Eastwood Town Council + a village 3miles away'.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> if you really want to include the name 'Greasley', think what a mouthful 'Eastwood and Greasley Town Council' is, and whether you want to keep reciting that. It's 8 syllables. <p>It would be known as EGTC, but official documents would have to keep saying 'Eastwood and Greasley Town Council', so that's what we'd read all the way through them.</p> <p>And ppl outside the area would repeatedly ask what EGTC is. It would get really tiresome, having such a long name.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the public are already confused about all the tiers of govt, and don't know that districts & boroughs are essentially the same, or that parishes & towns are essentially the same. <p>We've come across ppl thinking a town council is 1 tier above a parish council. Isn't it usually best to keep things simple? Personally, I'd suggest 'community council' for all parish & town councils in the borough, as it's more meaningful.</p> <p>Assuming you're unable to address that in the short term, to keep it most simple, I'd suggest just 'Eastwood Town Council'. Sounds like a takeover, but the merger's going to take place anyway, isn't it? So no need for PR - just practicalities. No need for changes to signage, letterhead, web address or Brox website/admin.</p> <p>Are parish wards really a good idea these days?</p> <p>People travel so much more than they did, so any cllr living within the 'parish' boundaries is interested in the area as a whole, no emphasis on their small part of it.</p> <p>And everyone would use the same town centre, so St Mary's ward would be every cllr's business (as it is now).</p> <p>You could find an election was needed bc 3 ppl wanted to stand for Eastwood Hall, while another ward didn't have enough candidates. A lot of wasted money there, every 4yrs forever more.</p> <p>Why not do like Brinsley PC, and have no wards?</p> <p>But isn't 19 town cllrs a 'bit' excessive? Surely no one wants to Chair a cttee of 19 ppl? Unwieldy. I'd have thought 9 inc Chair would be ideal. Doesn't have to be proportional to residents, surely?</p> <p>Staff: We'd recommend keeping on Greasley PC's Louise Turgoose in some capacity, if at all poss. Qualified as clerk, RFO and admin, she's been a refreshing improvement to Greasley PC.</p> <p>Brinsley PC needs someone like that. Considering how much more dysfunctional they've been with Greasley's former clerk, Brinsley residents could do with an Eastwood-Greasley-Brinsley merger...</p> <p>Thank you for reading.</p>	<p>Not stated</p>
--------------	---	-------------------

BBC18	<p>Please accept this email as an objection to the proposed changes regarding the review being undertaken by Broxtowe Borough Council as outlined in your letter of July 2024.</p> <p>Greasley Parish is a distinct, semi-rural area and its community outlook reflects that. It has a pleasing mix of countryside spaces, residential areas, retail and independent businesses all of which are well utilised in the current climate. It maintains its own identity within the surrounding built-up areas, and that is in no small part due to the hard work of the Parish Council. To allow this functioning, successful Parish to be subsumed into a much larger Town Council will be to the detriment of everyone involved.</p> <p>My great worry is that a Town Council may view its funding priorities in a very different way to a more localised, involved and supportive Parish Council. It seems difficult to argue against the view that more densely populated areas would receive more focus and, therefore, more allocated funding. In a situation like that, I fear for the future of our well-equipped and popular Sports and Community Centre, the green belt land and the older buildings with their history and recognisable architecture, all of which contribute to the Parish and its identity.</p> <p>Paragraph (ii) of your letter tells us that the new Parish Council will be named Eastwood and Greasley Town Council. If that is the case, then it won't be a Parish Council anymore. The aim would seem to be to remove the idea of the Parish altogether. It would be reasonable to assume a new Town Council would do likewise. That is not progress, that is the pursuit of power and money and further diminishes our smaller, individual communities and their place alongside the ever increasing urban sprawl.</p> <p>Please add my email to the growing list of objections to this proposal.</p>	Object
BBC19	<p>I would like to place on record my strong opposition to Greasley Parish Council being dissolved and incorporated within Eastwood. This Parish Council works very well for us residents and we want to keep it.</p> <p>If it ain't broke don't fix it.</p>	Object
BBC2	<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I support your proposal to dissolve Greasley Parish and move us into Eastwood.</p> <p>I am however concerned as I am aware Greasley Parish Council, obviously keen to try to save their council, are asking anyone who is willing to do so to write in and object. They claim you do not need to live in the parish or be affected in any way to register an objection. If these objections from people who are not in any way affected are taken into account it is likely to bias the review heavily in favour of Greasley Parish.</p>	Support
BBC20	<p>Communities with their own identifies will no longer be considered. There will be a 'blanket approach' to decisions.</p> <p>When areas are amalgamated into larger ones, the smaller but important issues are not considered and certain services will be cut.</p>	Object

BBC22	<p>Thank you for sharing the final recommendations for your Community Governance Review, which we understand are currently subject to consultation. When you are reaching your final decision, we ask that you give due consideration to any comments or concerns raised by members of the public, local organisations and other stakeholders.</p> <p>Nottinghamshire County Council notes the limited impact that the proposals would have on electors in the context of the county's division boundaries.</p> <p>Based on the final recommendations, should they proceed, Nottinghamshire County Council supports you asking the Local Government Boundary Commission for England to make the related alterations to county boundaries where necessary.</p>	Not stated
BBC23	<p>Thank you for your letter July 2024 regarding the Review.</p> <p>I would like to record my objection to the proposed changes to the current system because the Greasley Parish is a stable and successful Parish ably served by it's Councillors, and has been for over 100 years. There are no realistic benefits to the residents of rural Greasley becoming subservient to Urban Eastwood, having a minority of councillors in the new ward, therefore being easily outvoted in matters such as the use of the Community and Sports Hall, funding of a new swimming pool, Green belt land usage to name but three matters that spring to mind.</p> <p>And as an example of the possible manipulation of promotional matter in the media to make Broxtowe, and by power association Eastwood, look very good, I note the splendid article in the EASTWOOD and Kimberley Advertiser, available to all Greasley residents, detailing by [REDACTED] the wonderful improvements and extension of facilities to the Colliers Wood site, one of two parks in Greasley Parish. [REDACTED] is quoted as saying that "Colliers Wood was the first project I worked on when I was first elected back in 1995, so it's a project very close to my heart." How strange then that there was no discussion with either Greasley Parish Councillors, nor the Friends of Colliers Wood about these wonderful improvements, but maybe that is because since 1995 [REDACTED] has had little or no contact with either group regarding Colliers Wood? Has this publicity been held back until the last minute to influence voters and demonstrate how wonderful Eastwood, the lifetime home of [REDACTED], is, and that Greasley should bow down to this proposal takeover by Eastwood?</p> <p>It would be good to know when the details released by [REDACTED] were discussed and agreed in council or committee, at present I have asked for clarification but received no answer.</p> <p>As I stated much earlier in this email, I object to this proposal.</p>	Object

BBC24	<p>I am writing in my capacity as Chair of the Haggs Farm Preservation Society. This is a not-for-profit organisation dedicated to recording, celebrating and advocating for the preservation of Haggs Farm and for its restoration and future beneficial use. In particular, our interest focusses on the links between Haggs Farm and its surrounding countryside and the life and work of DH Lawrence and his relationship with the Chambers family (especially Jessie Chambers) whom he visited in the early years of the 20th century.</p> <p>While we do not have a formal conservation role, we have nevertheless had close liaison over a number of years with the Conservation officers of both Nottinghamshire County Council and Broxtowe Borough Council, and representatives of the Society attended the recent site inspection of the listed building along with the two Council officers and the estate manager of the Greasley Estate (the owners of the land), where we discussed its condition and possible future schemes for its restoration and enhanced use.</p> <p>We do not oppose the proposed parish boundary and council changes as such, although it is a shame that Haggs Farm will no longer be within Greasley parish, which has such a long history and a very close connection with DH Lawrence's growth as a person and as a writer. It may be that some of our members will wish to object or otherwise comment on the proposals as individuals, but the Society's position is neutral.</p> <p>However, on behalf of the Society I wish to make clear our great and on-going concern for the future of Haggs Farm. It is in a very poor condition, despite the fact that it is a listed building and has been kept broadly wind- and water-tight by the owners, who do carry out repairs when requested to do so.</p> <p>We strongly believe that this site of local, national and international cultural significance should not continue to be kept merely in its present state: although it is monitored and periodically repaired, it is effectively in a state of suspended animation and will gradually deteriorate further till it will eventually be beyond care.</p> <p>This is a tragedy, given how important the house was in the development of arguably the greatest 20th century English novelist. Lawrence described it and its surrounding countryside as "the country of my heart" and in a letter he called Haggs Farm "Miriam's Farm" (after the Jessie Chambers-based character Miriam Leivers in Sons & Lovers) and stated that it was "where I got my first incentive to write".</p> <p>Broxtowe Borough Council rightly celebrates the importance of Lawrence: the Council's recently-published Cultural Strategy document makes this clear. But a number of Lawrence-related landmarks - for instance the Brinsley headstocks - have been lost or seriously neglected. Haggs Farm - which is probably the single most important place linked with Lawrence in the district - is a seriously neglected cultural asset. I should say that the Greasley estate have done a magnificent job in maintaining the surrounding countryside, much of which remains largely as Lawrence described it in his writings. But Haggs Farm, which is the jewel in the crown, is seriously neglected and endangered. Indeed, it is difficult to see how the building can survive many more years unless significant remedial work is carried out.</p> <p>The Haggs Farm Preservation Society is keen to go beyond 'mere' preservation: we feel that the building should be brought back into beneficial use in a viable way but which respects and celebrates its cultural importance. We discussed some of the possibilities at the recent site visit and we would like to move that discussion forward along with the relevant interested parties, including the Councils and the owners.</p> <p>I say this now, because the Society considers that it is vital that the protection, restoration, enhancement and beneficial use of Haggs Farm are crucial factors to be taken into account by policy-makers and administrators. We therefore urge Broxtowe Borough Council and Brinsley Parish Council to prioritise Haggs Farm into the future. It is so much more than a boarded-up farmhouse: it was the cradle in which the talent of the district's greatest son was nurtured and developed. While the genius was his, without the environment at Haggs Farm and his interaction with the Chambers family and Jessie in particular, Lawrence may never have been able to develop into the world-famous writer that he became.</p>	Not stated
-------	---	------------

	<p>Any future schemes will cost a great deal of money, but we believe that funds could be obtained from a number of external sources and in due course the house could become a self-financing cultural business. We would be keen to play a role in developing future strategies for Hags Farm. We urge Broxtowe Borough Council and Brinsley Parish Council (if the proposed changes are implemented) to do everything they can - in partnership with us and others - to secure and optimise the future of Hags Farm for the lasting benefit of the district, its residents and visitors.</p>	
BBC25	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am a resident and amenity user in Greasley and I strongly object to your proposal to abolish/dissolve Greasley Parish Council.</p> <p>I am yet to see or hear of any benefit to the residents of Greasley or any other area from the abolition other than it is the result of a boundary review? Surely any benefit should be first and foremost to this proposal and be well publicised to all concerned.</p> <p>I am also confident that your proposal is illegal and precedent has already been set in the High Court. Please see Britwell Parish Council, R (on the application of) v Slough Borough Council [2019] EWHC 998.</p> <p>In short the court held that the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 requires District councils to have regard to Guidance on Community Governance Reviews which insists there is clear and sustained support for abolition/dissolution of a Parish council.</p> <p>I don't believe you have met any of the legal requirements to abolish Greasley parish council but have instead forced Greasley parish council to spend tax payers money to organise a campaign and petition to prevent its abolition. The legal onus is clearly on the principal council to investigate but not initiate any support from residents or councillors to abolish or dissolve parish council.</p> <p>I would suggest that you halt the abolition process forthwith preventing further waste of public money. However, if you do choose to continue my advice to Greasley parish councillors will be to pursue Broxtowe borough council through legal process.</p>	Object
BBC26	<p>I write on behalf of Eastwood Town Council to thank you for your continued communication and updates with reference to the consultation for the community governance review.</p> <p>Taking into account the official closing date of 6th October 2024, the attached response from Eastwood Town Council is attached, as approved at the last Town Council meeting held 9th September 2024.</p> <p>Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you require any additional information.</p> <p>---</p> <p>See separate letter at end of document</p>	Mixed
BBC27	<p>Following on from the Community Governance Review published on 4th June 2024, Kimberley Town council support Broxtowe Borough Council's Final Recommendations other than the name change. We would respectfully request the name remains as Kimberley, not changed to Kimberley and Watnall.</p>	Mixed

BBC28	<p>Further to correspondence received, I object to the boundary changes.</p> <p>I live in Watnall and take pride in being part of Greasley parish - currently the Watnall Ward.</p> <p>I feel that by becoming part of a bigger parish - it would diminish the Community feel of where I live and is to the detriment of the local area as would not be part of a semi-rural community which it is currently. I do not understand how the needs of the community could be met by a different local council.</p>	Object
BBC29	<p>I would like to respond to the Community Governance Review letter dated July 2024 from Broxtowe Borough Council. My response is as follows:</p> <p>As a Watnall resident I fully support the proposed way forward outlined in the letter, previously presented as Option 1 in the previous round of consultation, with the following 3 comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This view is supported by the residents of Watnall, based on a consultation offered to the whole of Watnall earlier in the year. 2. I spoke to Parish Councillors at Greasley in 2 recent consultation events and it is clear that while there may be some valid questions to be clarified regarding the boundary between Eastwood and Greasley (as is), there is no argument (and none was presented at these events) that Watnall should not be aligned with Kimberley rather than Greasley 3. If the proposals are accepted the name of the new Kimberley area should be retained as Kimberley, not Kimberley and Watnall. The cost of changing signs, emblems and stationary etc cannot be justified. Until now, Kimberley wasn't called Kimberley and Swingate, and similarly Greasley wasn't called Greasley and Watnall - there is no justification for not keeping this simple and cost effective. 	Support
BBC3	<p>I have now read through the papers recently sent with your letter July 2024 which was the first communication received from Broxtowe Borough Council. I have some observations.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There has been no clear reason as to why it would be effective to merge our parish and town councils. 2. There is no clear support from residents to take this action-only Eastwood Town Council's wish. Is there any reason I wonder why this suggestion has been accepted? 3. There is some support for amending some boundaries from a number of residents. 4. There is no valid reason for the name of Smithurst to emerge as a new ward / polling area as far as I can see. What is wrong with keeping Greasley as it is? 5. If you move areas and boundaries around impacting on small settlements like Felley. Where does that leave High Park Cottages and small settlements from Moorgreen reservoir up to Greasley Church too? Just small parcels of people. We belong in Greasley. 6. There is clear support to keep the historic parish of Greasley from residents and the parish council. This is a sound reason to keep Greasley, whatever the whole mish mash of a local council you end up with. Where does Moorgreen come in? This whole thing is NOT a Town Council! 	Object
BBC31	<p>See separate letter from Greasley Parish Council at end of document</p>	Object

BBC33	<p>At no point in the existing review have you detailed why Broxtowe Borough Council believes option 1 (abolishing Greasley parish) is the best solution.</p> <p>If there are anomalies with the parish boundaries then surely these could be solved with a revised option 2 – taking into account of feedback from the properties affected. In my opinion this would give the best outcome with respect to delivering community engagement and better local democracy.</p> <p>Based on the previous consultations there appears to be no strongly preferred option. Therefore any changes will result in pleasing some people at the expense of others. How with this improve community cohesion?</p> <p>If you go ahead with option 1 have you considered the practicalities of dividing the assets of Greasley parish? Has there been any review on the impact to residents (e.g. viability of the parish leisure centre on Dovecote Road, changes to the precept)? Unfortunately the final recommendation made to the Borough Council is light on any detail of how such a change will affect residents.</p> <p>The part of the existing Greasley parish incorporated into the new Eastwood and Greasley Town parish will be represented by seven councillors (versus 12 for Eastwood). I would be concerned that parish council decisions could be made with preference to Eastwood rather than the residual part of Greasley.</p> <p>Therefore I do not support Broxtowe’s draft recommendation of option 1.</p>	Object
BBC34		Support
BBC35	<p>Having read the proposals and rationale for the review, I see no compelling reason for the dissolution of Greasley Parish as a single entity. It appears that the main reason for the proposal is administrative neatness. This completely ignores the value which the Parish Council currently brings to the residents within its boundary. It provides an effective forum for local representation and helps maintain the integrity and distinctive identity of the Parish (recognizing the historical lineage of Greasley).</p> <p>In its present form, the Parish Council also provides many public facilities, not least the only public indoor sports facilities in the north of the borough. I have no confidence that, if merged with Eastwood, that these facilities would be maintained. And given the recent performance of the Borough Council in respect of Kimberley Sports Centre and its demise, I have no confidence that it would effectively manage the Greasley Sports centre if this was required as a consequence of this boundary review.</p> <p>And finally, the distribution of current Greasley Parish Councillors among the remaining 4 parishes would , I believe, dilute their influence, to the detriment of the value, identity and integrity of the existing Parish area and its residents.</p>	Object
BBC36	<p>We don’t wish to have the value of our home affected due to the poor decisions made in Eastwood. As of now Greasley house value is considerably different and a great prospect for future buyers. If this was to be approved and go through to Eastwood then the crime rates would surely affect the housing market and credibility in the Greasley area.</p>	Object
BBC37	<p>We strongly feel that Greasley has a more rural identity than the other areas to be included and the proposal will not reflect the interests of this community. These interests and the existing Parish Council will be swallowed up under the new scheme.</p>	Object
BBC38	<p>Greasley Parish Council boundaries no longer have any relevance to the communities arising from housing estates etc built since 1945 and have no logical basis. I support the abolition of Greasley Parish as the new boundaries make a lot more sense in terms of defining separate communities and no longer splitting such communities in half.</p>	Support
BBC39	<p>please leave us alone, the present system works just fine. if something isn’t broken please don’t try to fix it.</p>	Object
BBC4		Support

<p>BBC40</p>	<p>As a former Senior Policy Officer for a large Government Department, I am well aware that policy decisions should be evidence-based and take into account the views of all major stakeholders.</p> <p>Having been a resident for over 30 years, it came as a surprise to learn that a decision had been taken within Browtowe Borough Council to recommend that Greasley Parish Council should be dissolved. I was not aware of the initial consultation and feel that a mailshot to all residents would have yielded better coverage and allowed more residents to contribute before this important recommendation was put forward by Broxtowe Borough Council.</p> <p>Nevertheless, I have read through the numerous comments objecting to Option 1, as published in the final report issued on 20th May. I agree with the majority of those comments, in particular that Eastwood and Greasley have such different demographics that a merger would surely be of detriment to the residents of Greasley, with the majority of resources being directed towards the more deprived areas of Eastwood and a deterioration of services within the existing Greasley Parish.</p> <p>What surprises me is that no evidence appears to have been presented by the Task and Finish Group to explain why the many objections were disregarded. Many valid arguments were put forward in the comments received and I can only add comments similar to those already presented. However, as they have already been ignored, there appears little point in repeating them again!</p> <p>Guidance on Community Governance Reviews (issued by the Local Government Boundary Commission) clearly states that where a Parish Council is to be abolished, there should be clear support for such a move within the local community. On that basis, would it have been appropriate to have conducted a poll of local residents, setting out the arguments for and against? Even without such a poll, it is apparent from the report issued on 20th May that there was more opposition from residents to Option 1 than there was support for it. In light of such opposition, I am left wondering on what basis the Task and Finish Group felt able to recommend Option 1?</p> <p>I suggest that in making a decision without sufficient evidence of support from within the local community, Browxtowe Borough Council is potentially leaving itself open to legal challenge. Not only would this be costly, but it could also have a reputational impact on the authority.</p> <p>Finally, I question the cost of such changes and whether in these cash-strapped times, Broxtowe Borough Council is getting best value by changing local governance arrangements. The associated expense of re-branding, changing signs, headed paper, changing staffing contracts, etc., etc. must be enormous! Has anyone costed the gains and balanced them against the cost of change? It seems to me there are higher priorities that Council Taxes could be spent on at this point in time!</p> <p>I am clearly opposed to the idea of dissolving Greasley Parish Council and hope you will consider the suggestion of conducting a poll to confirm whether there is sufficient support within the wider community for such a major change.</p>	<p>Object</p>
--------------	---	---------------

<p>BBC5</p>	<p>Review of Parish Council and Boundaries</p> <p>I am very concerned about Greasley Parish Council being dissolved as I cannot see the benefit to the electorate of both Eastwood and Greasley, why such a change is happening.</p> <p>The decision has been made by members of Broxtowe Borough Council, the majority of whom do not understand how well managed Greasley Parish Council is to the electorate of the Parish. I hope that the Councillors, who represent all the wards affected abstained from the vote, especially when they represent more than one area, such as Greasley and Eastwood, Kimberley and Greasley.</p> <p>Broxtowe Borough Council is on the other side of Nottingham from Eastwood/Greasley; therefore, the Council is only really interested in Beeston. This has always been a moan of the local residents in this area.</p> <p>The Councillors are suddenly interested in changing historical boundaries and for what reason. This has not been explained. Are there any other motives such as building on green land or passing over the running of Greasley Leisure Centre/Parish Hall to Liberty Leisure, who could not manage running Kimberley Leisure Centre. The costs to the public will increase if Liberty Leisure take over the running of the Leisure Centre/Parish Hall as they are a Limited Company, therefore, it will be a detriment to the users.</p> <p>In the last 30 years since my family and I have lived in Eastwood the running of the parks has been given over to Broxtowe Borough Council and Liberty Leisure organises the Christmas Lights event in Eastwood. The only events that Eastwood Town Council run is Remembrance Sunday and any similar events as and when they occur. Eastwood Town Council is responsible for 2 allotment sites.</p> <p>Car parking charges have happened in Eastwood in the last 30 years. A decision made by Broxtowe Borough Councillors to the detriment of the Town as it needs people to shop in the Town instead of travelling to supermarkets. I am afraid that the thriving Town my family and I live in has gone downhill as the shops are now mainly run by charities, fast food, cafes, hairdressers and public houses. All the big companies have left the Town and there is nothing of interest to attract visitors.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council manages 2 parks, children’s playgrounds, the leisure centre, Greasley Gathering and their own Christmas event. All of these issues are for the benefit to the local electorate and people from other areas such as Eastwood, Kimberley, Watnall and Nuthall. Greasley Parish Council precept has not increased in the last 3 years and the Leisure Centre fees have not increased. Which supports the comment that the Council are well managed for the benefit of the residents.</p> <p>My understanding is that Greasley Parish Council have asked for comments through posting leaflets to all the residents of the Parish, informing them of the issues. From residents who have replied, which is currently over 10% regarding the proposal, I am informed the majority have stated that there should not be any changes to the boundaries.</p> <p>Will the members of Broxtowe Borough Council take any notice of the wishes of the residents of Greasley parish or are they to be ignored?</p> <p>Therefore, please treat my reply as objecting to the proposals.</p>	<p>Object</p>
-------------	--	---------------

BBC6	<p>I hope my correspondence finds you well, I am writing to express my interest in understanding the proposed amendments to the parish boundaries and the rationale behind proposals.</p> <p>I seeking to gain a clear insight into how such adjustments might affect residents, local governance, and the overall sense of community within our Parish.</p> <p>Changes to Parish boundaries can have a significant impact on various aspects of community life, including resource allocation, representation in local government and delivery of services. Understanding the reasons for these amendments is crucial for residents like myself who wish to be informed and engaged in our community.</p> <p>Could you please provide me with clarification on following points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reasons for Amendments 2. Community Impact 3. Future considerations <p>Understanding the rationale behind the proposals will help foster a sense of unity and transparency within our parish.</p>	Not stated
BBC7	<p>Review of Parish Councils and Boundaries.</p> <p>I am in receipt of a letter from you outlining the proposed changes as above and have the following observations and questions.</p> <p>The existing Parish Council for Greasley appears to be doing an excellent job and are doing it voluntarily. Why would you then propose to abolish them and swallow them up into another area that has no local working knowledge.</p> <p>If economics is the motivation for this exercise. What are the savings?</p> <p>What has been the cost of the review, particularly in relation to the projected savings?</p> <p>Finally your letter does not give any information as to why this review is even necessary in the first place or what your motives are for initiating it.</p>	Not stated
BBC8		Not stated

BBC9	<p>What might be thought of this email because it isn't going to be lengthy. I am against proposals to change the parish councils. Leave things as they are, not make changes at cost.</p> <p>I've listed my reasons why to the Parish council in support of them.</p> <p>I notice that when people do complain the answer is that they have not heard complaints from people. Well you have this one. Many people, however, who won't be putting pen to paper are against but because they don't it can't be assumed they are for the idea.</p> <p>don't want Leave alone. I don't want to be part of someone else's parish. I don't want to have my catchment area for our schools taken away.</p> <p>OBJECTION.</p>	Object
GPC1	<p>The crime rate for Eastwood is amongst the top 5 most dangerous small towns in Notts + is the 25th most dangerous out of Nottinghamshires 236 towns villages & cities</p> <p>Greasley Parish is the 2nd safest parish in Nottinghamshire + comes 94th overall in the county.</p> <p>By combining Greasley with Eastwood town, it would massage the crime figures downwards for Eastwood making the numbers appear better than they are</p>	Object
GPC10		Object
GPC100	Serious worry for the continuation of the fantastic greenbelt and would hate to ever see this reduce.	Object
GPC1000	<p>(Response is damaged so can only see a very small part of it and cannot piece together the comments)</p> <p>Please inform me of any progress. Not a way to impose further ??? to me as a O.A.P born in 1944 at Bells Lane estate. Always lived in the current Area & went to local schools</p>	Object
GPC101		Object
GPC102	<p>"I object to Broxtowe Borough Council proposed abolition of Greasley Parish Council. I moved to Watnall for the surrounding greenbelt land and to be on the edge of urban life. Greasley Parish Council has successfully protected the fields of greenbelt land in the past, and I fear that the newly proposed council boundaries will not have the same ethos. Greasley Sports and social club is a thriving community asset, and Kimberley town Council have already proved they have no interest in providing health and wellbeing sports facilities by allowing the Kimberley swimming pool to close. Greasley Parish Council organises the Greasley Happening every year which brings the whole community together for a fun day of events. Merging the Greasley Parish into two other larger areas leaves me worrying that our council tax will always be spent on those towns now instead of the outlying Watnall and Greasley areas."</p>	Object
GPC103		Object
GPC104		Object
GPC105	The parish of Greasley is an institution therefore should be upheld.	Object
GPC106		Object

GPC107		Not stated
GPC108		Object
GPC109	The parish of Greasley has served us well over the last 40 years that we have lived here. We see no purpose or what benefits we shall receive from moving. It's another step nearer to joining us with the city, a definite no from us.	Object
GPC11	It will effect everything. I look after alot of young disabled people who like routine and will be very upset	Object
GPC110	I have lived here for 60 years and this is a complete waste of tax payers money. Also Broxtowe Borough Council should be ashamed of itself for Recommending this and should Reconsider its Actions	Object
GPC111	I have always felt since moving here 35 years ago that the local parish council offers substantial benefits over a wider "town council" such as ensuring community events continue to run annyally as well as weekly, I look forward to enjoying the benefit of an allotment in the future along with the community spirit that being part of a parish council brings	Object
GPC112	I don't feel that we need to	Object
GPC113	I would prefer to keep Greasley Parish as it is. The reason being why change something that isn't effecting the local community The local events are a great place for local residents to meet and talk about the beautiful area we live in Signed as a resident of Newthorpe	Object
GPC114	"The parish needs to be kept. There are no better people to help represent local people with the community I live in than the parish council. We will be forgotten about, and also the new proposed parish falls between two distinctly different MP's and constituency - there is no need for this to happen"	Object
GPC115	Greasley parish Council has looked after the interests of residents well and we do not need any changes	Object
GPC117	"I first came to live in Moorgreen, aged 1, in 1953 when my parents moved here. I left in 1975 to get married, eventually returning in 2012, with my wife. My parents and both sets if grandparents lived in the village until their deaths. I can honestly claim the title 'local'. As a child, i was taught by my parents, how important it was to preserve as much of the 'green belt' as possible and that the councillors with the responsibilty for looking after such things were determined to prevent any unnecessary or over exploitive development. Bear in mind that we grew up with a colliery on our doorstep, so we weren't strangers to busy roads and not a little noise. The colliery has now gone but the development of the site has embraced both the needs of industry and the need for green spaces. In short, Greasley Parish Council is as aware of the needs of the community it serves now as it ever was. It successfully runs a thriving sports and community centre, whereas many town councils only seem to look to closing them down. Town councils have a totally different agenda, based largely on economic factors. Having said that, a walk through Eastwood leads us to believe that the town council is doing a pretty poor job. Shops are lying empty and many of the buildings are looking scruffy and run down. We recently took friends into town for a meal and were ashamed to let them see the state the town was in. To hand what is largely a semi rural area over to a body that has different motivations and is clearly unable to take care of what it already has, would be a disaster and should be avoided at all cost."	Object
GPC118	I believe that if the suggestions go ahead as planned it will only be a matter of time before the history and culture of this rural community will disappear completely. Practically every land parcel that can be built on has already got houses on and it won't be long before without the protection of Greasley parish council they come after the agricultural land. One only has to see the state of Eastwood to know what it will become.	Object

GPC119		Object
GPC12	<p>Thank you for your email dated 14th August.</p> <p>Further to you response regarding the posting of the December letter. We were advising you that we did not receive the letter not that the letters had not been sent</p> <p>Regarding the decision of Broxtowe Borough Council to undertake a review and that you have a legal duty to ensure that community governance arrangements are effective and convenient relating to the ability of parishes to provide services for its residents, we feel that Greasley Parish Council already move than adequately provide this service. So what additional benefit would we receive by moving to Eastwood Town Council?</p>	Object
GPC120		Object
GPC121		Object
GPC122		Object
GPC123	I believe that this will have a negative impact on local services as Eastwood or Kimberley (or Broxtowe) parish will dissolve community facilities.	Object
GPC124	I want to ensure that all of the current Greasley Parish facilities are fully maintained as I truly fear that if they move to Eastwood, Kimberley or Broxtowe then decisions will be taken to dissolve certain community facilities in the Parish.	Object
GPC125		Object
GPC126		Object
gpc127		Object
GPC128		Object
GPC129- GPC604	<p>Here we go again councillors who are on a power ego trip, don't care about residents. No wonder there's so much voter apathy in the UK . Broxtowe big brother council !! A disgrace</p> <p>"As a resident of Greasley I oppose the decision made by a number of councillors in Broxtowe to totally disregard the views of the people of the parish .</p> <p>Leave Greasley alone , it's a pity that the borough council hasn't got any thing better to do with public money. "</p>	Object
GPC13	I do not agree with these proposals as to join other parishes in this way after all why do it now when the boundaries that where was working fine. I can't see the need to change what is not broken. I am a Kimberley resident and think it very unfair on all to do this.	Object
GPC130	It is imperative that the identity, character, green spaces, historical significance & services of Greasley Parish are kept safe & well maintained for the future for all the residents, in & around Greasley.	Object
GPC131	<p>I was previously a Parish Councillor in Essex with a small village similar to Greasley. It was suggested by Essex CC that we merge into Harwich / Dovercourt Town Council. The majority of villagers disagreed and we fought the merger. After I left the county council forced the change through. The village changed - the few village facilities were not supported by the town council. In the village was a big open field used by everyone. Builders bought the land, but we refused planning permission- it was a community resource and they knew that when they bought it. The town council gave permission it is now a housing estate - the community no longer really exists.</p> <p>I totally object and fear for the facilities and countryside walks that I currently use.</p> <p>Democracy doesn't mean today what it used to. Sad, but true.</p>	Object

GPC132	Please leave alone, Greasley has always been Greasley Eastwood has always been Eastwood	Object
GPC133	Newthorpe Giltbrook (Greasley) Moorgreen have little affiliation to Eastwood even less to Kimberley. Kimberley is cut off from Greasley by the escarpment no visible signs except swinggate water tower. The lands we will lose are greatly loved by the residents and we will lose any say over them. Will Kimberley Watnall fight for there future over developers. Our Parish has and will continue to fight for these lands so will we. We consider ourselves as rural in this area. We have lost so much and Kimberley / Eastwood would look upon us as a way of alleviating their own problems. LEAVE GREASLEY'S ANCient Parish ALONE	Object
GPC134	Leave as it is do not see why this has to change	Object
GPC135		Object
GPC136	The parish council have always been proactive in promoting the parish & it's amenaties They have been truely representative of the constituents of the parish & always ensured we are informed & updated. The above would be lost if we were swallowed up into a 'large' parish council - benefitting neither us nor the constituents of the swallowing council.	Object
GPC137		Object
GPC138		Object
GPC139	We have seen the mismanagement of BBCs planning and operational before Not wanted in Greasley	Object
GPC14	[???] ee with the statement stated above	Object
GPC140		Object
GPC141		Object
GPC142	If it isn't broke, don't fix it!! On reading the official newsletter for Greasley I became aware of the endless services the Parish Council provides / supports, to end this and merge with neighbouring councils is ridiculous, 'Bigger isn't always Better' Leave Greasley alone, it's our identity, our council and our choice	Object
GPC143	Breaking down community of Greasley Save our area! Our area matters House pricing + economy of the area Save our green belt areas Leave our cultural heritage alone	Object
GPC144	I would like to save Greasley because it is still run as a little bit of countryside and Kimberley and Eastwood are getting full of restaurants and parking places	Object

GPC145	<p>1) What is the point? 2) How much will all this cost to implement? 3) Who is actually going to benefit?</p> <p>Leave well alone</p>	Object
GPC146	<p>Greasley has been a parish for many years. It has its own place in the history book. So therefore shorley it should keep its own identity title. Merging parish's will put added loads on the workloads. Which could mean some things being unseen and not acted on. If it has been done as a money saving thing. Then everybody knows "buy cheap buy twice" phrase. I would Greasley Parish to be left as it is.</p>	Object
GPC147	<p>I currently pay my council tax to Greasley Parish and wish to continue to do so.</p> <p>Greasley Parish has committed to protecting the green belt and defend it's open spaces. This is important to me. It has a significant farming history and remains a farming area today.</p> <p>Greasley Sports & Community Centre is a special place used by so many community groups and individuals. I fear that the sports facility which is so excellently managed at present will be closed or run down by Eastwood Town Council, sho so far have failed to secure any levelling up money for the Town and will be able to 'asset strip' Greasley</p>	Object
GPC148	<p>1. My first question is 'why'? Although you have the word on your leaflet, there really isn't an answer. 2. It seems to fly in the face of national strategy of letting local people have more of a say in local governance. Hence we have mayors being appointed with considerable budgets. Why are squeezing our very smallest and most passionate local councillors who clearly care for for their 'home' community? Someone from the middle of Eastwood will not really care if Moorgreen has a summer event or a park bench replaced. 3. The money this is all costing would be better spent within our community. Perhaps re-surfacing Moorgreen and putting in average speed cameras before there are more accidents. 4. Change is good if it improves peoples' lives and well-being. I can't see how this will improve my life in Moorgreen. 5. Moorgreen is, already, quite isolated. If this happens, we will be forgotten.</p>	Object
GPC149	<p>My property will be directly impacted. Myself and my family disagree with the proposal to dissolve Greasley. Greasley provides vital services for myself and my daughter, dissolving this could directly put this at risk. We wish to keep Greasley as it is and provide services that it does.</p>	Object
GPC150	<p>Never a good idea when a smaller parish is taken over as their needs are often overlooked.</p> <p>Greasley Parish has been around a long time and should remain intact.</p>	Object
GPC151	<p>I am strongly opposed to the dissolving of Greasley Parish Council. I attach my original letter to Broxtowe Borough Council objecting to my housing being transferred into Kimberley Town Council. In my opinion parish councils should be small and reflect the wishes of a local community. If you create large parish councils as this proposal does, you might as well abolish this level and just operate at a Borough Council level.</p>	Object
GPC154		Object
GPC155	<p>If it's not broken etc</p>	Object
GPC156	<p>I am very proud of th ework Greasley PARish Council do on behalf of its residents. I have no desire whatsoever to be amalgamated with Eastwood parish resulting in the loss of our much treasured identity. Before any action is taken, I suggest that all Greasley residents are given a democratic vote, the Community Governance Review team may be very surprised at the strength of feeling in this matter and realise that this action proposed by them is totally wrong and unnecessary.</p>	Object

GPC157	I am fundamentally opposed to the dissolution of Greasley Parish Council for the following reasons. The many organised events that the parish council organise, such as the Greasley Gathering and direct connection to the needs of the residents and the danger that all these events will be lost forever.	Object
GPC158		Object
GPC159		Object
GPC160		Object
GPC161		Object
GPC162	<p>We want to remain the Greasley Parish and not moved into Broxtowe Borough our voice's will not be heard in a larger tier like it is now. All Broxtowe think about is building more on our green belt to make money on taxes cuases more concrete so more flooding which I have been victim to on more than one occasion.</p> <p>Thanks</p>	Object
GPC163	<p>Greasley Parish Council have always looked after the Community very well, I'm not sure that Eastwood would try as hard.</p> <p>I do not agree with this change and doubt if anyone in Moorgreen will agree. Why change things that are working very well, our Councillors do an excellent job, I can't say that Eastwood Councillors will work as hard for Moorgreen.</p> <p>My email is [redacted from comment] Please keep me updated</p>	Object
GPC164	I am concerned the area will lose its identity	Object
GPC165	If it ain't broke don't mend it	Object
GPC166	The councillors of this parish have always served us well as they know the immediate area & we know them & who to contact if a problem arises. I feel if we became part of a bigger parish we will loose that close contact and be ignored.	Object
GPC167	<p>Greasley Community Centre offers a social hub for various community groups catering for all ages from Beavers aged 6 and [??] for the over 60s.</p> <p>Many local users walk to their classes as they struggle with public transport & many young families living close by don't have to rely on their cars to get there.</p> <p>The Sports Centre class time table offers classes to cater for all age groups & abilities</p> <p>I strongly believe the loss of these facilities would be a great detriment to the physical and mental well being of our community</p>	Object
GPC168		Object
GPC169	<p>Greasley should remain as a seperate parish and not be merged. It must retain its own council.</p> <p>I live in Newthorpe and have done so since 1965 and over the years feel the Greasley Parish Council have served local people well. It would be a shame were it to lose its own identity as a rural council and be swallowed up by a town council namely Eastwood</p>	Object
GPC17	<p>My family have lived in Greasley for generations. We do not want to be merged with Eastwood. Why fix something that isn't broken.</p> <p>LEAVE IT AS IT SHOULD BE</p>	Object

GPC170	This is just a money 'grab'. Greasley's small pot of money will be absorbed into Eastwood's pot and will have to cover a much wider area. This will be to the detriment of the service and facilities we currently enjoy in Greasley. Local money for local facilities! I have no wish to see our area brought down to the state of Eastwood as the phrase goes "If it ain't broke don't fix it."	Object
GPC171	I would like the status quo	Object
GPC172	I'm not happy with these proposals and think there should be a form of referendum of the residents of Greasley to decide on the future of our parish council and not from beurocrats from Broxtowe Council that probably don't even reside here. I am concerned about the green belt protection if this goes ahead because the parish council fights tirelessly to protect it whereas if we lose them then it opens up the potential of large swathes to be developed unchallenged because I know from past experience of this that Broxtowe Council dont care about the local peoples views especially with labours rhetoric on future development	Object
GPC173		Object
GPC174	As a citizen of Newthorpe I would like to object to the plans to dissolve Greasley Parish. This is a tight knit community with the local council doing a great job caring about the area which is much appreciated by all the residents Please consider this proposal as we never asked for it and have no desire for it either.	Object
GPC175	We have attended Greasley St Mary's Church for the past 45 years serving for the 10 years on the PCC. We currently run the church tea room on a Wednesday. We live in Watnall and are vehemently opposed to being placed outside the parish boundary without consultation or consent. We also acknowledge the invaluable contribution that the Parish Council makes to the quality of life of our residents particularly the very young and the very elderly	Object
GPC176	Why the change? How will it benefit the residents of Greasley Parish Council? It won't. It is just so that Broxtowe Council can ride roughshod over our community and deprive us of a voice. Change for change sake is ridiculous and just something for Broxtowe councillors to do. Get a grip and leave us alone with our proud history	Object
GPC177		Object
GPC178	I see no logical reasons for this move, only an increase in cost and a move of that cost away from the community this council serve. They have shown a control and co-ordinated approach to all they have done in the past and communication and approachability I feel would be lost in extending our boundaries	Object
GPC179	What is the purpose of this boundary change and what improvement would this bring to Greasley Parish and the answer to this is nothing - we are therefore 100% against this parish change	Object
GPC18	I live in a rural area i.e. Greasley. If it becomes part of Eastwood then it will be urban and not rural. Building companies i.e. BLOOR HOMES are buying land and are waiting for planning permission to be granted. This land is Green Belt and should remain so. The field at Nether Green is grazing land used by Redgates Farm. It is used to produce food. As a regular user of Greasley Leisure Centre I wish it to be protected, unlike Kimberley Leisure Centre	Object
GPC180	I do not like the idea at all. Greasley being to only conservative area the move will enable the labour party to remove the conservative area. Also I do not believe Eastwood gets any advantage from Broxtowe having control so how does it look for greasley parish in the future	Object

GPC181	I live in a listed building - while we do seem to be left [???] on maintenance & the whole place has gone down hill in my mind - I think things will get worse	Object
GPC182		Object
GPC183		Object
GPC184	I feel smaller Parish Councils are able to deal better with local needs and communicate better with the neighbourhood Have a better understanding of what is required locally Local issues will be lost and forgotten in a bigger district council	Object
GPC185		Object
GPC186	I don't agree with the proposals Also how long before Broxtowe Borough Council gets took over by the City Council	Object
GPC187		Object
GPC188		Object
GPC189		Object
GPC19		Object
GPC190	We would be a lot worse off	Object
GPC191	Services [??] for the Watnall Community are not sufficient at the moment so [becoming this/the] parish boundary has no positive benefit for the Watnall community.	Object
GPC192		Object
GPC193		Object
GPC194	Is it another scam Who will benefit from the move Who's come up with this move must be a motive But we can't see it just yet Where is "Watnall Town" be here 70 years never been to "Watnall Town" are they going to build one?	Object
GPC195	Greasley is a primarily rural / green belt area and is an important separation zone to ever increasing urban sprawl. This green area is with woodland / water / fields / pathways + is full counrty wildlife etc It should [sustain] it's own distinctive parish to continue to preserve the green belt zones	Object
GPC196	Having lived in Greasley for over 50 years and knowing how hard Greasley Parish Council have worked to give us a sports hall and community, fighting to keep development out of the green belt. I want this to continue to keep Greasley Parish Council representing and fighting for the Greasley community	Object
GPC197	Greasley Parish Council is at the heart of our community and brings us all together. This would not be achieved if we were to be absorbed into another council who do not understand the area and its people	Object

GPC198	<p>*I agree with the above comments</p> <p>[Community governance arrangements should represent the entire community, not just a small segment] [Important factors include community cohesion, neighbourhoods, geography, local demographics and whether the area is rural, suburban or urban]</p>	Object
GPC199	<p>Money can be controlled better when it is in little pots. Each area is individual with its own problems which can be better controlled.</p> <p>As we know large council do not manage the areas properly. Moorgreen is in D H Lawrence country which brings in revenue for the local businesses</p> <p>Moorgreen is a rural area, combine it and its lost Greasley is rural, a village, it has a church with is important to the community every Town, village has a church for its community which is an old value are we going to loose that too.</p> <p>If it works leave it alone smaller the better "they" took away all our cottages hospitals to centre everything in one big hospital site and look at the mess the NHS is in that is just what is going to happen. Keep things small can manage better</p>	Object
GPC2	<p>I have already put forward my objections & reasons in the 1st round. We are a rural community who's association is with Greasley. If moved into Kimberley we would be swallowed up & our opinions would not be valued. Already this has been shown as [???] districts objecting have been listened to & changes made. I think this is all about development & looking at ways & areas for Kimberley to expand into.</p>	Object
GPC2_35		Object
GPC2_36	<p>The local community should be served by those that live in it.</p> <p>G.P.C represents the people that know the area, its values and future requirements, it should not be ruled by those that live out of that boundary with maybe detrimental views being imposed.</p> <p>Diverse opinions overrule a monopoly by others</p>	Object
GPC2_37	<p>What will happen to Greasley Church? As this is its parish</p>	Object
GPC2_38	<p>I have been in Greasley parish for over 27 years. The parish has looked after me & my family. I do not want the boundary to change!</p>	Object
GPC2_39	<p>Greasley Parish has a distinct semirural identity. The views & opinions of residents will be diminished and local services potentially put at risk.</p>	Object
GPC2_40		Object
GPC2_41	<p>I don't believe the proposed merger of Greasley (a very rural area) and Eastwood (a very urban area) makes sense as the 2 residents of these communities have different requirements. Greasley Parish council seems to be effective in running and improving the Sports Centre and the local park, so don't see the logic in merging them.</p> <p>I am ok with the changes proposed to Brinsley boundaries.</p>	Object
GPC2_49	<p>It is most important that we keep our traditions and not let Greasley Parish be swallowed up to be just another area.</p>	Object

GPC2_50	Greasley has a parish council with a passion and understanding for its residents. They have an in depth knowledge of the area, a desire to uphold community spaces and to protect the green belt. As a Greasley resident I certainly do not wish to be under Eastwood Parish Council. Their recent decisions concerning their town car parks and the issues that the town centre are having serve to highlight that their Parish Council are struggling with their current responsibilities and to increase that would be detrimental to the residents of Greasley. As no consultation has been made, and no recommendations as to how this 'take-over' would benefit the residents of Greasley I can only surmise that it is yet another dishonest, cost-cutting, motive-led attempt to carve up and destroy an area that wishes to maintain and uphold it's integrity and to serve the residents in its area.	Object
GPC2_51	"I am the Finance Officer for [REDACTED]. We are a community based support service providing leisure based activities for adults with learning difficulties, autism, anxiety and other mental health issues. Every [REDACTED] morning for many years we have booked Greasley Leisure Centre for our clients to use the [REDACTED]. The exercise is very beneficial to our client's mental health and also has helped them become more confident in a social environment. We are well known to the staff at the Centre. We would be absolutely devastated if the proposed Community Governance changes forced the Leisure Centre to close down or prevented us from using the facilities. Please keep us updated. Thank you."	Object
GPC2_52- GPC2_53	"There is no information given as to the reason for this change. Why have change for change's sake? We love living in a rural parish and are keen users of Greasley facilities including the park and the sports and community centre. We need to know what would happen to these excellent facilities if the parish were dissolved - will they be taken on by Eastwood/Kimberley and maintained to the same high standard? Our son attends Greasley Beauvale school - would they be able to continue using the multi-sports pitch for playtime and PE if this change were to occur? We attend all of the events that Greasley Parish council puts on, particularly enjoying the Greasley Gathering and often seeing friends and neighbours there. What would happen to these events if the change were to occur? It would be courteous for Broxtowe Borough Council to explain the reason for these changes and give answers to these questions so that residents can make an informed decision rather than forcing the changes on them. The earlier consultancy on review of council boundaries did not make it clear that dissolving Greasley was an option and so it feels like this has been introduced by stealth. By all means review the boundaries and tidy up some outlying/illogically located areas, but I would say if the current situation does not really have any problems, then there is nothing that needs fixing!"	Object
GPC2_54	I think it would be a shame to dissolve the greasley parish council, the parish has been instrumental in arranging multiple events across the year which has boosted the community	Object
GPC2_55	"Greasley parish is an important piece of history and heritage and should not be lost. Eastwood seems to be sadly lagging behind other areas within the broxtowe boundary with very little being put into the town, how does it propose to manage an even larger area."	Object
GPC2_56		Object
GPC2_57	Why change things ? Everything works well as it's always been!!!!	Object
GPC20	I don't see any benefit of this. Leave as is.	Object

GPC200	<p>We use the Sports Centre every week and we would have no other centre in the area</p> <p>The staff at the centre are excellent and it seems crazy to close a centre which people attend regularly</p> <p>We would also be affected with the [??] bound areas</p>	Object
GPC201	<p>Broxtowe Borough Council's rationale for these proposals do not stack up. The Parish has not been segmented by new roads + structures, the Parish being in its current geographical state for many years.</p> <p>Broxtowe Borough Council carried out a public consultation & according to the report, the public opposed the Council's plans. Broxtowe Borough Council totally ignoring public opinion suggests they simply ticked the appropriate box by inviting public responses. Ignoring public opinion, which appears to be significant, is wholly inappropriate.</p> <p>Broxtowe Borough Council wish to convert Green Belt land from rural status to urban status, presumably to approve planning applications from Bloor Homes which is wholly inappropriate.</p> <p>The Parish Council is vital to keeping local people apprised of Broxtowe's plans.</p>	Object
GPC202		Object
GPC203	This is no doubt a money saving exercise which will leave us with no or with underfunded local services	Object
GPC204	Greasley Parish Council have done a really good job over the years to keep the areas they look after in good condition. I fear that joining with Eastwood will mean that outlying areas are forgotten and neglected. We already feel that Broxtowe Borough Council aren't interested in Eastwood and Greasley and want to feel that at least the Greasley Councillors care about their area	Object
GPC205	<p>Why change something that has worked for many years and has cultural history? Just some person who has nothing better to be occupy their time than messing about with boundaries for no reason.</p> <p>Eastwood is a dying town, boarded up shops and only one claim to fame, DH Lawrence, who was not liked by any residents in his day</p>	Object
GPC206	<p>Please Keep things as they are.</p> <p>Lets all save greasley!!!</p> <p>Do it for DH.Lawrence</p>	Object
GPC207	<p>Do not agree with dissolving Greasley we are a parish in our own right and should remain so</p> <p>I feel we are targets for our green belt land that is why its a good move for them ie Broxtowe merges. We do not want huge housing estates built and spoiling our unique Greasley parish.</p> <p>We will get lost and forgotten about if we are dissolved</p>	Object
GPC208	I use the greasley gym and would not manage without it! I would struggle to get to any other gyms as I walk to greasley, without it my mental health would struggle	Object

GPC209	<p>Greasley Parish Council do a really good job and provide a good service to the area - why change this?</p> <p>Changing things always costs money to do - leave it only</p> <p>Watnall is a rural area and its needs are better served by a council which understands them</p>	Object
GPC21		Object
GPC210		Object
GPC211	<p>Please keep Greasley Parish Council to maintain Greasley I think [dissolving?] the parish will lead to it's demise. I feel Eastwood will have priority over an area like Greasley and its beautiful countryside</p>	Object
GPC212	<p>We do not want to be under an Eastwood Council, who want all the advantages that Greasley has to offer them, like a well run sports and community centre when Eastwood has very little to offer.</p> <p>Joining with Kimberley would be an area that is too big and spread too far. They did nothing to protect the use of their sports centre and let staff and members leave the place they loved.</p> <p>At least Greasley Parish Council care about its residents</p>	Object
GPC213		Object
GPC214	<p>Its been run well for all these years, why change that's not broken</p> <p>If it does go ahead I can see the area down hill, because no investment, and things taken away</p>	Object
GPC215	<p>I feel bigger is not always better</p> <p>What is the reason to merge the two councils, Greasley as it is at the moment serves its residents very well and a merged will only disrupt a very well run council</p>	Object
GPC216	<p>Joining with Eastwood would be a disaster for Greasley</p> <p>They have nothing in common</p>	Object
GPC217	<p>although I do not live in Greasley I use all the facilities within the area. It is a unique place and has a great community spirit. To integrate Greasley into a larger district will be a detriment to the who community</p>	Object
GPC218	<p>Eastwood Town Council cannot look after their own boundaries, Eastwood Town is getting a right Dump compared to Heanor and Kimberley. We live hear 15 years and its gone right down hill</p>	Object

<p>GPC219- GPC220</p>	<p>Greasley has a very strong community spirit and its own identity. A lot of Greasleys Community focuses around the sports centre (barber) and Parish Hall, which hold events and activities for all members of the community. If this was lost it would be a massive shame for the community - Greasley Gathering is one such event which we all enjoy. I would also be very worried about the risk to our beloved green belt if the Greasley Parish is dissolved. The fields + scenery provides much pleasure to the residents + community of Greasley - both young and old. I feel and worry we would have less of a say in any proposals to use the greenbelt land for building if the Greasley Parish is dissolved.</p> <p>One of the reasons I moved to Greasley from Eastwood was the sense of village and community which I don't feel there is in Eastwood. If we are dissolved I worry that the reason we moved here would be lost.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read these comments and concerns.</p> <p>I would also be happy to share these more widely or take part in any meetings to discuss the proposals further.</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC22</p>	<p>"Greasley goes back hundreds of years with Greasley castle farm once being the fortified hall of a knight of the realm Lord Cantelupe & the former home of the Sheriff of Nottingham. Greasleys history warrants nae demands its own parish council as it always has. Changing the borders is like Greasley and its history never existed & there's far too much of the cancel culture happening to Britons fair lands. "</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC221</p>	<p>Greasley Parish council have done a great job looking after the interests of local residents and should be able to keep on doing so in the future</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC222</p>	<p>New Boundary Proposals will mean closure of facilities. Available to young + old especially [???] library, leisure centres etc. to save money. These proposals will not enhance peoples lives but be detrimental to all.</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC223</p>	<p>As far as I can see from the proposals Eastwood is winning and Greasley is losing.</p> <p>We could lose all the amenities in sports, leisure facilities our park playing fields etc</p> <p>If the proposal goes ahead I can see Greasley Community Centre + surround being declared 'Grey Belt' and built on that is [???] the [???]</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC224</p>		<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC225</p>	<p>Trouble with the councils is that you take everything away from the people of Eastwood no matter what age they are, then give everything to Kimberley, we at Eastwood have absolutely nothing.</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC226</p>	<p>How dare the Government and Standards Committee recomend this dissolution without the residents of Greasley having a vote on the matter. This is not democratic and another case of the tail wagging the dog!</p> <p>ABSOLUTELY SHAMEFUL!!!</p>	<p>Object</p>
<p>GPC227</p>	<p>Having Greasley Parish Council gives more of a voice for the local residents, enabling us to speak up on matters affecting our area.</p> <p>Our Greasley Parish Council offers so much to us, the residents and as such their service to us is invaluable</p> <p>The councillors having a smaller number to represent and speak on our behalf is something I would not want to see change.</p> <p>I really think that our representation would be lost if on a larger scale.</p>	<p>Object</p>

GPC228	<p>We love being part of the Greasley community.</p> <p>We are part of a caring group want the best for our area.</p> <p>If Greasley was to be dissolved it will mean the end of any involvement in local matters and will be completely at the hands of Broxtowe Borough Council - oh God help us!!</p>	Object
GPC229	<p>Greasley should be left as its own parish. The area is well looked after as it is. If it is split between Kimberly and Eastwood it is likely that funding will be taken away from this area and used in the town centres and these rural areas will then be neglected and suffer. What with Kimberly leisure centre closing due to under funding greasley sports centre needs to be protected. The residents of greasley do not want our parish to be spilt and redistributed. I believe the only reason this is being put forward is to take greasleys funding! Think about the people and the area and not just money.</p>	Object
GPC23		Object
GPC230	<p>This proposal is about making Eastwood viable at the expense of Greasley. I would prefer my council tax to pay for services in the area where I live. I am concerned that this proposal will result in the closure of the local leisure centre which is a valuable local resource.</p>	Object
GPC231	<p>"Having already lost the use of Kimberley Leisure Centre, I would be extremely concerned that Greasley Sports & Community Centre could suffer the same fate.</p> <p>Eastwood is a town centre with it's own problems and that could result in funding being diverted to that area, at the expense of Newthorpe, Moorgreen & Greasley areas."</p>	Object
GPC232	<p>Don't agree with this proposal</p>	Object
GPC233	<p>Don't want it. Things are working great as they are.</p>	Object
GPC234		Object
GPC235	<p>These changes are just another way of diverting money to Broxtowe and Beeston. Greasley is a very ancient village and deserves to be left alone.</p>	Object
GPC236	<p>We need GPC to continue to represent us. If we are merged with a larger area with a bigger population, our voice will be lost and what is important to us ignored. In an era of devolution, this would be a step in the wrong direction.</p>	Object
GPC237	<p>The community is better served by Greasley Parish Council our identity and voice will be lost if we accept these changes</p>	Object
GPC238	<p>"Why would you suggest ripping apart a parish that is active and serves all its residents? I could understand if Greasley Parish Council were ineffective or mismanaged but this is not true. The Greasley Parish serves all its residents with respect and ensures that the services and spaces provided by the council are well maintained and accessible to all.</p> <p>If we are split and divided into 2 other existing councils will we be equally served and provided for, I think not! Why also, as a resident of Watnall, is the excellently managed Sports and Community Centre going to be taken away from us, therefore marginalising the Watnall Ward, forcing them, to move into the Kimberley Council where no such space exists, seeing as the town has recently lost its leisure centre.</p> <p>Also, why does the Sports Centre go to Eastwood? Strange that there is a conflict of interest with the leader of Broxtowe Borough Council is also a Councillor for Eastwood.</p> <p>But mainly why tear apart a Parish and make us lose our identity from this perfectly formed parish and force us into the faceless and busy town scene? "</p>	Object

GPC239	Greasley Parish Council represents a distinctive area of community and uses it's grant to provide important local facilities like the leisure centre and hall, and provides community events like The Greasley Gathering. It is a strong voice and advocate for our area in the planning system. It's eradication and absorption into Eastwood would I believe draw resources and focus away from my area, as Eastwood would be prioritised due to the more complex social and health issues present in the town. I don't want to see this happen as we all deserve proper representation and investment.	Object
GPC24	A rural community needs to have a parish council, run by those living and or working within the community to ensure that we retain services and community enterprises. Though we may adjoin those larger council areas, it is my experience that the outer rural villages, are largely ignored by the councils in favour of providing more and more to those living in the urban area. Parish councils identify the needs of their community and ensure the coffers of the county councils remain open to those of us living within the parish.	Object
GPC240	Greasley is a well maintained parish and should be left unaffected stay as Greasley parish	Object
GPC241		Object
GPC242	Making us part of Kimberley will diminish the rural community neighbourhood that we currently live in. I believe that we will lose identity and services will be diverted to the more populated regions of the area. If services are then taken over by Broxtowe I feel we would be forgotten in the grand scheme of things!	Object
GPC243	The local identity will disappear when the Parish is split into segments. The bigger Council will be more focused on the higher populated area's which will result in the loss of amenities and possibly green belt areas.	Object
GPC244	"Greasley Parish Council has done and continues to do a lot for our community. I feel this move would severely impact community spirit "	Object
GPC245		Object
GPC246	"We are wondering why Broxtowe Borough Council have made this proposal? What is their rationale? What are the benefits? We would appreciate answers to the above as we missed out on the evening meeting in March."	Object
GPC247		Object
GPC248	For the following reasons we object to the proposal that Greasley Parish Council be dissolved. The Parish Council has served us well for the 38 years we have lived in Newthorpe. We wish this to continue. the successive councillors, clerks and staff have worked tirelessly and efficiently for the residents of this substantially rural part of the area. Why change? To create a new parish with Eastwood Town Council is incongruous. The Town Council has different types of responsibilities which are incompatible with Greasley. It has a greater population, and therefore representation. Consequently a merge is most likely to become a takeover and the voice of Greasley would be substantially diminished. This is unacceptable. The proposal must be rejected.	Object
GPC249	I can't see how this would make things any better. We have lived at [address] and have always been than happy with Greasley Council	Object
GPC25	Why change it if its not broke. Leave it as it is	Object

<p>GPC250- GPC251- GPC252</p>	<p>COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE REVIEW regarding the dissolving of Greasley Parish Council,</p> <p>The first two points regarding dissolving Greasley parish council and transferring its area to Eastwood Town are statements from the Meeting of Governance, Audit and Standards Committee, Monday, 27 November 2023 7.00 pm (Item 44.) that needs clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point One from the meeting states that: <p>“A previous review carried out in 2020/21 concluded that further work was needed to achieve satisfactory arrangements for Brinsley, Eastwood, Greasley, Kimberley and Nuthall where they border each other and which takes into account the complex relationship between the communities in that part of the Borough”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Question one <p>What and where is the evidence of the further work needed to achieve "satisfactory arrangements for the named villages. What are "satisfactory arrangements"? Explain "complex relationships"?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point Two <p>This is detailed from the Broxtowe Borough Council governance review page. "What can the Community Governance Review Change"? "A Community Governance Review can make a number of changes to parish governance when there is clear evidence to do so".</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Question Two <p>Where is the clear evidence to do so?</p> <p>There is no clear evidence that the proposed changes to merge Greasley Parish Council into Eastwood Town Council will benefit either organisation. If there is any evidence where is this detailed, you can not just state that "that further work was needed to achieve satisfactory arrangements" [???] without detailed evidence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part 4 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 <p>state that</p> <p>“In undertaking the Review, the Council will adhere to Part 4 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (as amended) ("the 2007 Act") and the relevant parts of the Local Government Act. 1972 in its work”</p> <p>"Section 93 of the 2007 Act requires the Council to consult the local government electors for the area under Review and any other person or body who appears to have an interest in the Review, and to take the- representations which are received into account by judging them against the criteria in the 2007 Act and in these Terms of Reference."</p> <p>It is our understanding that this proposed change is being imposed on Greasley without consultation, consent or approval of its residents or councillors contrary to the above legislation.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council was formed in 1894 and is one of the largest parishes in Nottinghamshire. It encompasses the villages of Giltbrook, Greasley, Moorgreen, Newthorpe and Watnall. It has managed the finances, services and governance efficiently over the years and hosts a vast number of facilities and events to the benefit of the residents and the community of Greasley and the surrounding areas.</p>	<p>Object</p>
---------------------------------------	---	---------------

	<p>Please click on the link to see the full list of services, facilities, social / community groups. and sports facilities provided by GPC. [Save Greasley –Greasley Parish Council link]</p> <p>The jewel in the crown is the Greasley Sports and Community Centre also hosts a Parish Hall and Committee Room used by many varied community groups and healthcare providers. These include social groups for the elderly, sports clubs for children, the blood bank. a breast cancer screening unit and the Broxtowe GP Referrals scheme. The Centre also has a comprehensive class timetable to suit every ability. These services significantly benefit residents from in and around Greasley and are particularly valuable, especially after the recent do sure of the Kimberley Leisure Centre.</p> <p>I feel that splitting semi-rural Greasley between suburban Eastwood and Kimberley would negatively impact the finances & provision of services provided to residents and the surrounding community.</p> <p>Greasleys commitment and services to the local community will be vastly diluted with a larger town council with a much greater population to represent. Any changes to the financial arrangements of the parish council structures would have a n</p>	
GPC253	<p>Greasley Parish Council works very hard and very efficiently representing the local community delivering services to mee local needs and striving to improve quality of life in the parish. Getting involved locally and being responsible to the people the parish council represents. I feel all this will be lost if swallowed up by a town council</p> <p>We need a local voice that listens</p> <p>The Greasley Sports & Community Centre is a vital community hub and has been for 35 years covering a whole range of activities hosting childrens parties, dog shows, christmas concerts, boxing nights and a superb gym with great fitness classes for all ages & abilities. The green belt the parish & parishones are passionate about may well be lost forever. Local people need to be asked not told what they feel is best for their own community</p> <p>I will also be writing my concerns to Juliet Campbell MP for Broxtowe</p> <p>Save Greasley</p>	Object

GPC254	<p>Watnall has more in common with Greasley Parish Council</p> <p>The new proposal splits Watnall into 2</p> <p>Current system works very well</p> <p>Change has significant cost</p> <p>Green Belt needs to be retained at all cost</p> <p>I live in Watnall - I do not wish to be represented by Kimberley who consulted noone for the levelling up money. Not one thought that some of the £20m awarded should be used to improve Kimberley swimming pool? Why not?</p> <p>What cost advantage does it serve to have revised boundaries - no one has explained. Why not?</p> <p>Broxtowe BC needs to concentrate on doing things for the community. I pay for it, but beyond bin collection + lights, it does little for me personally. Lots of work to be done here + upping parking charges is detrimental to local business. Poor choices of action</p>	Object
GPC255	A majority decision of all Greasley Residents should be taken not just elected councillors sat in Beeston	Object
GPC256		Object
GPC257	<p>Greasley has existed for almost 130 years, as a semi rural council defending it's green belt, striving to protect against uncalled for development. It has sucessfully managed the needs & wants of the parish.</p> <p>The CGR and GAS are political, aimed to [???] cost and focus control onto a town population</p> <p>Significant reconstititional change aimed to reduce expenditure and give significant political control, in my view is deliterious</p>	Object
GPC258		Object
GPC259	<p>Why is this happening?</p> <p>Don't know enough about it or the consequences to say either way. Why change it?</p>	Unsure
GPC26	No! I don't agree!! Greasley has been around for 100's of years and is historically important in itself. Leave it as it is!!!	Object
GPC260	<p>Broxtowe Borough Council do not look after Greasley. Now its to much looking after Beeston and the roads ther are too full now</p> <p>All they want is the green belt land</p> <p>Keep it Greasley</p>	Object
GPC261		Object

GPC263	<p>This is another example of control of local issues being carried out remotely which makes the situation more difficult to control [???] gain access to</p> <p>On another issue I contacted Broxtowe council who gave me another number to contact the Highways Department regarding a completely blocked grate in the road opposite [REDACTED]. It has been blocked for months and leads to flooding after heaving rain. Despite reporting this issue and receiving a reply by email no action has been taken. I did not report the [???] potholes [???] [REDACTED] as I have done so in the past again nothing happens</p>	Object
GPC264		Object
GPC265		Object
GPC266	<p>Greasley is well looked after with lots of community projects + care is given to all its residents - becoming part of a much larger Eastwood + Kimberley means this lovely area would lose its identity. The facilities are excellent - sometimes change is not good or necessary!</p>	Object
GPC267	<p>I'm afraid that the proposal to dissolve Greasley Parish Council will have a detrimental effect on the community of which I am a part of. An already stretched Eastwood Town Council will have a bigger Parish to over and I feel this will lead to an overall situation where resources & services will not be able to cope.</p> <p>Having been a resident of Greasley Parish all my life (over 60 years) I have always felt that Greasley Parish Council have served me & my family well and I wish that to continue and I object to the dissolving of Greasley Parish.</p>	Object
GPC268	<p>We would sooner come under Greasley Parish Council</p>	Object
GPC269		Object
GPC27		Object
GPC270		Object
GPC271		Object
GPC272		Object
GPC273		Object
GPC274	<p>The proposal will affect our lovely community. Currently, Greasley Parish Council do a brilliant job of keeping our little community well maintained & a proper community. Merging us with Eastwood would have a huge detrimental effect on what our parish council provide</p> <p>It's always about cutting costs - why??? don't people count & the effect that the Greasley Sports & Community Centre & sports facilities has & provides brilliant support to everyone - what will happen if that goes??</p> <p>STOP trying to ruin our lovely community spirit!!!!</p>	Object
GPC275	<p>There is not enough consultation about the impact to local residents</p>	Object
GPC276	<p>We would probably lose the Barber Sports Hall which is used for many groups and activities. Also the Breast Screening Unit, saves a lot ladies a visit to the City Hospital</p> <p>Please leave our Parish as it is - we're doing fine!</p>	Object

GPC277	My wife and family have lived in this Parish for over 40 years and in that time have been served exceedingly well by the Parish Council, even though they have only a meagre budget to work with. Yet again we have a larger council i.e. Broxtowe wanting to change a situation that has and is working well for the benefit of local people, and have no really good reason for doing this. Just like the local Tory MP did not want to listen to the ordinary resident - now Broxtowe Borough Council are doing the same. Larger certainly does mean better because as we all know people find it far more difficult to get their across in a larger administration. For a small parish the number of services eg looking after parks, allotments, a sports centre, putting on family events and supporting groups and clubs, are amazing and show great respect and thought for the local people. So in this respect small is brilliant for our identity!	Object
GPC278	Whilst not being fully aware of the [??] workings of the Parish Council I am nevertheless disappointed about the proposal to lose Greasley Parish. It has long represented the distinct character of the area compared to neighbouring Eastwood and Kimberley although development in Giltbrook around the retail park there has eroded that somewhat in recent years. It still fees like its a negative step back particularly when [??] to the reasons for it which haven't been made entirely clear but will inevitably be associated with cost savings that I'm sure have to be made. It also feels like the decision has already been made and these consultation exercises a mere box ticking exercise rather than anything to do with what the community effected wish to happen. Most will want the existing boundaries and governance arrangement unchanged.	Object
GPC279	Greasley has its own historical identity making it unique. The sports centre and parish hall are essential to the wellbeing of residents PLEASE, we do not want to be absorbed, without the consent of residents, into Eastwood and Kimberley. BROXTOWE: WE DO NOT WANT YOU TO DISSOLVE OUR UNIQUE GREASLEY PARISH	Object
GPC28	Greasley sports centre is a pivotal location in Greasley for the community and for it to be forced to close would negatively affect everyone in the area.	Object
GPC281		Object
GPC282	The proposed scheme would cause the isolation of the areas and split the entire community	Object
GPC283	It seems Broxtowe Borough Council are determined to dissolve Greasley Parish Council WHY? We can't see how this will benefit anyone. Is it the green belt they want for building. WHERE IS THE COUNTRY TO GROW ITS FOOD If personal motives are involved that to is wrong as the majority of Greasley residents are against this, all councils are elected to carry out the wishes of the population, not to ignore their views and move forward with totally useless proposals THIS BEEN OBJECTED TO, WHY IS IT STILL GOING FORWARD ARE WE A DEMOCRACY OR A DICTATORSHIP.	Object
GPC284	This is just a money saving exercise Greasley will be [??] no by Eastwood & forgotten	Object
GPC285	Giltbrook will lose its voice without Greasley	Object
GPC286	"Am strongly against it, because I've been told a lot of places where people can meet up will close I'm a pensioner and need to get out to places where my friends go for a coffee and a chat."	Object
GPC287	For years Greasley has been hacked at until there is little left of what was once the largest parish in the county of Nottinghamshire. Greasley has an amazing history that should be celebrated, not destroyed. Instead, Greasley is pushed into the background, it's history forgotten, it's people ignored. Please STOP THIS MASSACRE!	Object

GPC288	As a regular user of Greasley Sports Centre I am very concerned, that this popular facility will be maintained to the current high standard by whoever is proposed to administrate the parish if Greasley is dissolved. Broxtowe had hand in the closure of Kimberley leisure facilities. Is Greasley going to suffer the same fate?	Object
GPC289	I think this is not right. This feels forceful. People should be able to decide what is going to happen and to have access to the same resources. Greasley parish is very good and this shouldn't be taken away from the citizens.	Object
GPC29	"My late husband [REDACTED] was a Greasley parish councillor for many years. He was twice chairman of the council and served on the environment committee to help safeguard, it's open and rural character. I have lived in the Parish of Greasley all my married life since 1956. I do not wish for Greasley to be dissolved and merged into Eastwood town. The parish of Greasley is very distinct with its combined villages of Giltbrook, Newthorpe, Moorgreen and Watnall, and a significant amount of green space including green belt. Greasley has a very rural identity, and many of the issues which the residents are concerned about or interested in are different to those experienced by people in the local towns. Greasley Parish Council has represented the views of Greasley very well for many years. My concern is that the issues relating to Eastwood town will take complete priority over those of from the villages within Greasley."	Object
GPC290	I don't see why this needs to happen. What value will it bring for the people living and working here? If anything it will cause green land to be lost and communities ruined.	Object
GPC291	It's working fine as it is.	Object
GPC292- GPC540		Object
GPC293	"Save the heritage and preserve Greasley This will have a negative impact on the above and the services offered to residents and visitors. The parish council do an amazing job and a lot of free time is give to maintain the heritage and green space "	Object
GPC294	I have been living in the Greasley parish community for over 40 years, it should not be dissolved as the community and all that means would be lost. To be included with larger communities would loose our identity.	Object
GPC296	"Greasley Parish Council represents our local views and has complete understanding of the parish. Dissolving the parish into Kimberley and Eastwood will result in the historic Parish loosing its identity. This is a Rural Parish and needs to remain so whilst retaining its own significant identity. The Parish Council has the well being of the local community at heart and this will be lost to the urban areas of Eastwood and Kimberley. This area needs protecting, not dissolving where the risk of the beautiful green belt could be lost! Community is at the heart of our Parish and as stated the Parish Council maintain this unlike what is being proposed by this dissolution."	Object
GPC297	Eastwood has historically and continues to be missed out on funding, opportunities and attention by Broxtowe BC already. I feel that doing (what I assume to be) a cost cutting exercise will only further degrade the support this community receives from its 1st tier of government. The current PC are doing a good job of supporting all of its communities with a range of services and events- without them I have no doubt we will lose them altogether or receive a diminished service. The residents of this PC do not want this change to happen.	Object
GPC298	Leave things alone, stop messing about with our lives, we pensioner need to keep ourselves as we are.	Object
GPC299		Object

GPC305		Object
GPC306	<p>With these proposed mergers it would split the community into smaller fragments. No cohesion, no neighbourhoods and will be left with an autonomic desert. It has always been a great advantage + a source of local pride to have local demographical + geographical areas. The local parishes should and will always represent communities with their own different social rural or urban needs + problems. Parishes should also reflect preferences of parish communities not repeat not a little segment of a minority of delegated people</p> <p>LEAVE WELL ALONE DON'T TOUCH SOMETHING WHICH IS NOT BROKEN!!!</p>	Object
GPC307	If this goes ahead we will not have a say at all each parish's voices will be lost	Object
GPC308		Object
GPC309	<p>It is undemocratic to go ahead with this proposal without first consulting residents involved.</p> <p>Greasley has a rich rural and cultural heritage, which is quite distinct from an established urban centre.</p> <p>Longtime Giltbrook resident.</p>	Object
GPC31	I agree with statement stated above	Not stated
GPC310		Object
GPC311		Object
GPC312		Object
GPC313	<p>If Greasley Parish Council is dissolved and subsumed between Eastwood and Kimberley, apart from losing our autonomy our views, local requirements and interests will be swallowed up by the ideals and priorities of the larger members of the proposed new Parish.</p> <p>I oppose these plans and urge Broxtowe Borough Council to re-think and allow Greasley Parish Council to continue to meet the needs of the residents of Greasley and the surrounding area, which they have done so for many years.</p>	Object
GPC314		Object
GPC315	Why can't councils do what they are elected for instead of trying to alter things that have run for years with next to no troubles	Object
GPC316	Prefer the smaller approach as it is. Quality rather than quantity.	Object
GPC317	<p>We agree that the area will lose its identity. Generally Eastwood does not promote the area well including D.H. Lawrence heritage. What happened to the stone for him Eastwood outside the library?</p> <p>If Eastwood has the larger number of councillors they will be able to override anything in the Greasley area whether it be the community centre or allotments and [??] the [??] then as to Broxtowe District Council they are hardly likely to support Greasley. They haven't in the past and even local councillors have supported funds for this area to go to Broxtowe if your not in the larger areas like Beeston you feel you do not get the support your should.</p> <p>I am doubtful that any of this will have a bearing as I'm sure they have already made up their minds.</p>	Object
GPC318		Object

GPC319	<p>I want to remain a PARISH</p> <p>I do not want Broxtowe Borough Council going ahead with their own plans with absolute power. We are not ruled by them and Greasley should have been consulted by BBC before going ahead and TELLING our parish what is going to be done.</p> <p>NO. NO. NO. I do not want to become a part of Eastwood at all.</p> <p>Not a pleasant thought at all!</p>	Object
GPC32	<p>OPTION 3. Leave boundaries unchanged! This is the second consultation and it appears Broxtowe Borough Council are intent on getting rid of Greasley Parish and will continue to lobby to do so until they get their own way.</p> <p>Getting rid of Greasley Parish in no way whatsoever represents the identity or interest of the parishioners that live in Greasley Parish</p> <p>It would not deliver more efficient delivery of local services. Greasley Parish may well lose its leisure facility in the Greasley Leisure and Community Centre</p> <p>Leave Greasley Parish as it is!! Broxtowe Borough Council are only interested in their selfish, self preservation agenda and only invest in Beeston and Chilwell areas mainly!</p>	Object
GPC320	<p>We are a close community + very clean + tidy. We have an allotment that is very well managed + sports centre + recreation ground that are impeccable. We also have a clerk that is so convenient + very helpful. We fear we would lose this by a change + fear we would lose our identity.</p> <p>Greasley Parish is managed expertly please let it continue</p>	Object
GPC321		Object
GPC322	I have lived in Newthorpe (Greasley Parish) for over 50 years and always supported the parish so I do not want the change. Please do not change.	Object
GPC323		Object
GPC324	I've lived in Greasley for the past 12 years, Greasley is rural. Eastwood is a town. I do not think merging the two will benefit either community. This is why I feel it is better not to make this change as Greasley has its own history, does Eastwood why ruin History. Greasley councillors are all volunteers, they give up time to serve the community, also the staff give great service.	Object
GPC325	Having lived in different locations with the Boundary changes proposal and have experience as a parish councillor, I feel that the proposed changes are purely a cost saving exercise at the cost of destroying the community identity and spirit of each existing parishes. In merging the areas we will lose the distinct identity the people of these parishes have [???] a pride felt when living in smaller communities that helps foster a social mix amongst the residents. Please do not destroy this by losing social identity to economies, where I live in [REDACTED] it is known as Happy Valley do not destroy this "happy area" by unwanted economies	Object
GPC326	<p>If it isn't broken leave it alone!</p> <p>Please</p>	Object
GPC327	Under Broxtowe we will no doubt lose maintenance of the parks and Beauvale Brook. The changes are a slap in the face for democracy if our wishes are ignored. Those on the borough council with vested interests will see to that.	Object
GPC328	Greasley Parish Council is and consistently has done an excellent job of managing and running many local facilities and resources. I see no reason or point in fixing something that isn't broken and cannot help but wonder what the reasoning or motives are in this proposal.	Object

GPC33	One of the key reasons we moved to Newthorpe in 2021 was for the local leisure centre following my husband's severe stroke 8 years ago. We are now concerned that these proposals will put the centre at risk. PLEASE RECONSIDER	Object
GPC330	I do not want to be lumped into Eastwood, have you seen the disgraceful main st in Eastwood! It looks terrible, empty shops, scruffy everywhere, Eastwood cannot look after their own never mind taking over Greasley!! Leave the Greasley Parish Council as it is, we have good community relations which we will lose under this plan by Broxtowe - Stop it now Save Greasley Parish Council	Object
GPC331	I am a resident of Greasley for over 50 years and find the services of excellent quality. Why try to [???] when there is nothing wrong? Surely all these facilities will disappear Greasley Church and sports centre are of the most important, and protection of green belt Greasley will be decimated if this goes ahead Broxtowe Borough Council have no in depth knowledge of Greasley Parish. It's all to enhance their own [???ctions] disgusting	Object
GPC332		Not stated
GPC333	"Why change & disrupt a community that is already running smoothly. Greasley Parish Council cares about it's community."	Object
GPC334		Object
GPC336	By dissolving Greasley Parish council into a larger district will adversely effect the interests of semi-rural local community of Greasley. The Parish council have served this community well for many years and I do not see the benefit in changing to a larger area that have very different needs to the community of Greasley, if it's not broken, don't try to fix it?	Object
GPC337	"Why do you have to keep changing things, keep them as they are, we in greasley parish need our voices to be heard not just ushered away in the mumble of a larger less caring council / parish.. Save greasley !! "	Object
GPC338		Object
GPC339		Object
GPC34		Object
GPC340	To keep as it is now.	Object
GPC341	Keep things as they are now	Object

GPC342	<p>"There is a great sense of community in this Parish and desolving it would further erode community spirit. The greasley gathering and other events organised by the parish bring family's and homes together to celebrate, something I've not seen or felt in other Areas I've lived in and I fear will be lost once the boundary's are split up. I have benefitted from services of the sports centre and found my first breast screening process efficient and less clinical being local, this has to help and encourage people to attend their check ups and reduce cancer risks. It's likely that these local services will be stopped and the residents directed to other existing places to reduce costs, which will be detrimental to the community spirit</p> <p>As a resident I moved to the area enjoying its position on the edge of the greenbelt areas, in which to enjoy beautiful natural walks with dog and its important to protect these areas from development which the parish council has currently been good at. . Is this decision a move to remove an obstacle I wonder! If it's not broke don't fix it and leave the Parish council as it is"</p>	Object
GPC343	I used Kimberley Leisure Centre untill Kimberley Town Council and Broxtowe Borough Council withdrew their support and it was closed. As such i would not wish to trust them with Greasley Sports and Community Centre.	Object
GPC344	We are a small community and have a very good small council working for us. If we are to amalgamate with Eastwood we will be without a voice. Eastwood councillors do not care about Eastwood , eg voting against free parking which would have helped promote Eastwood and help the shop keepers. So why would they bother with a small area like Greasley . Keep things as they are it's worked well in the past so let's not change things .	Object
GPC345	The decision is undemocratic as are consultations and surveys. In the end the politicians just do as they like. An important decision like this should at the least require a local referendum to mandate the authorities.	Object
GPC346	<p>"WHY TRY AND MEND SOMETHING THAT ISNT BROKEN</p> <p>greasley parish council has been serving the local community for many a long year</p> <p>With great success, we don't need to be integrated and possibly swallowed up or even lost altogether, we're fine as we are, a local small community, after all they do say small is BEAUTIFUL "</p>	Object
GPC347	<p>"Why change?</p> <p>I believe that it goes against local empowerment</p> <p>If it goes ahead why stop there - why not remove town and borough councils and leave it to the East Midlands Mayor to run!</p>	Object
GPC348	Greasley Parish Council is a well managed parish Council providing facilities and services to its residents. By dissolving the parish Council and splitting the area between Kimberly and Eastwood I believe the services and facilities particularly Greasley Sports and Community Centre will be put at risk.	Object
GPC349	I e-mailed strong opposition to this initiative along with rationale against all of their points. This was meant with a "thanks for your comments" and when I asked about a consultation with residents, I was told their wouldn't be one. It was clear from the outset that the decision was already made, and the initiative poorly thought out. They may come back to these comments saying we had our chance to raise concerns in advance, but we clearly all did. They chose to ignore us. Again.	Object
GPC35	I am the Broxtowe Borough Councillor for [REDACTED]	Object
GPC350	Our local councillors have their fingers on the pulse of Greasley. The larger the council area the dilution of individual needs are lost. Bigger is not necessarily better, the smaller local issues will get over looked or be at the bottom of the pile. Local councillors can deal with these without being over run from neighbouring council problems.	Object
GPC351		Object
GPC352		Object
GPC353-519	<p>I do not agree to the proposal to remove Greasley Parish. Watnall should remain in Greasley and not be absorbed into Kimberley.</p> <p>There is no way that Greasley Parish should be abolished.</p>	Object
GPC354		Object

GPC355	<p>"Why have the residents not had a say in this? I can't see the benefits of this and I'm sure businesses will be negatively impacted. I moved to Greasley Sports Centre from Kimberley since that was shut down and now I can see the same happening here!!"</p>	Object
GPC356	<p>Greasley offers so much for its residents making this change would be disgraceful. It's a small community with a big heart. Why take something away that people enjoy being a part of.</p>	Object
GPC357	<p>Greasley is an area of natural beauty having a mention in the doomsday book. It had a recorded population of 8 households in 1086 and was the land of William Peverel. By preserving the parish of Greasley we are preserving our natural history. This will be lost. Greasley Parish Council have worked very hard to protect and maintain the Parish of Greasley and we should all value and protect that. People in Greasley live there because they choose to due to its natural history. Without a dedicated parish council to protect this area of natural beauty and historic value all will be lost. We have no faith in Eastwood council - just look at the failing high street!!! I Do NOT WANT TO BE PART OF EASTWOOD. I did not choose to live there!</p>	Object
GPC358	<p>"Greasley has such a wonderful community spirit . The parish council work very hard to look after and preserve the area from children's playparks ,green spaces to the sports centre. We especially cannot lose the sports centre especially after Kimberley has closed down. The hall and rooms are in frequent use from different associations and clubs e.g. cubs ,scouts,gym clubs ,oap's,indoor bowling to name a few.Blood donors are there every month breast cancer screening uses the car park for it's mobile screening.They are now doing Doctors referrals where will people go if it closes down not everyone has a car to travel miles we are encouraged to keep fit and healthy soon there will be nowhere local to go! What about the Greasley Gathering and all the other community projects the parish council are involved with. I cannot understand why the changes are being proposed who or what is it going to benefit from it all ? Not to mention the cost to everyone."</p>	Object
GPC359		Object
GPC36	<p>As the County Councillor for a small part of the area I object to the dissolution of GPC as being unnecessary and undemocratic</p>	Object
GPC360	<p>Greasley Parish is largely a Green belt area that myself and many others enjoy. There have been attempts made in the past to develop large areas of our green belt which greasley parish council have been great in helping to squash the proposed developments. If greasley borough is to merge with Eastwood then I suspect the new council wouldn't be as interested in fighting for our green belt areas.</p>	Object
gpc361	<p>This is a top down approach without the consent of me or any of my fellow constituents.</p>	Object
GPC362	<p>This move would adversely affect a close community by breaking it up unnecessarily. Please don't do this. I know I'm only one little voice but I really love this little Parish and don't want to see it broken up and shared out.</p>	Object
GPC363	<p>"I feel that greasley at present has a good community and identity separate to Eastwood. The identity of greasley encompasses more of the countryside and the Greenland between greasley and watnall l. The demographic of the area is separate to that of Eastwood as even in the small distance greasley defines itself more as a village than a town and I feel the community spirit would be harmed in joining the area to Eastwood. I also believe the house prices would be harmed if the greasley parish were to be replaced with Eastwood and greasley town "</p>	Object
GPC364	<p>"It would appear that this action of forming a new Council area, is in fact, 'done deal', and anything I or other residents may say, will be overlooked. I would prefer to keep Greasley Parish Council as it is now. It serves the community well. There has never been a more appropriate application of the old saying "If it ain't broke, don't fix it"</p>	Object
GPC365		Object
GPC366	<p>Leave well alone.</p>	Object

GPC367	"Why is this happening? We have been well served by our parish council who have / are very active in promoting our amenities & the parish in general We will loose our identity if swallowed up into the proposed larger parish"	Object
GPC368	Greasley is an important part of the structure of this area. It is a unique area that matters to those who live in it. Please keep Greasley Parish for those who live in it!	Object
GPC369	I believe that splitting Greasley with any other councils would defiantly have a big impact on our services provided for the residents. I think Greasley do a great job handling all our local services. If this was split up between more additional councils I'm sure we wouldn't get the kind of service which we have now. We have already lost Kimberley Leisure Centre which was used for the young and the elderly. I wonder what it would be next on the cards.	Object
GPC37	We are very concerned about the proposal for the following reasons - 1. Rural Greasley and urban Eastwood are very different communities both geographically and demographically, with different needs and priorities 2. The closure of Kimberley Leisure Centre has had a profound effect on our local community. Greasley Sports and Community Centre fortunately has been able to replace some of what was lost, for those who can get to it. The threat of its closure if the new council decides not to maintain it would be devastating for many people whose physical and mental health and social well being rely on places like this. 3. It is fundamentally wrong to disregard the needs of the community that a council is meant to serve	Object
GPC371	Greasley should remain as Greasley. We need to remain as the historical parish it has always been.	Object
GPC372	"I think it would be a real shame to dissolve Greasley Parish area if it will put at risk the likes of the sports centre which is very well used and much appreciated. I belong to a [REDACTED] we meet every [REDACTED] evening. I love it so much I travel from Wollaton Park. There are a large group of us and we appreciate the venue it's perfect for us and the staff are lovely. So friendly and helpful. I would hate to see them and their jobs, or the venue at risk!"	Object
GPC373	You've only got to look at the sate of Eastwood to see why people are against Greasley being dissolved. Terrible decline in the area - Councillors seem to be non existant - no support for the community as it is let alone making it bigger! Leave Greasley Parish as it is!	Object
GPC374	I know its money but to loose Greasley would affect lots of groups & if they closed where would they go. It affects OAPs if they can't get out local would be good - for them. Greasley has a lovely sports Hall. The Centre is open for [???] all sorts of activities	Object
GPC375	I would think a committee sitting in either Eastwood or Kimberley will not have local interests at heart. Why change something that is not broken. Is it money, ego or what. Nowhere dose it mention WHY this proposal is being put forward DO NOT DISSOLVE GREASLEY PARISH	Object
GPC376	Leave well alone. It works the way it is. Why try to mend something that is not broken.	Object
GPC377		Object
GPC378		Object

GPC379	<p>Expect increases in council tax - allotment rent</p> <p>End of Greasley Gathering</p> <p>Garden Show</p> <p>Will close sport hall</p>	Object
GPC38	<p>Having lived in Newthorpe for over 40 years it is very clear how well Greasley Parish have done with the money allocated to them as shown on our community charge sheet. Because of this they have us as a distinct and caring community and represented us well within the Broxtowe Borough Council. Broxtowe as far as I understand have never been in touch with us to see how we feel about changes (after all the years we have lived here) like this, [a?g??t] what the advantages would be if we became part of a bigger parish. So I say why make changes when it is not necessary.</p>	Object
GPC380	<p>I just think we should stay as we are so we have more say</p>	Object
GPC381	<p>The current Greasley Parish was created in 1894. It has been a stable PARish for one hundred and thirty years. The residents and businesses in the area have been well served and content with the whole operation of the Parish by successive groups of local councillors</p> <p>Prior to 1894 Greasley had existed for hundreds of years and a long time ago included Kimberley, Brinsley and Selston! We also had our own castle. Perhaps it should be reinstated to protect our Parish.</p> <p>I am not convinced that the authorities proposed to take over our Parish, one of the largest independent Parishes in Nottinghamshire have the same fine record of administration achieved by Greasley Parish Council.</p> <p>Why change at considerable cost something that works well and satisfies residents of our community.</p>	Object
GPC382	<p>I do not want any of the services I enjoy and have for many years to disappear as Kimberley has (ie. swimming pool.) I [???] think this is a cost cutting exercise. [???] the council manage their buget [???] money. will be too big and [???] [???] money should be better spend</p>	Object
GPC383	<p>Concerns regarding the future of Greasley Leisure Centre. The Centre being the central hub for all, and surrounding communities, schools, charities, associations ect, Having used the centre and its facilities for quite a few years, I would say "This is a life line for me and a large number of people for fitness, mental health, welling being, and a preventative of anxiety and depression," This alone takes the burden off NHS Services. I would add the importance of the professional and caring management and staff who are an invalid asset. Creating a Safe Haven for Warm Hub, clubs, classess, Grove gatherings, charities and a valid service for local schools. Without the centre there would be an increase in the CRIME RATE in this area without a doubt.</p>	Object
GPC384		Object
GPC385	<p>Joining a bigger area may not ensure the protection of our green belt areas in Greasley as the people who are near those areas may not feel the same way in wanting to be near green belt areas. People often purchases properties based on the surrounding area & facilities.</p> <p>We need to protect the Greasley Sports Centre facilities - having lost Kimberley after lots of people have spent all their life going there is a huge loss. Lots of local people are now using Greasley so lets protect this facility.</p> <p>We need to protect our area & not all the residents in Eastwood I feel appreciate their surroundings</p>	Object

GPC386	<p>Why does this need to happen?</p> <p>All okay as it is!</p> <p>Broxtowe are inept at making good decisions and should concentrate on more immediate problems in the area.</p>	Object
GPC387	<p>The footpath between main street (opposite the Foresters Pub) has never been open for nearly 10 years. We used to walk on this path to Greasley Church. Why has it never opened again.</p>	Object
GPC388	<p>For the overall well being of the community we would prefer to see the reasley Council stay as it is.</p> <p>The representation of the councillors and community support providers are hard workers that have dedicated their lives to the parish and surrounding areas</p>	Object
GPC389	<p>Why alter something that is running well?</p> <p>Eastwood is very different from Greasley and will have a very different outlook on priorities. Likewise Kimberley and Watnall.</p> <p>There will certainly be expense in the change over. Not good in the current financial climate.</p>	Object
GPC39		Object
GPC390	<p>Greasley Parish Council is a more rural part of the district with different needs to Eastwood with a larger population and more urban in character.</p> <p>Would cause Giltbrook to become more in danger of expansion and subject to unwanted projects such as tram extension</p>	Object
GPC391	<p>As a long standing resident in Greasley Parish I am opposed to the Review proposals. Greasley Parish has a unique identity quite separate to that of Eastwood Town. That local community identity would be eroded if the Review proposals were to ahead. Should the boundary changes be enacted then the name of the new are should at least reflect geographic and [??] reality - I would suggest "Eastwood town AND Greasley".</p> <p>Please keep me updated on this Review</p>	Object
GPC392	<p>I have lived in Greasley for the past 42 years. Greasley has a lot of history and is a rural community. It is not advantageous to join it with Eastwood, which is a town and has different needs. It is a waste of time and money to make these unnecessary changes. The staff at Greasley Sports Centre work well and very hard to serve the community. They also work along side the councillors, who are all volunteers, and put a lot of time into serving the community.</p>	Object
GPC392		Object
GPC393	<p>Leave well alone</p>	Object
GPC394	<p>We feel no need for any changes to be made to the current setup</p> <p>Once again the powers that be are more interested in pointless projects like this, as opposed to [??] [??] like terrible roads and run down areas</p>	Object

GPC395	<p>This seems a complete waste of time, resources and money. Why doesn't Broxtowe Borough Council concentrate it's energies on things that matter to people like fixing pot holes, regenerating town centres, improving social care and developing brownfield sites</p> <p>Greasley is a rural parish and therefore has different and diametrically opposite needs to towns and urban areas. It is important to recognise and maintain these separate identities to contain the relentless urban sprawl</p> <p>I have been resident in Greasley Parish for over 50 years, was born here, members of my family are buried in the parish church and feel neither part of or have any affinity with either Eastwood or Kimberley</p> <p>I do not feel that Broxtowe Borough Council should have either the right or the power to take this action and I seen nothing to convince me that it is necessary or will benefit any of the residents it affects. I dare say it will either be politically motivated or a power or money grab by those trying to implement it.</p>	Object
GPC396	Changes to present boundaries will mean closure of current local government centre ie librarie leisure centres etc all to save money impacting poor, elderly [???] young alike especially elderly as such institutions are critical to their physical + mental health	Object
GPC397	Is it to do with cost!! Heard that Greasley Sports Centre is in debt!!	Not stated
GPC398	Ive lived here all my life Greasley!	Object
GPC399		Object


GPC3- GPC4	<p>In a time where we are constantly informed that council resources are challenged and funding for priority services is limited, I am astounded that the issue of boundary changes to parish and town councils within Broxtowe BC is once again on the agenda. Less than 2 years have passed since the last revue was proposed and dismissed, yet here you are spending scarce money on the issue.</p> <p>As a resident of the parish of Greasley for almost 39 years I wish to inform you that I am somewhat alarmed by the contents of your letter, of June, and the proposed merger detailed within.</p> <p>Greasley is an historic parish, dating back to the Domesday Book and the Parish Council was originally formed 130 odd years ago. The area represented by the Council is of a rural character, containing a significant number of small settlements which were, at one time, seperated by open country, from the larger towns of Eastwood and Kimberley. Although progressive urbanisation has eroded this seperation most of these areas retain their own identity and have no wish to be absorbed into the urban area of Eastwood. The proposal to redraw the boundaries of the local parishes and of Eastwood Town Council, as indicated in your letter, along with the suppression of the parish of Greasley, can only have a detrimental effect on those that live within the current Greasley catchment area.</p> <p>New Eastwood, and the adjoining Giltbrook area, has seen/is seeing considerable development in terms of residential housing but, even with the voice of Greasley to lobby on our behalf, has seen virtually zero complimentary development in local services with no additional new schools, no new health care facilities and improvment in public transport. I would point out that the only locally funded sports/leisure venue is that of Greasley Sports Centre, which is funded by the Greasley electorate, via the Parish Council. No such facilities exist under the auspices of Eastwood Town Council, something of which Broxtowe Borough Council do not appear to have challenged.</p> <p>Representation on the new council will be such that Greasley's voice will be severely marginalised, and the new council will be dominated by an urban mind set. Should the proposed merger proceed as indicated it will condemn those of us directly impacted to a future where our voice and the weight of our opinion is considerably reduced. Being absorbed into a mass of Eastwood does not inspire any confidence that our situation would improve. One only needs to look at the desolate state of the town centre to see the result of the dismal approach of the current Town Council to the provisoin of facilities for the electorate. In the time I have lived here the Eastwood has degenerated hugely, and every Eastwood Town Council decision has only accelerated the decline.</p> <p>The final point to register is that there cannot possibly be any gains in efficiency, nor in cost reductions from these proposed changes. Greasley Parish Council is a voluntary council body, with only one paid position, which will almost certainly need to transfer to the new combined council. I strongly object to this proposal and, like many such changes, it will be one that is never reversed, even if it is found to be an abject failure. Accordingly, I would ask that you reconsider.</p>	Object
GPC40	<p>"Residents pay more in council tax than neighbouring areas yet the council provides fewer facilities. I appreciate that it runs the leisure centre but it has has fewer civic responsibilities (Commemorations, etc) and far less to spend on.</p> <p>I was also annoyed that it was only Eastwood councillors who came and helped in the area during recent floods, despite the far lower voter-councilor ratio"</p>	Support
GPC400	<p>Greasley is a vital part of our local community & the events that place at the community centre are all locally based which I believe would be lost forever if Broxtowe were to take control of all the issues & events that take place at the community centre, my belief is that Broxtowe Borough Council would concentrate on Beestons issues only, we would lose any priority</p>	Object

GPC401	<p>Leave out parishes as they are</p> <p>They've existed for a thousand years</p> <p>No some non-decript bunch of jobsworths want to alter them</p> <p>We want to keep our individuality</p>	Object
GPC402	<p>Small is beautiful!</p> <p>We've all seen what happens when small efficient units are changed into larger - the Queens Med being a prime example</p>	Object
GPC403	<p>Greasley Parish as a stand alone area has been the backstop of much history over many years. It exists as beatiful rolling countryside, small villages and local amenities - it stands alone compared to its local town neighbours and should remain separate. Decisions like these are made by faceless people with no connection or relevance to the local communities they serve.</p>	Object
GPC404	<p>We live on Smithurst Road and enjoy all the open spaces and parks that are always very well looked after</p>	Object
GPC405	<p>Leave things as they are. If it isn't broke don't fix it, it never works properly again.</p>	Object
GPC406	<p>The current system is well tried and tested and is right for the majority of parishioners. It seems that this is a political proposition which is in my view not to the benefit of parishioners, but tailored to the political advantage only.</p> <p>It is pointless to change a system that is enjoyed by the majority and would in fact see the loss of many parish facilities and amenities currently enjoyed by the community.</p>	Object
GPC407	<p>Why change something that works really well in the community. When change happens it usually means that things will be shut down. We go to Greasley Parish Hall every week are we going to lose this which is vital for the retired. Why mend something thats not broken.</p>	Object
GPC408	<p>Why change it. I have lived here fore 60 years and am happy with it as it is.</p> <p>I go to Greasley Parish hall every week are we going TO LOSE THIS</p>	Object
GPC409	<p>Why change - when Greasley council have looked after their residents. Change usually means losing amenities and benifits that have been provided by Greasley council. I have lived Greasley for over 50 years - why change?</p>	Object
GPC41		Object
GPC410	<p>Why change, no need. The school our children go to are in our catchment area and this change does not allow further Giltbrook families to attend (by criteria) the Gilthill primary school in future one assumes.</p> <p>Been happy with things as they are. We identify with the present need. Don't want to be attached, moved to Eastwood.</p> <p>As above, there will be disruption.</p> <p>Leave it alone.</p>	Object

GPC411	<p>The north of Broxtowe has been neglected for a long time and Broxtowe Borough Council pays no heed to the needs of the residents in the north of the borough. Our only voice is through our committed and accountable parish council / councillors. To remove them will leave residents without a voice.</p> <p>The closure of Kimberley Leisure Centre, the neglect of Kimberley and Eastwood town centres, the lack of management of our green spaces, are all things that [???] by decision taken without consulting those who live there.</p> <p>The state of the roads in the north of the borough is another example of how we are neglected.</p> <p>The Sports Hall, gym and parish council facility on Dovecote Road is the one shining example of how much good the parish council does for this overlooked area. We aren't Eastwood, we aren't Kimberley, we are our own community, closely linked with those around us but with very different needs.</p>	Object
GPC412	<p>I live on Smithurst Rd. Giltbrook and since I moved here in 1985 I have always considered myself as living in the parish of Greasley.</p> <p>I sadly lost my wife in 2003 and her remains are in the parish church of Greasley i.e. St Marys.</p> <p>I would be most upset if the parish lost its identity</p> <p>Please keep me informed of any updates</p> <p>Thank you</p>	Object
GPC413	<p>Comparatively Eastwood and Kimberley's recreation & community areas are not maintained to the better standards you see throughout Greasley. Greasley is a semi-rural area and will naturally not share the same priorities of Eastwood town. Having lived here since birth I have seen Greasley Parish Council successfully deter property development on our cherished greenbelt which holds so much history and is a vital and central part of our community. We do not trust that a new council will uphold the protection of this land. nor see the importance of our highly maintained community areas.</p>	Object
GPC414	<p>I see no issues / complications / concerns with the way that things are now. We have a great community thanks to the Greasley Parish Council with use of a gym, fitness classes, community activities and much more so why get rid of it?</p> <p>There is a wide variety of ways to keep fit and healthy at an affordable price within a local area you need to consider the impact that this will have on the communities health and wellbeing.</p>	Object
GPC415	<p>Why change something when it's perfectly fine the way it is? It'll be a huge loss to Greasley as a community. The parish does an outstanding job of looking after everyone in the community. It's clear they don't only care about their job but also the people they support. In regards to the Sports & Community Centre peoples health and wellbeing would be effected which will effect the community negatively</p>	Object
GPC416	<p>I am a widow + to stop myself being lonely I am heavily involved with many groups who hold their meeting at Greasley Parish Hall including the [REDACTED] Group and [REDACTED]. As [REDACTED] of Kimberley WI we as a WI take part in events at Greasley Parish Hall incl Greasley Christmas Cracker event</p> <p>Greasley Parish Hall is an integral part of our community + it would be a travesty if Greasley Parish is eliminated. I am aware that Broxtowe is really only interested in Beeston and its surround but it is about time that some thought is given to the welfare of this side of your area</p>	Object
GPC417	<p>It needs to stay as it is to protect our local amenities</p>	Object

GPC418	We need our green areas , doesn't have to be houses and business every where ,the more green areas you dissolve will impact on all the wildlife as well as a the residents .	Object
GPC419		Object
GPC42		Object
GPC420	Greasley Council works for the local residents, rather than being swallowed into a larger council that has no investment in the area. This would be a detrimental step.	Object
GPC421	For me its about leisure services we've already lost kimberley ,leisure centre can we trust broxtowe or other parish councils to keep them safe from closures. I agree merging may prove financially a good thing but will public recreational services still be upheld as they are now ????	Object
GPC422	<p>"I have lived in the Greasley Parish council most of my life, I went to school in the Parish and I was married in the Parish.</p> <p>I believe that Greasley has a distinct character, that would not be served well be moving us into a town council. I have always felt that we are a parish that feels much more rural and therefore have quite different needs and priorities.</p> <p>The small additional council tax is very good value for money and the sports hall in particular is an excellent and much loved part of the area, used by my family for various activities and events.</p> <p>If we are absorbed into a town council, issues that affect the parish will not have the focus that the parish council can offer."</p>	Object
GPC423	I am strongly against this merger as the larger council will over look the smaller area with no voice when things need closing or difficult discussions are to be made. If this closure of Greasley goes ahead I take it that I do not need to pay the part of the council tax that goes to Greasley as it is no longer around as in effect all councillors in Greasley ward would be made redundant.	Object
GPC424	I would be worried about the future of Greasley parish and sports hall. I attend a group there which does amazing things for my mental health, along with many others. To lose this would be devastating.	Object
GPC425	To stop all the community activities at Greasley sports would be such a shame. We lost kimberley sports facilities recently and the Community needs places to go ..to relax ,have fun and be part of a social space.	Object
GPC426	<p>"We are very well served by our local representatives.</p> <p>We are not Eastwood or Kimberley.</p> <p>Local matters best dealt with by our local council, who care about local matters."</p>	Object
GPC427	Greasley should be independent. We are not part of the above areas, shouldn't be grouped with them	Object
GPC428	We regularly use Greasley Sports Centre and fear that with dissolution of Greasley Parish Council this will go the same way as Eastwood and Kimberley Centres. Greasley Centre is friendly and well attended and we cannot afford to lose another Centre in this area. Also the area is unique and well served by Greasley Parish Council and doesn't need to be swallowed up by larger councils. Please leave Greasley alone!	Object
GPC429	Please don't dissolve the council Greasley is such a great place and I love going to the gym there - have for many years. Please don't dissolve as you'd lose this community	Object

GPC43	<p>It is vital to our community to retain Greasley Parish as it is rather than dissolving the Parish & ending the community it has been for decades. Greasley is a lovely community with many facilities & events that bring us all together.</p> <p>WHY are WE, the Council Tax payers (!) NOT listened to & decisions constantly made "just to save money". BUT it is not about just saving money is it? WE are people & WE are the ones who use all of the local amenities.</p> <p>I, for example, use the Greasley Sports Hall gym. I don't want to attend a faceless, chain of gyms! THIS gym has a very wide range of ages (particularly the older members of our community) where you're not judged by how you look. WHY don't the decision-makers actually listen for once and not just to save money.</p>	Object
GPC430	Greasley Parish Council operates very efficiently and provides essential services to the local Community. I strongly object to this decision by Broxtowe and can see no benefit to the local residents.	Object
GPC431	I have lived in Greasley Parish all my life and have been well supported by members of council who have citizens of the Parish close to their heart.It would be a travesty if we were to lose it.	Object
GPC432		Object
GPC433		Object
GPC434		Object
GPC435		Object
GPC436		Object
GPC437		Object
GPC438	<p>"This seems to be change for change sake and I cannot understand why this proposal has been discussed. For me I live in [REDACTED] but this also includes [REDACTED] where I do live. Living on the outskirts of a Parish and District we are often left out because it is assumed we are part of the neighbouring council. These changes may well increase this.</p> <p>However my main reason for having a say is the role Greasley Sports Centre has had on myself and my mental health. I have been using the centre since 2013 and have also been a member for that time. The centre has been a great help to me and the staff there provide reassurance and friendliness which other sports centres and gyms may not. What has happened to Kimberley Leisure Centre does not provide me with reassurance that the same thing may well happen and all the reasons which make Greasley appeal to me may well no longer exist. I appreciate my own Council, Ashfield did the same with Selston and these changes do not help me either. I use the adage if something is broke do not try to fix it.</p> <p>I have no political motivation in my statement here but I am a political person. I have serious questions on what the outgoing MP had to say about this? I cannot use my own MP to raise an issue because ideologically my politics are much more to the left and I appreciate that on these issues you should contact your own MP. I do believe in people power and I think consultations can help but at the end of the day these issues should be put to those it directly effects. There should be a referendum not just from those who live in Greasley but also for the other areas this impacts? Do they want the addition of Greasley for instance? All those should have a say at tthe ballot box. These things should be a bottom up approach and not a top down like it or lump it. After all Councils are meant to represent the people and not the other way round!"</p>	Object

GPC439	<p>It's ridiculus!</p> <p>I have lived on Holmewood Drive since it's creation (34 years) and back onto the park which came many years later & is maintained beautifully. I live in Giltbrook, I totally disagree with the concept of its disappearance from this area and become part of somewhere else.</p> <p>We seem to be overridden by Broxtowe Council in other areas as well. There are now notices put up on Halls Lane (leads down to the bridge over the A610) stating its closure until Dec 24th for building preparations on the land which was a private tip for many years and has innumerable unknown hazardous waste products buried in the proposed building site! It has notices of closure on it preventing cyclists, dog walkers and the general public from using it. The 3ft high grass has been [??] and stakes haved sited on it. There are numerous blue pipes situated on this land which protect sensing valves for gas leakage from tip beneath. Soon the nature that has formed on this lovely piece of land will be removed, foxes & badgers will disappear (I have seen a badger & 2 cubs some years ago) Please use all your powers to save it.</p> <p>And keep Giltbrook as a district in it's own right.</p>	Object
GPC44	<p>By dissolving Greasley Parish Council, we feel that it will have a negative impact on the services provided to residents as a bigger council has a much greater population to represent. Greasley P.C. has our best interests at heart & shows this by the events & services that it provides in breast screening, blood bank, social groups etc. The newsletter is very informative and keeps the history of the village & surrounding areas alive. It will be a very sad day if it is not allowed to continue its dedicated work for our community & its health & well being</p>	Object
GPC440	<p>Tell them no thank you</p>	Object
GPC441	<p>Worried we will lose the Green Belt for nature, will life and our survival and the many essential services for the community, that our vulnerable, young and old deeply rely on.</p> <p>THANK YOU GREASLEY PARISH FOR YOUR MANY YEARS OF DEDICATION PLEASE MAY IT SURVIVE FOR MANY MORE</p>	Object
GPC442		Object
GPC443	<p>May I address the powers that be that we have just gone through a General Election and the influence of austerity has just died! A backward step in cutting services is not going to be in vogue now and as parishoners we all deserve the best we can afford. So rethink your position on this calamitous change and keep the status quo</p>	Object
GPC444	<p>We only need ONE council for Nottinghamshire. Nottinghamshire County Council + 1 MP (English professional)</p> <p>We as a professional family (employers) moved out of Nottingham City in the early 1960's due to the influx of overseas, unskilled, uneducational standards following the creation of the welfare state & NHS in 1948, uncontrolled migration (economic migrants) have bankrupted English inner-cities, totally changing the demographic.</p> <p>We the indigenous university educated elite as a group want only ONE council for Nottinghamshire (Nottinghamshire County Council).</p> <p>In May 2024 the political parties 'imposed' a mayor and East Midlands Council on the electorate (no public consultation or local referendum) Democracy my arse!! As a result 76% of the electorate declined to vote.</p> 	Object

GPC445	"Why reinvent the wheel when its not broken What is the purpose of the change - financial?, local government is for local people giving relevant services to the local community Why destroy history - hundreds of years of history Recent history shows larger organisations deliver poorer services Labours catch phrase is 'Labour will serve Britain', removing a working, efficient and financially stable government provider does not comply with the new Labour Government policy	Object
GPC446	Greasley Parish is a great little community council with lots of facilities for us residents. We do NOT want to be 'dissolved" to join Eastwood. Especially as yet another cost-cutting exercise.	Object
GPC447	I live in Giltbrook I do not see how becoming part of Eastwood would make this area better. Greasley has much better amenities and better housing. Eastwood is becoming increasingly rundown. Parish boundaries are about churches and faith. Not just something that a borough council can dismiss on a whim to save money. Is this another issue for you to ignore residents views on?	Object
GPC448	Greasley Parish is currently able to provide local provision for the local area and beyond, and its residents. That could be lost with no local representation.	Object
GPC449	I live in Watnall and the parish is Greasley. As a resident this represents the more rural setting in which I live not the town of Kimberley.	Object
GPC45	Greasley Parish has a history dating back to the 14th Century and should remain Greasley Parish. Broxtowe Borough Council are purely trying to push this forward for there own gain and no other reason. I wouldn't be surprised if they are planning to build yet more houses on greenbelt land like they have been trying for years to do, instead of pursuing brown land or derelict buildings. Absolute disgrace. There is no logical reason for the change.	Object
GPC450	"Greasley should remain as Greasley ! Don't mess with our heritage! "	Object
GPC451	I believe this doesn't reflect the views of the local residents. Greasley is a great community and I also believe this would negatively affect my house price. Why fix something that isn't broken.	Object
GPC452	"Greasley is it's own distinct area, different from Eastwood, Kimberley and Watnall. We have a vibrant community that would be split up under these proposals. We would also lose a huge part of our heritage. This is just a cost-cutting exercise that offers no benefit to our local community."	Object
GPC453		Object
GPC454		Object
GPC455		Object
GPC456		Object
GPC457		Object
GPC458	The history of the area should be respected and honoured by keeping Greasley as it is. There's a village feel to the area, please don't combine it with the local towns.	Object
GPC459		Object
GPC46	I have lived in Greasley Parish for 88 years and am quite happy to stay here	Object
GPC460		Object

GPC461	We live in Moorgreen and are under Greasley we can't understand why we would be lumped together after all this time, can't see that there's anything to gain from this. It's a lovely parish and we should be kept separate as we will just become part of a larger area instead of a lovely community.	Object
GPC462	68r/KGoV901hLnX8fCAzO8Dfcdwjd4s9FR7jforXV/eraihsRzCPEDfd4opzGRA/wiT0DIdFOIkUjOU37yMhHR0sHCjX18h1/lyFEBgHkh5jUUbC4wumlq41cM pVl14fRNPSaPZNFvyGZaG6OUR8ziTqvKk2j02UfvdKr6Pa+h97MzKRSvkswlm+eVD/1FfhIN3xZVF+64XxoBi7Mvrx1K991adLqG/LEyONVgE+kE8mq5LM4TC Fcr6PaB2EXL8HyZAXXEjUqrnclkk2omAJYUT1kr0zOf2hT0J0JTiWw8SS+c1Cd4IM3MHpodBj7Bh1nj8YC7KzAqSWAZNSGsaovA/CeVliiEE0KKosmKfEHjND4 np1uK6Av+HMLsVog2OmnETyLy3lq9L57Vzr0G1QVr7zXbghiEoGg/Eo+EqbSYksgzkrxz5plp7PwDQyXGHZYV/Krc2YdgKfXq35zDDDYSFRdwuoCXxAL04fqvSt xcGiYaPhOGIpeUHA==	Object
GPC463	Greasley Parish is small but mighty. Heaps of history, like Watnall. It would be a great shame for it to disappear. Greasley area contains alot for it's size and we're proud to be apart of this small but mighty parish. Please don't allow it to disappear. Stand up for the area, don't be the reason it disappears into the history books and off the map	Object
GPC464	We are a community with an identity. We don't want to be swallowed up by Eastwood, or Kimberley, as we are more of a semi-rural village type of community, not a town. I have lived here almost 50 years, and being part of Greasley is significant in my sense of identity with the community. It is a beautiful area, and is highly valued by its residents, who are very proud to be part of Greasley parish, symbolised by the beautiful Greasley parish church of St Mary's. Please don't take our sense of being a community away. We have no identity with the nearby towns.	Object
GPC465		Object
GPC466- GPC530	"Faith in Broxtowe Borough Council is at an all time low, this is a final blow to the beleaguered residents of Greasley. Broxtowe does little to service the residents outside of Beeston and Stapleford, we are the forgotten corner. We made our voice heard already, we wish to keep the current parish arrangements."	Object
GPC467	It would be a great shame do go ahead with this proposal for the people of Greasley.	Object
GPC468	I think this proposal is a cost cutting exercise that fails to take into account the needs of the local community while still taking the communities money in the form of council tax. Already the needs of this area are neglected by BBC . This will make it far to unwieldy to ensure that the needs of each area of communities are met.	Object
GPC469	I think the areas affected will lose the sense of community and rural feel. I'm especially concerned about the green belt being protected as it is so important to the well being of everyone, it's accessibility, it's many walks and beautiful views lift the spirits and mental health of everyone. Greasley Parish Council have done a fantastic job over the years, and I feel that local people should continue to have more say in local matters not less, that, after all is how you build happy communities who can take pride in their areas, who know the people representing them, and understand local issues rather than being swallowed up into a large organisation, that would feel Impersonal and alienating.	Object
GPC47	Totally agree with the comments above. Don't fix what isn't broken!	Object
GPC470	"1. Greasley is nor a town 2. It's needs are very different to Eastwood as a town. 3. Eastwood has very little to offer Greasley...no health centre, no sports centre, very limited parks, limited town centre parking, no community centre.....Greasley Community Centre works reasonably well that would have to be shared with Eastwood which has nothing of equivalence. 4. Why? What are the reasons...why does Greasley have to be consumed? 5. Many of Eastwoods issues are because it is the furthest place away from Beeston and Broxtowe HQ....it seems to be at the back of the list for many things. You can't even get to Beeston by public transport yet in the same Borough. Greasley does not want to be part of this situation."	Object
GPC471	Tear the heart out the place	Object

GPC472	It's a disgrace that this is being dissolved as it runs a huge risk for things such as the spits Center and 3G football pitch being closed down/cut back as recently seen with the Leisure Center in Kimberley	Object
GPC473		Object
GPC474	Please leave this Parish well alone. Listen to the people who live here. If it's not broken, don't fix it.	Object
GPC475	I sincerely hope this doesn't happen. Having previously lived in Watnall and now Newthorpe, Greasley has been a wonderful Parrish to live in and identify as a resident outside of Eastwood and Kimberley, both of which are large towns with many strains on their local councils as it is. I would not want to identify as an Eastwood resident due to the negative publicity it has.	Object
GPC476	I think it is such a shame that Greasley will no longer be recognised. Greasley goes back so many years in history. It is being totally wiped out and shouldn't be aloud to happen. We should all stand together to keep Greasley for our future generations!	Object
GPC477	I'd prefer that the Greasley Parish Council remains as it currently is.	Object
GPC478	Why ??? There is no need just leave it as it is and stop wasting money.	Object
GPC479	"As a Greasley resident and a scout leader of 1st Greasley Scout group I totally object to becoming part of the Eastwood council area. Greasley has such a great community feel and I'm proud to live in such a stunning part of the district and say I live in Greasley. The sports hall and community hall offer a wide and varied itinerary with community at the heart of it all. It would be such ashame to lose this very old identity and become part of the wider area."	Object
GPC48		Object
GPC480	"This action will not be beneficial to the residents and businesses in the area There has already been public meetings and discussions and all present were adamant that this plan should not go forward Thank you"	Object
GPC481	Keep Greasley as it is	Object
GPC482	"I regularly use Greasley Sports centre - usually at least three times a week(spin/badminton/table tennis) and am also a member of the gym. Many of the people I see when I attend, are local. It provides facilities to both young and old and I would hate to see it go the way of Kimberly leisure centre. The Greasley staff are brilliant and the centre is well run and clean. I fear that if Greasley loses it's identity that this wonderful facility will either change for the worse, or close. Please let Greasley keep it's own identity. There is no need to change a system which has worked so well for years"	Object
GPC483	Keep Greasley Parish as it is. I am against Greasley parish being dissolved. We belong to the community of Greasley and we should have been part of the decision to make changes. I'm one of the committee who run a weekly ladies group at Greasley Sports Hall and our group is 76 years old. We want to keep this community group going in GREASLEY!	Object
GPC484		Object
GPC485		Object
GPC486	Greasley Parish council has always been such a wonderful community, we live in this area and we would all be lost without it, the community spirit, the events put on, how much the school is involved (of which I attended and now my son attends) it would be so detrimental to our area to lose this.	Object
GPC487	Greasley parish has it's own distinct character and history. The parish acts as a vital open air space between the urban sprawl of Kimberley and Eastwood. No doubt the presnt labour government want to build all over beautifull areas like Greasle so even more reason for us to keep our local representatives.	Object
GPC488	This is a ridiculous proposal, we would lose our identity at a parish that has existed for a long time, meaning we would get swallowed up into an urban council rather than the rural one we are, I don't want to lose the facilities we have.	Object

GPC489	<p>"My experience of Greasley Parish Council, and employees is very positive. Without exception they are helpful, efficient and friendly. The only reason I can see to merge councils is fiscal.</p> <p>There is no advantage to the Greasley residents in removing a functioning local Council representing and supporting its Parishioners. I do hope your campaign is successful as I fear that the monetary gains for Broxtowe Council will overcome any concerns from us residents."</p>	Object
GPC49	<p>Please leave as it is.</p> <p>Appears to be of no benefit to myself</p>	Object
GPC490	<p>I think the recommendation of dissolution of the greasley parish council is a poor decision and should not be upheld. The parish council has done more for the local area than Eastwood and Kimberley have ever done. This is just a ploy to bring in the sports centre for them to ruin as it a good decent business and the lack of local sports centres in both Eastwood and Kimberley are poor decisions from them both. The parish council has always and would always represent the views of the local area. Removing this would just make the local greasley parish area an insufficient addition to the Eastwood area that is already being ran poorly and lacking massively in each and every year getting worse</p>	Object
GPC491	<p>"I am against this merger for several reasons but the two main ones are as follows:</p> <p>Firstly I run a [REDACTED] Group that meets in the Parish Hall every week. [REDACTED] and we believe from the comments made that the club plays a big part in their wellbeing. We are getting referrals from Doctors for people who are struggling. To this end we believe that we are providing a service for the Community. My worry is that the Parish Hall would no longer be required and could be sold off because I believe this merger is about saving money and nothing else. The staff at the Centre give us the greatest help and are very supportive.</p> <p>Secondly I feel that Greasley is a community which is very important to people who live in Greasley. That community will be lost with the merger and the identity that we feel about Greasley will be gone."</p>	Object
GPC492		Object
GPC493		Object
GPC494	<p>Greasley is the LARGEST parish in broxtowe but is continually forgotten by them. We do not wish to be part of eastwood or kimberley both are towns. Both would love to get Greasley assets ie sports centre, community entre(given to Greasley by the Barber Family)</p> <p>The parks that are maintained by the parish.</p> <p>You cannot decide the future od this parish sitting in London</p>	Object
GPC495	<p>I'm very alarmed to see these proposed changes. Greasley Parish Council is well run with approachable and helpful staff. The heart of the area is the well run Greasley Sports & Community Centre which means so much to so many local people. Personally over the past 50+ years I have attended playgroup, girl guides, the wedding reception of one of my closest friends, exercise classes, various fayres/sales (as an organiser and a visitor), hosted charity events in my role as a volunteer fundraiser for Cancer Research UK, hosted my 40th birthday party, am a regular (3-5 times per week) gym member, have had appointments at the breast screening unit and more. The Parish Hall has played a massive part in my life and I would be absolutely gutted if it closed. I'm also a regular attendee of the Greasley Gathering and Christmas Cracker events which are always good fun and well supported. Please please please keep Greasley Parish Council and don't merge us with other areas who may not have as much enthusiasm for looking after local people.</p>	Object
GPC496	<p>Watnall has already been included in change of voting area ie Nottingham North. I believe this further change is part of a long term plan to eventually change the boundaries of Nottingham City Council extending outwards into Kimberley, therefore grabbing green belt land to build on and completely changing the character and rural setting of the area. Watnall and Kimberley have already seen more building and therefore congestion. Broxtowe Council appears to be shedding this particular area and shows very little interest or respect for the views of their residence. Whilst Bramcote sees improvements in their leisure facilities, including parks, little has been done to help Retain those in this part of the borough.</p>	Object
GPC497	<p>Greasley Parish Council provide residents with excellent facilities and understand the needs of its residents. I do not want to be swallowed up into a larger area. Part of the Parish Council's success is due to its size and local knowledge.</p>	Object

GPC498	Greasley Council do a fantastic job of managing all parks, allotments and particularly the Sports Centre, I really cannot understand how dissolving our community will benefit us? Kimberley have done a great job on the Leisure and swimming pool haven't they!!	Object
GPC499	In today's current financial climate where people are having to work more and spend more on basic living costs, parents in particular are feeling as though they have less quality time available to spend with their children at home and in the local community. Greasley Parish has enabled my family to access events, a create special memories with our children and the parish community that will be forever etched in our minds and hearts. The merge of small local parishes into larger towns is likely to reduce the sense of village community we have chosen for our children and take away the possibility of parish events and services that we have benefitted so greatly from and made an active choice to move to this area and raise our children here for.	Object
GPC50	Would this mean an increase or reduction in council tax? We are already in an area of high council tax. One of the highest in the country I believe	Object
GPC500	Greasley seems one of few areas to be ran well. Leave it alone	Object
GPC501	Within greasley the parish council manages (very well) 2 parks, allotments and the greasley sports and community hall plus other various activities. I cannot understand what benefit this would be to local people if this went ahead. We are not part of Kimberley or Eastwood.	Object
GPC502	This will adversely affect both the historical integrity of Greasley and all that is encompassed within that as well as the geographical boundary, long established. The residents of Greasley have made it clear that the majority oppose the proposals and this is yet another example of the voice of local people being ignored and overruled by those who do not necessarily live in the area and who have no investment of any kind in all that Greasley represents, both past and present	Object
GPC503	If we join Eastwood boundary, this will impact on us - Eastwood will allow greenbelt land to be free to build on. Houses are needed yes, but greenbelt says that a portion of land should separate various villages/towns etc . Use brown field sites. Please tell the people who use Nethergreen for recreation - keep Greasley as its original boundary - we don't want bundling into the Eastwood parish.	Object
GPC504- GPC790	"I knew Broxtowe would win all - the response they asked for was just a show to let the Plebs give their response to make them feel they were taking part, it was a foregone conclusion. So if we are in the Eastwood boundary - which I said earlier will then make it so easy to for BBC to turn any area they wish into non-Greenbelt land. I can see on the map that the fields I mentioned earlier this year show the disused railway line and this area is owned by BLOOR HOMES - oh so easy for BBC - all in place ready, never mind the flood risks and all the changes to the infrastructure - GREASLEY should keep the original boundary - it's historic, let us keep our history - not another council changing things for their benefit and changing its historic value. Not ""The country of my heart"" but ""the country of houses"", poor Lawrence would turn in his grave - if he had one and not floating round the Med. Better tell the walkers, runners, joggers, horse riders, dog walkers, cyclists - they won't have long to enjoy their little bit of rural enjoyment. It wouldn't be done in Wollaton or West Bridgford. KEEP GREASLEY'S ORIGINAL BOUNDARY. listen to the people."	Object
GPC505		Object
GPC506	1. Lost identity for Greasley 2. Loss of facilities and events 3. No change without consent of the residents	Object

GPC507	<p>The formation of a new parish will not benefit anyone from those in Eastwood and especially those in Greasley. In Greasley we have a brilliant parish council who takes care of the area and its facilities. It should also be noted that such facilities can still be used and benefitted from by residents in the other surrounding areas, however a combination of councils could lead to changes or neglect of such important community resources, another valuable point to be considered is the protection of the green belt area, large changes to the council, such as those proposed could be costly to the local environment.</p> <p>The combination and renaming of the council also begs other questions, how will St Marys Church in Greasley be named? It has always been Greasley Parish Church. Furthermore we cannot comprehend how money will be saved by implementing the scheme as parish councillors don't receive payment, does this imply the new council will simply do less? Remove free parish events? Invest less in its community?</p> <p>In conclusion, we are against the idea of the formation of a new parish council, the current Greasley council does a great job and we do not see why things need to change.</p>	Object
GPC508	Please leave Greasley to continue doing an excellent job.	Object
GPC509	<p>It is better to keep control local as services can be provided which are best tailored to local needs.</p> <p>Needs are quite different - demographics, social-economic in both areas. In the 2 parishes, ??? keep separate please.</p>	Object
GPC51	<p>This would be devastating for the area if this happens. Such an historical area should not be broken up. A lot of it is rural and shouldn't come under an urban council.</p> <p>Will special Greasley events be lost? This Greasley Gathering, Greasley Christmas Lights switch on.</p> <p>Will the Greasley Sports Centre be safe? Kimberley could not save their own. Eastwood has never had leisure facilities. Will all the green spaces be saved? - no planning for lots of houses? Would Greasley be neglected in an urban council with other priorities?</p>	Object
GPC510	<p>Why break what doesn't need fixing.</p> <p>Leave our green belt alone!!</p>	Object
GPC511	Keep Greasley Green	Object
GPC512	Greasley should stop as Greasley, with its lovely countryside and green belt. No more houses Built on our lovely countryside.	Object
GPC513		Object
GPC514	Can we please stop having this conversation we don't want to merge.	Object
GPC515	I don't like the sound of it. We get promised things and don't get them i.e. swimming pool, trams. I don't like them building on the countryside. The pot holes are terrible. Scrap all the public events it will be boring. Eastwood has gone down hill a lot.	Object
GPC517		Object
GPC518	As a Watnall family, we are totally against these proposals. We believe that Greasley Parish Council provides brilliant services to its residents and this is due to having a community governance focus. We have enjoyed many of the annual events and services they have offered in our 20 years residing in this area: The Greasley Gathering; The Greasley Christmas Cracker, Greasley Sports Centre and parks. Our fear is that this is a money-saving proposal and that once in place, these events and services will be discontinued. Shame on you Broxtowe Borough Council. You did very little to assist in keeping Kimberley Leisure Centre open and here again you are trying to shut down the one thing that actually works well in the area. We are so disappointed.	Object
GPC52		Object

GPC520	"I strongly disagree with dissolving Greasley Parish, it's been that way for years. We do NOT want to lose it, leave well alone. "	Object
GPC521	"I wish Greasley Parish to remain ad is. How much is this proposal going to cost ? More money wasted. "	Object
GPC522		Object
GPC523	"Greasley parish is not only a parish but our community with our own identity and history. I feel very strongly against the dissolution of Greasley parish which would be like erasing everything we've always called OUR community. "	Object
GPC524	My fear is that the area currently covered by Greasley Parish Council will quite possibly be neglected. The larger a town council gets the less time & personnel they have to concentrate on the details Greasley Parish Council does. Eastwood town in particular is very run down at the moment with empty shops and a building opposite the Sun Inn next to Pizza Paz almost falling down. What will happen to all the things that GPC look after once it's been taken over by a larger council ? Will Eastwood and the surrounding area be in a larger run down state ? Will amenities be looked after in the same way ? I doubt it. Why change something that works and isn't broken ? The Eastwood area needs an injection of cash not the braking down of the Parish Council.	Object
GPC525	The local parish and facilities which come with it are essential part of the community. Dissolving the parish and creating a new bigger one will not be the same.	Object
GPC526	Greasley should be separate to Eastwood.	Object
GPC527	Why would you lessen the community by including in the 'town' who will no doubt have preference. What will happen to our sports and community centre?	Object
GPC528	"It is vital that the interests of the community are at the heart of the proposals. I've recently joined the gym at the Sports Centre as our local Kimberley gym has changed ownership and raised fees to a level that is unacceptable. I agree to the proposals put forward for small boundary changes."	Mixed
GPC529		Object
GPC53	In Eastwood town there is more crime so our insurance will do doubt go up especially car & house contents. Council Tax will definitely go up due to the crime issue and new builds. The Eastwood T/C don't look after their constituents as well as Greasley We have lived here for over 60 years and my partner has too - its a pleasure to say were I live (Greasley) as its (Lawrence DH) that is well known - when you say Eastwood all they say is "Brown Town" Keep things as they are as it's working for us. Don't mess if its not broken - Leave Greasley alone!	Object
GPC531	"I can't see how the proposals benefit the people of Greasley parish Council."	Object
GPC532		Object
GPC533- GPC706	Is this goes ahead the many clubs held at Greasley sports centre will not be able to be held which means that many people like myself - a widow will be lonely and loneliness contributes to dementia. As Notts county council have closed care homes how do you and the council expect to deal with the fallout from loneliness all because of the shortsight of the Council who think it is a good idea to dissolve Greasley council without obviously thinking it through properly I take part in many of the events at Greasley sports centre and am active to get as many people as possible to fight against this proposal	Object
GPC534	I'm not in favour of dissolving the parish council. I feel this would have a detrimental effect on the area and would limit the local power for people in the area. I'm not happy with being part of a big council organisation that would not understand the needs of the surrounding area of which I live. I believe the care, consideration and sympathy for the history in the parish would disappear and the future of the area would be under threat.	Object

GPC535	I do not believe that Greasley should be dissolved as the area has its own atmosphere and community completed seperate to that of Eastwood and this deserves to be reflected in its local representatives	Object
GPC536	Greasly is a cherished council and works tirelessly on behalf of its residents. I do not agree with the change of boundaries	Object
GPC537	Greasley is a historic parish with a distinct character that is not reflected in either Eastwood & Kimberley communities. These are urban communities whereas Greasley is largely a rural community. There seems little benefit from the change other than bureaucratic ramblings that have little to do with the culture and history of the people it affects. There was no real consultation and it feels like the decision has been made by a few local politicians who no one in Greasley has heard of and who have never been curious enough to even come and explain their reasons to the local community.	Object
GPC538	Greasley has always been a good parish and I personally think that if it mergers it will end up loosing it's voice to speak	Object
GPC539	"We have lived in the parish for over sixty years, in that time, we have obviously seen many changes, but to disband the parish, and replace it with something totally different, is for us, a step too far. Greasley Parish Council do a sterling job of running the parish, so we are totally opposed to changing to an unknown organisation. We are happy with what we have, especially the facilities on Dovecote Road, which is sufficient for the present arrangement, but could well be overwhelmed if it had to cope with the extended area. The old saying, 'If it ain't broke, don't fix it' seems to apply to this situation. Please leave things as they are at the present time."	Object
GPC54	Please Leave Things as they are Thank you	Object
GPC541	"I am totally against the proposal to dissolve the Greasley Parish boundaries. Greasley has a strong community. People like myself born, Christened and married in the Parish, maintain a strong affinity to it, supporting all events which are organised. My family history and ancestry was all within the Parish of Greasley. To change the boundaries would be to tear apart a strong community bringing outside influences to bear and I believe this action would be taking a step backwards."	Object
GPC542	Community Governance will not be improved under this proposal. It will be detrimental to the residents, community and the strong links they have built over 100 years. Covid bought the community together and this proposal will tear it apart.	Object
GPC543	"Greasley is a distinct community and it needs to remain that way. We feel fully involved in the Greasley Community. The leisure centre provides a gym for my eldest child and fitness classes gor myself and friends in the area. Many events are held in the community hall such as children's parties, horticultural shows and Christmas gatherings connected with school. I have two children who attend Greasley Beuavale School. The school, staff, pupils and parents are very much involved with events such as the lights switch on at the Community Hall where the school choir performs and school children turn the lights on. The recreation are a and park has been really improved with paths and picnic benches and a play park. These amenities are well used by locals. The MUGA is relied upon by school for extra sports provision and many locals hire this space to play games of football. School is very closely connected to St Mary's Church, with children being involved in performances throughout the school year. We are proud of being in the area of Greasley with its distinct identity. We do not want it to merge with Kimberley or Eastwood who both have their own strong identities. "	Object
GPC544	Greasley is a wonderful parish and the parish council work so hard for the community supporting and promoting events in the area. I strongly oppose the dissolution of Greasley	Object

GPC545- GPC546	Greasley have been a parish for many many years, how dare anyone try to dissolve this beautiful parish? Greasley Parish has been there for many many years, how dare anyone want to dissolve this beautiful picturess parish?	Object
GPC547	We live in Giltbrook. We are well represented by Greasley Parish Council. The proposal to change things is a case of change for changes' sake.	Object
GPC548	We live in Nuthall. We used to use L for Leisure and Kimberley Leisure Centre before closure thanks to 'local politics'. Also we have been moved to Nottm North from Broxtowe - again for expedience. The history of Greasley is an important consideration - Domesday/Lawrence etc - Too important to just ignore on account of local authority expedience. We therefore strongly disapprove of this proposal. Greasley Leisure Centre is doing a great job and is an integral part of the community. Do not mess around with Greasley!	Object
GPC549	After the closure of Kimberley Leisure & Sports Centre we moved to Greasley Sports Centre. It is a very positive and up and coming facility. Essential for all the local people who need to keep active and fit particularly in relation to many health and wellbeing issues. We strongly disagree with the proposal.	Object
GPC55		Object
GPC550	Much happier to remain in Greasley. No complaints	Object
GPC551	What has Eastwood got to benefit Greasley? They just want all our assets. Greasley does a tremendous job for their parishioners. a well run and successful parish council has no right, or subject to being "Taken Over".	Object
GPC552		Object
GPC553	We don't want anything to change, keep the boundaries as they are.	Object
GPC554	It can clearly be seen how other councils operate with the closure of sports facilities and leisure services. This is a backwards step which will not provide any useful outcome.	Object
GPC555	Better the devil you know. Always been well informed from out local councillors.	Object
GPC556	I moved back over to this area after the death of my husband. To alleviate loneliness I joined various groups held at Greasley Parish Hall. I am also the secretary of Kimberley WI and has a group ??? regularly have a stall at events such as Greasley Christmas Cracker event which incidentally is very well attended as are all the other events at Greasley Parish Hall. Every time I go I also notice how well the gym is attended. I have contacted the new MP for our area & given her my details & she has promised to investigate this which in my opinion is a travesty.	Object
GPC557	Why don't Broxtowe Council play around with Beeston before wrecking Greasley! Are they greedy for money? Broxtowe Buffoons don't know what they are doing. HANDS OFF GREASLEY	Object
GPC558	Why dissolve something that has been for hundreds of years. It shold be left as it is for our children & grandchildren	Object

GPC559	We feel very strongly that we do not think this should go ahead. We do not want Greasley Parish Council to be dissolved. We are very proud to be living in the Parish of Greasley and it has a strong community and strong values.	Object
GPC560	To intergrate Greasley Parish Council into a large Eastwood & Greasley Town Council is a great mistake as areas will be missed in relation to projects welfare and communities. Each area benefits better having their own Parish Council. Greasley would disappear.	Object
GPC561	Communism in action, planning to destroy Greasley PC. Founded in 1984 and still going strong. This could if it happens be the start of compulsory house building on green belt land for the housing of illegal immigrants (see Angela Raynors veiw of housing). Greasley is ok, doing fine, we dont want Labours big brother scheme on our unique Greasley doorstep.	Object
GPC562	My mane concern is the proposal for development on the field at the back of Commons Close wich is near to a tip containing methan gas which disturbed could cause a health problem. Weve been fighting this problem for years so WHY NOW is this development going ahead.	Object
GPC563	No! Don't need or want any of them, and that includes Broxtowe Borough Council. I have resided in Giltbrook for 38 years, I plan to leave and locate to Widmerpool, South Nottinghamshire. [REDACTED] In the political parties May 2024 local elections we had a 'Mayor' & an 'East Midlands Council' imposed on us, no public consultation/no local referendum. As a result 76% of the Nottinghamshire electorate declined to vote. Political dictatorship! Democracy my arse. Once again, the only council Nottinghamshire needs is Nottinghamshire County Council.	Object
GPC564		Object
GPC565	What are the reasons for such a major policy decision. It is pure coincident that Eastwood Town Council have recently had a an application to build their own leisure centre in the Walker Street Area of Eastwood. They also seem unable to ??? ??? to follow through on the ??? ??? ??? Durban House into a community facility. This is now being rented to Raleigh. How could the ??? ??? be trusted to continue the excellent ??? ??? administered by Greasley Parish Council.	Object

GPC566	<p>As a Greasley resident I find the proposal to merge Eastwood T/C with Greasley Parish Council undemocratic and imoral.</p> <p>I am aware of the usage of the community centre by a large number of various organisations & residents ??? the many events ??? ??? ??? been organised by the council and other events accomodated by the community centre.</p> <p>It is concerning that Eastwood Town Council had a recent application for a leisure centre in Eastwood area rejected. Also their ??? to turn Durban House into a community asset has been replaced with the renting of the premise to Raleigh.</p> <p>I dont trust the future of Greasley to others.</p>	Object
GPC567		Object
GPC568	<p>Leave it as it is.</p> <p>things never get better once they have been allowed.</p>	Object
GPC569	<p>I think that it is important to maintain these smaller parish councils as a more direct point of contact for those wishing to be heard. Judging from a recent experience at a borough council meeting, it became apparent that residents don't really get listened to, as the council are too busy scoring points off of each other rather than paying attention to the well presented and thought out cases brought before hem at that time. So, yes, we keep the smaller parish councils as they are. Thank you for ignoring this messag.</p>	Object
GPC56- GPC370		Object
GPC57		Object
GPC570	<p>All I have to say is Greasley Parish Council has worked very well and very efficiently for many years. I cannot see the point in having to change the situation.</p>	Object
GPC571	<p>I do not wish for my property/area to become part of Eastwood Town Council. Having seen the complete decimation and run down state of the town only highlights the lack of commitment from the town council to improve its own ward. I very much would like to preserve the green belt land that Greasley town council has pretected for many years to come. The proposed changes have no benefit to Giltbrook what so ever it will only help this community become a run down area just like Eastwood.</p>	Object
GPC572		Object
GPC573		Object
GPC574	<p>As a resident in Greasley Parish, I strongly believe that the parish council do their best to serve the local community, I worry that by dissolving our council and broadening the area in which council will serve the voice of the local residents and community will be reduced and therefore I feel local issues will not be dealt with effectively.</p>	Object
GPC575	<p>There are many community events specifically for the area, to combine the governance under Kimberley and Eastwood is wrong. The area needs to have its own voice. I feel it will be a loss to the area</p>	Object
GPC576	<p>"I have lived in Giltbrook for nearly 78yrs I got married at Greasley and my late husband is buried there. I strongly disagree with the Council doing this i just can't understand the meaning of it."</p>	Object
GPC577	<p>Why have a consultation if you are going to ignore the wishes of those residing within the affected area. The majority residents opted for option 2 or 3 but it's clearly being ignored! I fear funding of Eastwood requirements will override any requirements of Greasley residents. Look at the state of the roads and lack of services like road sweeping and gully maintenance already being ignored.</p>	Object

GPC578	I feel that the plan to dissolve Greasley Parish and merge it with Eastwood would be a very negative move for such a rural area as Greasley. The two parishes are significantly different and have quite contrasting needs in terms of representation and outlook. Having been an Eastwood Town Councillor for some years in the 1970s and born and brought up on Hilltop I have strong links with both parishes and know them well. The move to create a merged parish would quickly see Eastwood become the dominant partner both economically (as it is now) and topographically and decisions made would tend to favour the urban area over time. This dominance may not happen immediately but would shift over time and would see the erosion of Greasley amenities and voice, especially if economic constraints are put upon the area, which would be a given considering the current times. I strongly oppose the merger which would cause Greasley to lose, not only singular local representation but rural spirit, colour and distinctiveness.	Object
GPC579		Object
GPC58	I think the proposals are unnecessary and damaging to our lovely parish. Greasley parish has always been well run and residents looked after. It will be a great shame if such a historical parish is lost. How long before the urban councils of Eastwood and Kimberley spoil the area!! We have a lot of green spaces to preserve. Will they manage to keep the sports centre running, they failed in Kimberley. How long before they can't afford to run Greasley Gathering and Christmas light switch on. We must keep Greasley !!	Object
GPC580		Object
GPC581		Object
GPC582	I do not see any benefit to this proposal on the contrary, I believe we will become very small fish in an even larger pond and our views will not matter. I have the opportunity to talk to local councillors in my community who understand the area and only deal with this area. I strongly disagree with losing our name and area.	Object
GPC583	"Greasley parish has always taken good care of our area and long May it continue to do so The government and standards committee cannot be trusted to carry the good work and care that the Greasley parish has done for all this time "	Object
GPC584		Object
GPC585	I don't see how these changes would help, and why the views of the community are being ignored?	Object
GPC586		Object
GPC587		Object
GPC588		Object
GPC589	"More unnecessary proposals without consultation Greasley should stay as it is I see no point or benefit to any residents in these areas. Another waste of tax payers money.	Object
GPC59	We are always treated like second class, no third class citizens in Eastwood. Broxtowe and beeston as well as stapleford gets all the investment, as Eastwood is now a rotting dumping ground for the borough. You should be ashamed of your policies and strategies for growth in our beautiful area! I bet you wouldn't like to engage with me for further discussion, or are you too short-sighted to even bother?	Object
GPC590	Leave things as they are. Why the hell are you getting involved in peoples land and trying to rename areas. Has the council not got better things to do? Like reduce our council tax, for you maki g up extremely unnecessary tasks and spending our money on these non necessary changes. It a completely ridiculous proposal. Shame on you ! No the wonder our country is in a dire mess, with councils thieving our money, for their gain, utter rubbish and leaving the common person utterly powerless over our environments. We still live in a draconian era, leave us alone and go thiefe your money somewhere else...	Object

GPC591	This is an outrage. I urge you to stop any plans of dissolving a well respected and loved community, where I have lived for 3 years and visited for 35 years. It is out of order to put residents through this and disrespectful to a council who genuinely care about the local community. I would be so disappointed if we were left to the uncaring council of Eastwood. If we had to be dissolved Kimberley is the only town I would associate with. The council there strive to make a positive difference and care about their community. Eastwood has a bad reputation and is run down, councillors do not apply for funding for local events, town improvements or care about their residents. I would be concerned about the welfare of my children and the lack of opportunities Eastwood has to offer families. The only way I would ever support this is if Eastwood had massive improvements to the town, local businesses, local schools (I'm fortunate my children managed to secure places at Hollywell Primary School as former Watnall residents) and a serious change to events, leisure centres and opportunities for children.	Object
GPC592	Why change something that already works - and it works well	Object
GPC593	The first thing that will happen is Broxtowe council sell if the assets that belong to Greasley including the leisure centre which shouldn't happen	Object
GPC594	All I can comment on is that the greasley community centre has such a positive impact on all different types of peoples lives. It is kept exceptionally clean and has really caring staff. The way it's being run and how the community is being cared for there is down to the fact it's part of greasley parish council, I'm sure of it. Not all community centres are run this way. It would be such a shame for this council to be dissolved.	Object
GPC595	Greasley is a distinct area and should be represented as such. The Greasley Parish Council work tirelessly for the Greasley community and the issues that matter to the Greasley residents will be outweighed by other priorities if the change goes ahead. There is no benefit to the residents of Greasley in making this change therefore I strongly oppose it.	Object
GPC596	The borough council, or surrounding parish/town councils, are not the best-placed entities to decide what is best for the parishioners of Greasley. Greasley Parish Council will know their community and its needs, and is a necessary body for residents to go to as their first tier of government.	Object
GPC597		Object
GPC598		Object
GPC599	Again and again, people in councils making decisions without the consent of the people who reside within the areas. There has to be a financial reason for dissolving Greasley parish and I don't believe for one minute it is genuine or factual. I have lost all faith in these so called governors who are clearly not capable of doing what is the right by the people thing to do. Dissolve broxtowe Council sounds a much better catchphrase.	Object

GPC5- GPC6	<p>As a resident of the parish of Greasley for almost 39 years I wish to inform you that I am somewhat alarmed by the contents of your letter, of June, and the proposed merger detailed within.</p> <p>Greasley is an historic parish, dating back to the Domesday Book and the Parish Council was originally formed 130 odd years ago. The area represented by the Council is of a rural character, containing a significant number of small settlements which were, at one time, seperated by open country, from the larger towns of Eastwood and Kimberley. Although progressive urbanisation has eroded this separation most of these area retain their own identity and have no wish to be absorbed into the urban area of Eastwood. The proposal to redraw the boundaries of the local parishes and of Eastwood Town Council, as indicated in your letter, along with the suppression of the parish of Greasley, can only have a detrimental effect on those that live within the current Greasley catchment area.</p> <p>New Eastwood, and the adjoining Giltbrook area, has seen/is seeing considerable development in terms of residential housing but, even with the voice of Greasley to lobby on our behalf, has seen virtually zero complimentary development in local services with no additional new schools, no new health care facilities and no improvement in public transport. I would point out that the only locally funded sports/leisure venue is that of Greasley Sports Centre, which is funded by the Greasley electorate, via the Parish Council. No such facilities exist under the auspices of Eastwood Town Council, something of which Broxtowe Borough Council do not appear to have challenged.</p> <p>Representation on the new council will be such that Greasley's voice will be severely marginilised, and the new council will be dominated by an urban mind set. Should the proposed merget proceed as indicated it will condemn those of us directly impacted to a future where our voice and the weight of our opinion is condiserably reduced. Being absorbed into the mass of Eastwood does not inspire any confidence that our situation would improve. One only needs to look at the desolate state of the town centre to see the result of the dismal approach of the current Town Council to the provision of faciliites for the electorate. In the time I have lived here the Eastwood has degenerated hugely, and every Eastwood Town Council decision has only accelerated the decline.</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal and, like many such changes, it will be one that is never reversed, even if it is found to be an abject failure. Accordingly, I would ask that you reconsider.</p>	Object
GPC60		Object
GPC600	Greasley should keep its identity	Object
GPC601		Object
GPC602	I agree with option 2	Unsure
GPC603		Object
GPC605		Object
GPC606	<p>I feel that Greasley are doing a very good job in what they are doing in the area. It is not fair to take away plans and ideas of other councils when it dosn't seem necessary.</p> <p>I dont know enough about council work to make too much coment. But for the little I know I will leave it at that.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	Object
GPC607	Please keep our gym! You have already closed gyms in the area making everyone already change and now there's a possibility of it happening again. There are little to no alternatives and the friendly community that this gym provides will be hard to replicate elsewhere, especially in large gyms owned by big companies.	Object
GPC608	<p>I wish to stay in Greasley Parish as it is.</p> <p>I can't see any benefit to me whatsoever</p>	Object
GPC609	Awful	Object
GPC61		Object

GPC610	Concerns as follows: 1. Continued protection of green belt areas 2. Continued upkeep of recreational areas 3. Loss of family events / community events 4. I like being part of an historic heritage	Object
GPC611	A pathetic waste of money!! The savings that be conceivably be found from this poorly thought out scheme would be definitely saved by reducing the wages of the overpaid civil servants who apparently for for the best interest of the people!	Object
GPC612	I think this is wrong and I love this community and I don't it to change	Object
GPC613	i'm extremely Distressed & upset	Object
GPC614	im angry	Object
GPC615	I love greasley gym and it has been my second home for the last 3 years and it ruin all my routines by losing it. I don't think it is fair on the people that it could be shutting down when everything is already fine. Please reconsider your decision for and recognise it it's really necessary	Object
GPC616	Greasley gym has been my second home for the past 4 years, and the atmosphere is immense PLEASE DON'T SHUT IT DOWN	Object
GPC617	Keep Greasley Parish	Object
GPC618		Object
GPC619	We like to be in a rural community with woods , fields nearby & don't wish to be placed win a community with shops , businesses etc	Object
GPC62	I think governance is more effective the more local it is and there is nothing more effective than having local people passionate to keep their communities as thriving as possible managing this. This is why losing Greasley Parish Council would be a great loss. I think there should only be a few minor boundary changes to correct anomalies otherwise things should remain the as they are . In particular, I think it is vital that Greasley Community Centre remains as it is. It is an absolute disgrace that Kimberly Leisure Centre was allowed to close and now this is the only sports facility that remains in the north of the borough! I wish Broxtowe Council should spend their time in useful pursuits rather than trying to destroy local democracy.	Object
GPC620	I do not agree with any changes they are proposing, we will loose the sports hall as Kimberley lost theirs. This is a vendetta by Ruth Hyde, she does not like the north of Broxtowe.	Object
GPC621	These proposal will not bring improvement to Greasley they only interested in gaining more power for themselves as always The community will disappear	Object
GPC622		Object
GPC623	We have grave concerns about the stripping of Greasley assets land community identity and parish council wealth - our sports and parish hall sitting on land given to Greasley parisheners by Sir William Barber	Object
GPC624	Leave Greasleys identity as it is. Its not good to lose such a historic connection. We live in Watnall and appreciate the rural connection with Greasley Parish The facilities provided through the Parish is of vital importance to those who live in the area. We have already lost Kimberley swimming pool and believe if we lose our connection to Greasley Parish Council it will be to the detriment of the local childrens' parks, the community centre & its facilities. Does the proposed change mean our property will be classed as Kimberley and not WATNALL! This will affect house prices!	Object

GPC625	Both communities are very different have been served well by 2 different councils to accomodate the different needs. Why change facilities that work?	Object
GPC626	<p>We have lived on Coatsby Road for 46 years + worked for Notts County Council Highways for 38 years.</p> <p>Firstly I must say the standard and clarity of the maps is poor. Many will struggle to see where they live and it may affect the response.</p> <p>Where we live is Kimberley but it is more like Watnall, not Greasley.</p> <p>The existence of Greasley is confusing for many. When we have a taxi from Nottingham we ask for Kimberley but go to Watnall. Our children were allocated Larkfield Junior School although we live a 5 minute walk from Hollywell.</p>	Mixed
GPC627	<p>When we bought our house, in 1978, the same house on Carman Close was £2000 more because it was in Watnall. I think many people would struggle to define any boundary of Greasley, although it covers a large area.</p> <p>The Parliamentary boundary has just changed us and we are now part of Nottingham North and Kimberley, not happy.</p> <p>Now, Greasley Parish Council have always been active in the area. I have an allotment in Watnall (Greasley) and the Parish Council have been a great support for over 40 years, (particularly I an Hutchinson).</p> <p>The Parish Council do good work in the area, especially Moorgreen.</p> <p>Finally, I would not support any change. Leave things as they are.</p>	Object
GPC628	<p>I strongly oppose dissolving Greasley Parish [???] & main points of objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Historical: the history of Greasley Parish [???] the way back to the Domesday book and has a wonderful, unique history that should be protected 2. Greasley is semi-rural, whereas Eastwood and Kimberley are urban so [???] interests and concerns are likely to be meaningfully different. 3. The current council are organised and have a decent track record of protecting the Parish and organising community events. 4. Local services are likely to be less important and demoted to an after thought, meaning worse services and impacting the most vulnerable in our Parish the most. 	Object
GPC629	I feel that the influence of our parish will be greatly diminished by combining with Kimberley - everything will be affected - all facilities and services. Green belt will be [???] - as is already happening.	Object
GPC63	The new parish will not reflect the interests of the local community, Greasley is predominantly a rural area, by merging cannot represent the interests of the local residents.	Object
GPC630	<p>We find being part of Greasley Parish a wonderful thing. We moved here because my daughter's father who passed away at 37 when she was 10 months old, is buried in St Mary's Church. the fact that we use the park are members at the gym and my daughter attends Greasley School make our devotion to the Parish extremely strong. Living in the Old Forge of the village, we also feel that losing Greasley Parish would take away from the history of this beautiful area.</p> <p>We strongly oppose the proposed action and intend to take it as far as possible to ensure this doesn't happen. In addition I would like to know the exact reasons for this proposal.</p> <p>Please contact me if you wish to. Happy to talk.</p>	Object

GPC631		Object
GPC632	<p>Watnall is not a town it has no church in the new plan.</p> <p>We were quite happy to be in Greasley but the powers that be (whoever they are) decided Watnall would be twinned with Nuthall for Broxtowe. Twinned with North Nottingham and Kimberley for parliamentary and Brinsley for County Council. Now they think we would do better with Kimberley for parish.</p> <p>My question is why waste the money. What will be advantages for Watnall and my last question is why? is somebody profiting from this change?</p>	Object
GPC633	<p>The existing Parish Council cares particularly for the very young and very old. It also cares for the environment and is very family orientated. I do not wish to lose this.</p>	Object
GPC634	<p>We have lived in the Parish of Greasley for almost 70 years, in Watnall. Watnall is not a town, much of it is farmland. We have 2 pubs, 1 shop and a farm shop, so how can it possibly be equally linked to the definitive Town of Kimberley?</p> <p>We were baptised & married at St Mary's Greasley & have already purchased our burial plots. All of our local family, now deceased are buried there. St Mary's is our church.</p> <p>We are proud to live here and proud to be part of Greasley Parish.</p> <p>The decision to dissolve the Greasley Parish does not benefit the people who live in it. It only benefits Broxtowe Council.</p> <p>Leave our Parish alone!! We have a lovely community, people who move here who recognise the rural element. Dissolving the Parish does nothing to improve our Community, but it would certainly harm it as the funding for the Parish would be swallowed up elsewhere. Please do not dissolve the Parish. Listen to the residents!</p>	Object
GPC635	<p>Would like to know more about what this potentially means to the Greasley community. Will this effect our schools, sports centre, investments into our area?</p>	Object
GPC636	<p>If we were to loose Greasley sports centre it would be a great shame, there's lots of elderly people visit there for activity classes, children's parties are held there, the field is a great place to walk dogs and for children to play football and learn to ride their bikes. If this is taken away and houses built on it like every other piece of green land in the surrounding area where will there people go to do the things they enjoy and have a nice place to walk. This would be a great loss to the community</p>	Object
GPC637	<p>"The changes in parish would effectively split Watnall in half which for me does not support the cohesion of the local community. Half of Watnall would join Kimberley - which has already seen cutbacks to its services with the closure of vital services such as the leisure centre, and by adding more residents to these already over stretched parishes would simply add to the pressure of ensuring all members of the community are supported. Greasley is a proud local parish which manages its services and provisions well whilst ensuring it delivers for all the community. By dissolving the rural Greasley parish into the 2 larger town parishes we will begin to feel isolated, our services will be dissolved into an already over stretched council boundaries and I believe this to be a cost cutting exercise with no regard for the words 'local community'. Kimberley and Eastwood parish would effectively soak up Greasley with no benefit to itself other than adding to an over stretched over spent local authority funding pot."</p>	Object
GPC638		Not stated

GPC639	<p>"Hi, I live on main st Newthorpe, near to Greasley beauvale school.</p> <p>Greasley parish is very much a community. The parish council members together with the Greasley sports hall&community centre provide and arrange fitness, well-being,and social gatherings for all local residents, as well to those just outside our area-neighbouring communities. The community cente is open early til late the and supports so many adults and children their physical and mental health.</p> <p>The parish and local people of this parish also come together to protect all of our green spaces which provide walks, and general well-being.</p> <p>This long standing community togetherness, with the beauty of the green belt area gives Greasley its identity as a small parish council- which many many people enjoy and cherish.</p>	Object
GPC64		Object
GPC640	<p>"My wife and I have lived in the parish for the last 45 years and have always enjoyed the ambiance created by living in a rural parish. We have no desire to be linked with a town like Kimberley and all that entails.</p> <p>Should the takeover occur it will turn what is a rural village into a suburb of the town and all identity will be lost.</p> <p>The only benefit is to towns like Kimberley and Eastwood not to places like Watnall."</p>	Object
GPC641	<p>Greasley has a long established community spirit which would disappear entirely if merged into a larger area. Along with many friends and family I enjoy the leisure facilities in Greasley and the many 'family' events which take place throughout the year. I also fear that costs of using the rooms at the Leisure Centre would increase under a larger authority with the result that many group meetings could no longer afford the fees and many people would lose the much needed support and social interaction that these groups provide.</p>	Object
GPC642	Leave Greasley alone!	Object
GPC643		Not stated
GPC644	<p>Myself and everyone I know love this park and don't like to go anywhere else. Everybody will be gutted if this place goes. It is at a great standard and I feel safe here. It's close by and a friendly place with everything you need.</p>	Object
GPC645	I think greasley sports centre is a brilliant place and it would be a shame for it to dissolve	Object
GPC646		Object
GPC647	<p>"Watnall has totally different needs to Kimberley and should be left in Greasley. It actually has more in common with Nuthall and equally Kimberley and Eastwood have more in common if changes were made. I can only assume that this is politically inspired, as with the electoral boundary changes, and is not something to benefit residents.</p> <p>A council that has agreed to spend millions on providing a cycle track from Bennerley to Phoenix park, creating Victorian shop fronts as well as building a new Parish Hall when there are so many more needs should not be expanded.</p> <p>Please reject this as this will in no way benefit the resident of Greasley and Watnall in particular."</p>	Object
GPC648	I believe the tireless work of our current parish council will not be carried over to a larger council, leading to a solid demise of my little slice of England.	Object
GPC649	<p>"It's only going to benefit Eastwood town, there's no benefit for the residents of Greasley.</p> <p>Nobody has yet said why this is being done or given good reason for it."</p>	Object
GPC65	The new parish will not reflect the interests of the local community, Greasley is predominantly a rural area, by merging cannot represent the interests of the local residents.	Object

GPC650	As a resident of Watnall, I don't believe that devolvement of governance to larger bodies allows for a fair or representative capability to meet the needs of all the people in the proposed areas. There are significantly different demographics in each proposed 'Parish' with different needs & desires for the area they live in, and I just don't see how single councils for each proposed area can reconcile those needs and continue serving all of its residents in the manner they need and should expect. I feel community cohesion is important, but there must be a realistic view that the different demographics aren't all a natural cohesive fit and shouldn't be steered towards that. Otherwise its simply a race to the bottom.	Object
GPC651	Having lived in Newthorpe for the past fifty plus years and don't want it to be merged with Eastwood Please leave well alone. Bigger not always best! we are very satisfied with the situation as it is . and strongly oppose the change which would [???] the whole feel of the area. Brinsley is a very small area merge that with Eastwood!	Object
GPC652		Object
GPC653	Greasley is a beautiful parish. Peoples opinions matter Do you want mayors NO was our reply We now have mayors Broxtowe Borough Council has been awful & has made terrible decisions [???] Kimberley Swimming [???]	Object
GPC654	Greasley Parish Council should stay in control	Object
GPC655	We feel that the creation of these new areas will mean larger and consequently less approachable service structures	Object
GPC656	We strongly believe that our community, consisting of several elderly + disabled residents will suffer greatly with the loss of Greasley Parish Council. It is the first port of call for us and a lot of the Cllrs are local so understand the issues this will cause. What is the real reason for this + other plans the Borough Council are trying to enforce. This estate hasn't had any attention paid to it for over 30 years + by putting us with ETC will be a negative move	Object
GPC657	To tag the word 'town' to greasley gives the incorrect description of its setting. Greasley is a semi-rural parish. The landscape and infra-structure should be protected at all governing levels. The parish has always been protected and represented by the local parish council. They are people who know the parish and work hard to maintain the future ethos of the social and economic structures. The protection of its landscape and pride of its heritage has been maintained by such councillors. No 'outside' councillor has spoken about Greasley with deep knowledge of its current situations or future. It would therefore potentially become a voiceless parish and fade into the background and only be used for 'marketing' purposes under the umbrella of D.H.Lawrence - this deserves more Greasley is D.H.Lawrence countryside which worldwide has a huge following by people who also would want to protect its infrastructure. Greasley cannot be seen as an area for a wider council to change just like the boundary neighbour Hucknall.	Object
GPC658	I strongly disagree with the Broxtowe Plans I my opinion this is a way of Broxtowe trying to cut corners and [???] wouldn't improve anything	Object

GPC659	<p>Change for change sake?! Is it really a cheaper option!</p> <p>Bigger is not necessarily better. And certainly doesn't take into account the views of local people who have a better appreciation of what needs to be done for the local community.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council keep these needs in mind.</p>	Object
GPC66	<p>Greasley has lost so much over the years . We have a fantastic community spirit, linking us to the areas suggested , will only lower the value of our properties , local services in our community will disappear. Our church dates back to medieval times , & the lost village of Greasley , [REDACTED] , who fought to keep going , will once again become lost , so much research & heritage is important in keeping a community ,, please Save Greasley before there is nothing left .</p>	Object
GPC660	<p>I would be nice just to leave things as they were. Keep to the old traditions that have been in place for Generations.</p>	Object
GPC661	<p>I regard Greasley as a rural Parish which is run effectively and has been for many years. So why change?</p> <p>I do not want to see Greasley lose its identity.</p> <p>Greasley is a countryside area not a town.</p>	Object
GPC662	<p>I think it is well run.</p> <p>Keep Greasley a Parish Council.</p>	Object
GPC663	<p>Leave Greasley alone it is ok as it is</p>	Object
GPC664	<p>Greasley is a great community and if this goes ahead will be swallowed up by Eastwood and Kimberley. Both of these areas are being swamped by property developments, i.e. housing, with no additional infrastructure and very poor delapidated town centres and facilities.</p> <p>I can see no advantages for the residents of Greasley and all the advantages being to those towns, with the Greasley residents being overlooked and dictated to by others.</p>	Object
GPC665	<p>I strongly & totally oppose the dissolution of Greasley Parish. Greasley Parish has a proud history & the plans by Broxtowe council have paid scant regard to this. My address is in WATNALL and most certainly not Nuthall.</p> <p>Living in WATNALL we have a greater synergy and affinity with Greasley than Nuthall.</p> <p>In a nutshell I disagree with the proposed changes and frankly i fail to see any financial benefits.</p> <p>Change for 'changes sake' rather than taking into account the residents who will be impacted.</p>	Object
GPC666	<p>Really disappointed that this is being considered.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council should remain a separate entity - we have a community, with a gym, annual events (e.g. Greasley Gathering & the Christmas Cracker) - we do not want to be subsumed by Eastwood.</p> <p>Keep Greasley as it is.</p>	Object
GPC667	<p>I'm happy to live in Greasley and do not want to join with Eastwood.</p>	Object

GPC668	<p>I am strongly opposed to the Broxtowe plans to dissolve Greasley parish, in doing so, local identity & integrity will be lost forever, both politically and historically.</p> <p>Greasley has an excellent parish council effective & efficient serving the local community to the highest of standards. Its officers are both approachable and knowledgeable, always placing the members of the community & the wide range of services provided at the very heart of everything they do. This local knowledge, experience & identity will all be lost, a vital line in community confidence in the admin of the Greasley area gone forever.</p> <p>Ignoring the strongly expressed wishes of the local community to retain Greasley following a public meeting ignores the concept of democracy at a local level, imposing change without a mandate it is quite apparent that this is a cost-cutting exercise, ??? by those whose whole community links are tenuous.</p> <p>Who benefits? Certainly not the residents of Greasley.</p>	Object
GPC669	Having read through I cannot understand what these proposed boundary changes will make apart from causing upheaval & disruption both on admin & logistics.	Object
GPC670	Just leave Greasley Parish as it is, all the services that are within our parish and we are able to get to and use will over time get moved (and we will be told it's to save money). Greasley Sport Centre/Community Centre I use regularly along with the park of Greasley Centre which I take all 5 of my grandchildren, we use this park weekly. I have all my breast screening at Greasley. I get involved in a lot of the local activities within the local community a lot and the 34 bus service and the community bus. I feel we will lose a lot over time if we lose Greasley Parish Council. I DO NOT AGREE DISSOLUTION GREASLEY PARISH COUNCIL.	Object
GPC671		Object
GPC672	Prefer to stay under Greasley Parish	Object
GPC673		Object
GPC674	This is absolutely disgraceful	Object
GPC675	Leave well alone	Object
GPC676	<p>This parish works well on its own.</p> <p>Removing Greasley Parish to make a bigger council is NEVER always best, and this isn't.</p>	Object
GPC677		Object
GPC678	<p>Retain historic boundary</p> <p>History</p> <p>Resources</p>	Object
GPC679		Object
GPC67-GPC335	<p>There must be an ulterior motive for change because for the last 100+ years everything has been good and the people who live under Greasley Parish Council are quite happy and don't want a change. I believe Broxtowe Borough Council have got big plans to build lots of houses which we don't want and sell off area to developers ruining our countryside. It's all about the ££££££££££££</p> <p>Why do they need to change anything? What's the benefits of changing? Nothing. I don't agree with the boundaries being changed and the dissolving of the parish. I believe that the Broxtowe Borough Council should leave it as it is.</p>	Object

GPC68	<p>"I believe that if the proposal to dissolve Greasley Parish Council does go ahead essential services will be axed. I am particularly concerned about Greasley Sports and Community Centre where I have been visiting to donate blood for many years and have recently become a member of the gym, which is a small and friendly establishment with a fantastic community spirit.</p> <p>From what I have seen in the area where I live Broxtowe Borough Councillors do not give a damn about its residents and the Sports and community centre will be demolished and made way for more housing just like many other areas."</p>	Object
GPC680	<p>I hope this is not a cost cutting exercise to pay the new municipal mayor which we all original vote against.</p> <p>It better not be so that you can ??? build on all our fields to properly join us up.</p> <p>Obviously we do not want this.</p> <p>I moved house not to Kimberley or Eastwood for a reason.</p>	Object
GPC681	<p>I am from Hucknall and i come here because it has the facilities I am looking for. It has the community feel I am after. I am 62 and i am not embarrassed to come here.</p>	Object
GPC682	<p>Concerning as a member of staff, potentially our jobs could be at risk. Also a risk of all our customers having to go elsewhere. Absolutely TERRIBLE IDEA. Enough is enough. Too many leisure centres closing!</p>	Object
GPC683	<p>As a resident of Greasley Parish I am strongly against the proposed changes.</p> <p>What are the expected benefits of making such a radical decision without prior consultation with the people concerned?</p> <p>We have a good community spirit with our allotments and sports centre and would not like to see this change. The proposed boundary moves would have a cost to Broxtowe for trying to implement them and a cost to Greasley for opposing a change which a lot of our community are against and as Greasley residents we would be paying for both.</p>	Object
GPC684	<p>I am concerned that the valuable assets that belong to Greasley Parish Council and its residents are being taken away for nothing.</p> <p>The sports centre, Parish Hall, committee rooms were paid for by Greasley Parish Council & possible grants from other bodies.</p> <p>The land where the sports centre stands was given to the parish by Colonel Barber, hence Barber Sports Hall. when the land was given to the parish was a covenant attached?</p> <p>The two football pitches are for the benefit of the residents and also people outside the parish and is also used by the school during the day. This is yet another valuable asset for the parish. The allotments are another valuable asset available for Greasley residents only. What will happen in the future as Eastwood & Kimberley already have their own allotment. If Eastwood & Kimberley do not want to maintain these assets then they will be given to Broxtowe BC. The crucial word here is given or discontinued.</p>	Object
GPC685	<p>Greasley should stay as they are, Eastwood and Broxtowe Council are way out of order to take over.</p> <p>Eastwood town centre it just like a Bomb Site.</p> <p>Eastwood as got hardly any GREEN BELT LEFT to build more houses, so they want to build round Greasley Parish, Eastwood cannot even put up decent christmas lights up or even have a carnival each year.</p>	Object
GPC686		Object
GPC687	Save GPC	Object

GPC688		Object
GPC689	The initial consultation regarding this proposal was opposed by residents within Greasley Parish. I have yet to hear a reasoned argument setting out the pros and cons regarding the proposed change and having attended a meeting convened by Greasley Parish council to understand the proposed options, I was disappointed that there was no reasoned argument provided by Broxtowe Borough Council as to what benefits any change would bring about. In the absence of this it feels to me that the change could be imposed without an adequate explanation as to the impact. In my opinion it is not sensible to incorporate Greasley and Eastwood into one local council merely because of their proximity, as the diverse nature of the two areas are not compatible.	Object
GPC69	Greasley Sports Centre is extremely important to many people. It's various groups and events, together with the gym and fitness classes are essential to social interactions, fitness and wellbeing of all ages, whilst being affordable. Should this be discontinued many individuals may face isolation as this is, for many people, their only source of weekly interaction and feeling of inclusion.	Object
GPC690	I don't believe a combined council can adequately assess and service the needs of such a diverse area. I don't believe that a larger council will continue to support the area that smaller parish councils do.	Object
GPC691	I don't want Greasley to amalgamate with Eastwood. Greasley is an independent Parish, providing good facilities for the residents. I don't think joining with Eastwood will be of any benefit to the residents of Greasley. In fact many residents who have contributed to the Barber Sports Hall and other amenities will be moved out of Greasley Parish and into Nuthall or Kimberley	Object
GPC692		Object
GPC693	Why take something amazing and ruin it?	Object
GPC694	Centralising don't work. This will only take away from the community.	Object
GPC695		Object
GPC696	I feel that greasley parish is a well run community and being swallowed up by Eastwood just because they don't have their own amenities why should they gain ours and I voted for my parish councillors	Object
GPC697		Object
GPC698		Object
GPC699	We fell a strong connection to Greasley Parish and would be concerned should it be abolished, the community/Sports centre would be closed as happened to Kimberley Leisure Centre.	Object
GPC7		Object
GPC70		Object
GPC700		Object
GPC701	<p>I am unclear of the reasons for making the changes proposed.</p> <p>What if any are the advantages to the residents of the current Greasley Parish?</p> <p>The loss of local 'management' of this area and the absorption into larger areas will surely result in less local interest and responsibility and make it more likely that services will suffer, be reduced or disappear altogether.</p>	Object

GPC702	<p>Without stating the obvious, how on earth can such mergers be to the overall benefit of areas as varied as the boroughs or communities affected here?</p> <p>How are the needs and requirements of the residents of Moorgreen or Watnall in any way compatible with town centre residents or those residents of New Eastwood.</p> <p>Yet again we have proposals, proposals which will undoubtedly be already decided upon I might add ,that will be fed and serviced from the centre, in a one size fits all nature.</p> <p>This is nothing than a 'seen to be done' public consultation. We all know how this works.</p>	Object
GPC703	<p>Eastwood town doesn't seem to manage the resources it currently has particularly well so I don't feel adding to them would benefit anyone. Similarly Kimberley has overseen the closure of the leisure centre so doesn't give one a great deal of hope for the future.</p>	Object
GPC704		Object
GPC705	<p>"I do not agree with the unauthorised and quite frankly incomprehensible proposal put forward by broxtowe borough Council. This effects me and my family and we can see no benefit to this change.</p> <p>How can such a detrimental vote go ahead without first consulting with the people it will effect. How presumptuous and unregarding for the community that people have built, know and love.</p> <p>Surely this change cannot happen.</p> <p>Signed, a now very worried, concerned and unsettled mother of two who is part of this wonderful community. "</p>	Object
GPC707	<p>Greasley Parish is an gem [???] the local community, providing much [???] and valued services such as the Greasley Sports Centre</p> <p>The Sports Centre offers facilities to all demographics within the area and by [???] the PARish would see something that is run well, works well, and well thought of gone.</p> <p>I object to the proposed change and hoe Greasley Parish continues</p>	Object
GPC708	<p>I do not want this happening to Greasley</p>	Object
GPC709	<p>I'm disgusted they've even considered this</p>	Object
GPC710	<p>Disgusting</p>	Object
GPC711	<p>I believe this is a ridiculous idea that has not been thought through and should definitely not happen. This would cause unnecessary disruption in the community</p>	Object
GPC712	<p>Apalling this is happening</p>	Object
GPC713	<p>The people who live in these areas have not been consulted and decisions are being made by those who clearly have no understanding of local areas. Parish councils, by definition, are distinct communities which have very different needs and priorities. Our small parish will be swallowed up by the larger ones. Those making this decision, without local input, obviously do not care or consider the needs of those living in Greasley parish and have made no attempt to do so. This decision can only be to our detriment</p>	Object

GPC714	<p>"Greasley Parish should be left as it is . It has a Sports Centre used continuously by the public for sports recreation and social gatherings. Neither Eastwood or Kimberley have these at present. What would happen to Greasley How would this fit in to the dissolvment of Greasley Parish. Greasley Parish has a significant history and this should be preserved by leaving Greasley as it is .</p>	Object
GPC715	<p>We bought in Watnall (Bishopsdale drive area) under new plans we are Nuthall. What will will this do to our house price? We certainly feel more connected to Greasley. We often walk around those fields. If council are doing this, the line should be correctly drawn.</p>	Object
GPC716	<p>I would like to point out that any fragmentation of the rural parish of Greasley would make completely useless the voice of the semi-rural community, when it is diluted amongst the larger urban areas that are proposed. Our needs are vastly different from the larger urban communities and are presently very well served by our present very active Parish Council who are [???] our own community. My view is obviously, when everything is working well DON'T change it!!!! Ps. With the shambolick road closures in Kimberley for 10 weeks it emphasising the reason why we wish to remain as we are.</p>	Object
GPC717	<p>I dont want Greasley to merge with Eastwood because they are two very different parishes, Eastwood Town in a disgrace and I cant see it getting any better if the two merged so why should our money go to Eastwood when they have let there town get into such a bad state. Greasley keeps our parish in a good state.</p>	Object
GPC718		Object
GPC719		Object

<p>GPC71- GPC72</p>	<p>I have taken time to give a considered response to the issue of combining Greasley Parish Council with Eastwood Town Council. I cannot comment on the other amalgamations.</p> <p>My property is on the boarder of Greasley and Eastwood. From Greasley we do get some circulations of what's on and local magazine. From Eastwood we have never received anything, even though we do overlap and closer to the centre of town. The councillors have never instigated any form of communication. The bus service to Eastwood town is very poor and into Greasley nonexistent, so there is nothing to choose between them on this point. Obviously we are not important to Eastwood Council and not really wanted.</p> <p>Further when the uproar over the proposed development of Matkin Tip was being fiercely opposed, Eastwood Town Council kept it's head down, doing/saying nothing to support Greasley residents or the Parish Council.</p> <p>Of course if the boundary changes take place, Eastwood Town Council will have to deal with the issues like the asbestos that has already been found before the development got very far and who knows what else will rise out of the soil disturbance in the future?</p> <p>Eastwood Town Council will need to keep a close eye on the developers and to the approved development, not letting changes slide through and of course keeping a firm hand on Broxtowe Borough Planning Department, who have made errors of judgement, some not so small, slip under the radar, in the past in other areas Broxtowe cover. The planning department is known locally as not being proactive and slow to respond to communications, once planning consent has been approved. Greasley are already on top of what is going on. A handover could be disastrous both in timing and in speed.</p> <p>Whilst mentioning Broxtowe Borough Council, the councillors who are taking this idea of merging Greasley and Eastood into a super town council, I believe do not live in either parish and have little day-today knowledge of these parishes.</p> <p>Eastwood Town Council, I suggest, have more than enough on their hands in revitalizing the area they are already have responsibility for. There are two large shops recently closed and I believe a furniture shop and smaller units remain empty. The High Street has many charity shops (an indication of poor economics in the area), hairdressers/barbers, nail/beauty shops and fast food outlets and a couple of pubs with food. It has a general scruffy appearance, which has grown worse and residents have just grown to live with.</p> <p>There is Morrisons but it is a walk from the town, rather than being an anchor store in the town centre, so it does not bring footfall along the High Street. People drive or bus to Morrisons, do their shopping and then go home. Eastwood town is not dynamic, does not have a buzz.</p> <p>My household go mainly to Ilkeston or Heanor, others I know rarely shop in Eastwood town itself and parking is also an issue which also puts potential customers off.</p> <p>The D H Lawrence connection does not, I suggest, attract many visitor. Having been around the house, one needs to be of a certain age to know who this author is, in order to want to make a visit. He is no longer an author that woud readily role of the tongue of people under 60 these days. Having visited Lawrence's home, I would say it is not stimulating and I would not take younger family members to visit. It is not supported by other interesting places/venues to make a special visit to Eastwood worthwhile.</p> <p>Greasley Parish whilst a mix of various smaller villages or towns is more active and attractive, the Parish is cleaner and inviting to drive/walk through.</p> <p>The Community Centre is well supported with people coming from the local area and further a field. In fact the gym area needs to be enlarged due to deman on the existing equipment and there appears to be an increase in the number of teenagers using the facilities.</p>	<p>Object</p>
-------------------------	---	---------------

	<p>There is the Over 60s group which is well attended, numbers are up this year, many children's parties are held at the centre, and I have seen two or three running on Saturdays. A Tai Chi class is about to start. The football pitches are also well used, it is heart warming to see young children using this facility rather than causing problems. From October to late April the bowls club play indoor bowls and is well represented. In the summer they use Coronation Park.</p> <p>There is the Greasley Gathering and the Christmas attraction, both well attended by residents of the Parish and outside. Parish Council work hard to promote facilities for all ages within their area.</p> <p>The children's play area is well used and it is good to hear the children laughing, not causing damage and many parents also use it as a meeting point whilst the children enjoy the outside play. Unlike at Coronation Park, Plumpton Way, where children, mainly boys cause disturbance for those playing bowls on Saturdays and cause other havoc. The Police have attended from time to time. I do see older children making some use of the skate park but not consistently as those who use the Greasley playground - wonder why? Are children frightened if certain other children are about? The play equipment at Coronation Park is very often empty, is it for the same reason? There is little evidence of grownups keeping an eye on their children's behaviour.</p> <p>There is also the Greasley Players who put on productions in the Church hall. Very good and something different.</p> <p>Eastwood at present needs refreshing and direction; it has lost its way. Therefore I do not believe the town council have the imagination or the vibrancy to take on a larger and a much different parish and combine them. The two parishes are so very different in their makeup. Greasley is one of the largest parishes in Nottinghamshire, to see a good, well run parish, pulled down by the other parish would be very sad. Someone said to me Eastwood have a low Parish precept but I would much rather pay the higher price and have better facilities and a council that is interested in its residents, of all ages. I also think it is wrong in principle that Eastwood should benefit from an enlarged parish in order to gain financially from the extra precept it would amass from Greasley residents and business rates, I think the money would just be wasted propping up a run down Town centre.</p>	
GPC720	I have lived under Greasley Parish for 60 years so I don't want to change.	Object
GPC721		Object
GPC722	<p>Greasley is a historic parish with a successful record of community events. It is semi-rural and as such has a very different feel to Eastwood & Kimberley. It would be detrimental to lose events like the Greasley Gathering which bring the local community together. I feel too many changes are made by local government without due consideration of the impact of residents.</p> <p>I support keeping Greasley the unique parish it clearly is with a country heart.</p>	Object
GPC723	<p>I do not agree to dissolve Greasley Parish and to form Eastwood and Greasley Town Council. I think it will disadvantage and damage the rural community, not take into account the opinions of the people who live in Greasley Parish.</p> <p>I have lived in the area for 17 years and find the present situation agreeable. Greasley Parish Council looks after Colliers Wood park and the wider rural area also Greasley Sports Hall. Both serve the close and wider community with all the natural environment and species.</p>	Object
GPC724	Please leave things as they are. We do not want to be part of a larger Town Council. We are happy with things as they are.	Object
GPC725	Works very well as it is - Don't change	Object
GPC726		Object
GPC727		Object

GPC728	<p>I can see no benefits to the residents from this and do not feel that it was widely known what was being proposed.</p> <p>I am usually very aware of local politics and this had passed me by completely so absolutely object to this.</p>	Object
GPC729	<p>Greasley Parish Council is an effective body and should remain so. This is an appalling proposal which is totally destructive. In my view Eastwood and Kimberley will be looking after their own interests and will leave Greasley in the sidelines.</p> <p>If this goes ahead, I fear for the future of Greasley.</p>	Object
GPC73		Object
GPC730	I think the proposed changes would be a huge mistake and impact negatively on local services.	Object
GPC731	Small parishes realise the needs of their communities & people. Larger ones mean people are lost & not looked after properly.	Object
GPC732		Object
GPC733		Object
GPC734	I live in Eastwood and have been a regular user of the Greasley Sports and Community Centre for well over ten years. It is well used and and excellently run but the proposed changes will do no favours to the current members and therefore should strongly be opposed.	Object
GPC735		Object
GPC736	The proposed shifting of boundaries seems to be a purely political manoeuvre with no perceivable benefit to the parishioners of Greasley, indeed, the opposite appears to be the case in that they will face additional expenditure financing the costs associated with a re-branding of the authority. This reorganisation is an unnecessary expense at a time of national austerity. It is difficult to find much in common with the urban life style of town dwellers in Eastwood and parishioners in semi-rural Greasley. Greasley is largely self sufficient in the provision of services and amenities for which parish/town councils are responsible. It provides an impressive range of services for the local community - not least of which is the Sports and Community Centre. Surrounding sports facilities maybe closing but at Greasley the centre is thriving thanks to good management. Such has been the strength of the Parish Council's management that several times over the past decades it has been able to continue providing a full range of services with no increase in the annual council tax. If the suggested alteration goes ahead Greasley stands to gain nothing but lose an awful lot.	Object
GPC737		Object
GPC738	<p>The natural semi-rural community of Greasley is currently well represented by our parish council, many of whom we know personally. This vital vote will be lost in a larger Town Council, where the concerns of a larger urban area are likely to take precedence.</p> <p>The current parish is a natural community. It is semi-rural and identifies as such. The proposed parish is not a natural community, the two areas having a different feel to them and putting Greasley together with Eastwood goes against the preferences of the residents of Greasley.</p> <p>Greasley is easily identifiable as being semi-rural and this identity risks being lost to unwanted green belt development and continued urbanisation.</p> <p>There is a risk of losing valuable local services and facilities such as the leisure centre, not to forget the annual Greasley Gathering, which contributes greatly to the community feeling as a resident of Greasley.</p>	Object
GPC739	<p>I would prefer to see the councils stay as they are because a local i.e parish council is much more interested in the local area.</p> <p>If we were to go into Eastwood and Greasley Town then most of the money and interest would be aimed at Eastwood, at the detriment of Greasley.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council do a good job running the local leisure centre and doing more for the local community e.g local light switch on at Christmas and the Bank Holiday Greasley Gathering, which are always popular.</p>	Object

GPC74	Greasley Parish Council has done a good job in serving and looking after the residents, parks, recreation facilities. While the parish is small, this enables the Parish Council to make decisions effectively. My worry is, once you dissolve an area like Greasley Parish then being engulfed, probably disappearing into a larger area and larger town council. I do not believe this would benefit the residents or area of Greasley Parish, infact I think it would be detrimental and do the opposite	Object
GPC740		Object
GPC741		Object
GPC742	Please don't dissolve a thriving parish for what seems like no logical reason at all	Object
GPC743	Use of services in the area	Object
GPC744		Object
GPC745		Object
GPC746	Should stay as it is.	Object
GPC747	Leave it as it is. It works and we like it.	Object
GPC748	"What would be the advantages of dissolving Greasley Parish? In my opinion; none whatsoever, only disadvantages. It goes against the wishes of the majority of local residents, and I am strongly opposed to this proposal."	Object
GPC749	"IT ISN'T BROKEN... SO IT DOESN'T NEED FIXING! There is NO ADVANTAGE WHATSOEVER to be gained from the dissolution of Greasley Parish. It is NOT what the majority of residents want; and it's about time the Council actually listened to the residents views, instead of totally ignoring them, and riding roughshod over everyone! This proposal is yet another shining example of a completely pointless ""bureaucratic money wasting exercise"" by Broxtowe Borough Council. IT IS A STEP BACKWARDS! IT IS NOT REQUIRED, AND I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO IT!	Object
GPC75		Object
GPC750	"IT ISN'T BROKEN... SO IT DOESN'T NEED FIXING! There is NO ADVANTAGE WHATSOEVER to be gained from the dissolution of Greasley Parish. It is NOT what the majority of residents want; and it's about time the Council actually listened to the residents views, instead of totally ignoring them, and riding roughshod over everyone! This proposal is yet another shining example of a completely pointless ""bureaucratic money wasting exercise"" by Broxtowe Borough Council. I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THIS PROPOSAL! "	Object
GPC751		Object

GPC752	"Greasley is a great community area. We have a great parish hall and sports hall. We have the Greasley castle, farm. My child goes to the 1st Greasley scouts and is really happy there in the smaller community group as he has special needs. "	Object
GPC753	Stop wasting money trying to fix something that isn't broken!	Object
GPC754	We have been in the Parish of Greasley since we moved to this area 55 years ago. Always been highly satisfied with the services provided. Why change something thats not broken. You are taking away local history from the area. PLEASE LEAVE WELL ALONE.	Object
GPC755		Object
GPC756		Object
GPC757	Would this effect Dustbin collection? " " " " Road Sweeping?	Object
GPC758	Greasley Parish Council has served the community for over 100 years and has maintained the natural beauty of the area and ??? the community. I feel that is this was to be dissolved the community would no longer have the chance or voice for local matters and the facilities for the Greasley community would no longer be maintained correctly for the community to be used/enjoyed. Everything that Greasley Parish Council has built up would be torn down to line the pockets of Broxtowe/Eastwood Town Council leaving Greasley Parish Council area with no funding going forward for future generations.	Object
GPC759	The negative impact of the community affected and local services as the new councils will be responsible for larger areas but with less money. Current council know area and community well and therefore best placed to know and deliver their needs/services. This goes against the levelling up agenda and focusing on community/local decision making.	Object
GPC76	Greasley, Moorgreen, Newthorpe etc are still more rural areas than Eastwood Town. The current council adopts improvements which benefit the area and which (such as the Sports Hall) benefit those living in Eastwood too. I do not believe that the proposed change reflects good governance for my area, nor does it reflect the wishes of the residents as expressed at a public meeting. Once a change - such as this is made, our area will be subsumed by Eastwood and lose it's identity. Just encourage the existing councils to work more closely together.	Object
GPC760	Greasley Parish to keep it's own identity	Object
GPC761	We believe it would have a negative impact to abolish Greasley Parish Council	Object
GPC762		Object
GPC763	We are very satisfied with the work that Greasley Parish Council carries out and see no reason or advantage in making changes. We are keen to keep the Sports Centre facilities which unfortunately would go the way of Kimberley. It's important that we all can access the centre and its array of services. If Greasley Parish Council is ended this could mean the end of so many valuable and good services.	Object
GPC764	Devolving to a larger area means local interests will not be a priority	Object
GPC765	I don't want to be involved with Eastwood. Their council is incompetent - have you seen the state of the town?	Object
GPC766	Please leave it as it is, it has served the people over the years, it should be kept for the people, and have a voice for the people of Greasley Parish. Greasley Parish Council should not be dissolved. Thank u	Object
GPC767	My concern is the loss of our green belt area at Moorgreen, I wish to defend our green space for future generations. Many units of Eastwood's extensive high street remain unoccupied or frequently change ownership. Broxtowe should look to put these to good residential use. Shopping habits have change, the retail park at Giltbrook serves most peoples needs and people are increasingly shopping online. Save the green space for future generations and look to re-plan the towns to meet the residential needs of Eastwood.	Object

GPC768		Object
GPC769	At present an area of land in GREASLEY PARISH is Listed as GREEN BELT and is a farmed area. The formation of a TOWN known as EASTWOOD AND GREASLEY could endanger the GREEN BELT AREA LISTING. It could also cause problems with facilities and activities at present organised by GREASLEY PARISH COUNCIL.	Object
GPC77	this place is a very good place for events (etc)	Object
GPC770		Object
GPC771	WHAT IS IN THE NAME? Historic Greasley Parish dates back to 11th Century. Mentioned in the Domesday Book. Parish Council formed in 1894 - 900 years! Old! COMMUNITY SPIRIT - Unique events = unique identity - give it identity - Moorgreen Show as was - Greasley Gathering A parish council is where the church lives Community of faith, action, hope - lets not loose this along with our green belt & support of local groups!	Object
GPC772	I am staggered that the dissolution of Greasley Parish is even being discussed. As almost a lifelong resident of Watnall, I am 77 years old, Greasley is to the very core and heart of where I live. I can hear the bells ringing from outside my house. The abolition of Greasley takes no account of historical ???, of a ??? of belonging to something permanent, of something that brings us together, rather than lives drawn on a map. The suspensions is that the proposal has been drawn up by local representatives for party political short term gains. That should not be a reason for abolishing something that has stood the test of times, and is the very fabric of who we are.	Object
GPC773	Greasley parish does an incredible job both to the land in the area & events. If this falls in to the hand of the Council it will grant building land in the area. Will never be the same again. The Councils have never done a good job looking after what they have now never mind more land that they do not care about or the community just greed & proffit.	Object
GPC774	I'm appalled that a council would pull down a perfectly adequate parish hall to build a hub but can't afford to repair the swimming pool which benefits all the local schools and children. What else do they plan to do!!!?	Object
GPC775		Object
GPC776	"I believe that the dissolution of Greasley Parish will have a detrimental on the area as the community feeling will be lost due to the larger councils having less time and fewer resources to fully serve the people in the Greasley area as well as those they currently serve They will also have fewer resources to look after the facilities in the area properly such as the parks, allotments, trim trail, the Sports and Community Centre, etc. We cannot afford to lose the Sports and Community Centre as it is the only one in the area now that Kimberley Leisure Centre has closed. It also provides many activities for various clubs which cater for all ages not to mention the breast screening unit and monthly blood doning service. It would also jeopardise the free family events currently provided by the Parish Council which add to the community feel of Greasley."	Object
GPC777		Object
GPC778	"Greasley and Watnall have been associated throughout hundreds of years of history and this shouldn't now be separated! Try to maintain a village appeal for a little longer rather than associate it with the town of Kimberley. The changes aren't necessary and appear to me to be waste of time and money. I see no advantages!"	Object
GPC779	Brinsley resident and regular user of facilities in Greasley	Object
GPC78		Object
GPC780	"Proposals of this magnitude should always be decided by the wider public, and not some pen pushers behind a desk. At no point when reading through the proposals of dissolution, have I seen any benefits to myself and the wider community as a whole. Already we have seen the closure of a local leisure centre, and changing to larger parishes could put more vital services at risk, which will be a huge negative on the local community."	Object

GPC781	"I dont want to see the dissolution of the parish. I use parish facilities. They are a voice for our community. If dissolved our voice will be lost."	Object
GPC782	"Greasley Parish Council has carried out its business with the people of Greasley put first,if the proposal gets approved it will be more difficult for the people of Greasley to raise any objections I am perfectly happy with the way the Parish Council conducts its business "	Object
GPC783	I am happy with the current Greasley Parish Council. Merging into a new, bigger parish will have a negative effect in my opinion. Our green belt is the most important issue, I walk daily around my local neighbourhood and feel so lucky to be part of it. The open fields are glorious and I would be devastated if there were ever plans for this to be developed for building. I live just a few doors from Greasley Sports& Community Centre and see the impact, services held there have on local people. If this was ever change, it would be life changing for many people.	Object
GPC784	If it aint broke don't fix it. Greasley is a lovely quite village. I've not confidence in Eastwood Town Council. Theve done nothing for Eastwood is becoming a slum town.	Object
GPC785	I do not think Broxtowe should dissolve Greasley Parish. It provides fitness, well being and community services to a vast number of parish communities and residents. Splitting semi rural Greasley between suburban Eastwood and Kimberley would negatively impact the services provided to residents. Greasley parish is characterised by it's distinct identity and significant green belt areas which the parish council is committed to protecting from development. this is without consent of approval by its residents or councillors. Greasley Parish Council exists to represent our views and priorities. Keep Greasley Council.	Object
GPC786	We feel that Broxtowe Borough Council are using this as an excuse to take money away from this area to be used for their own purposes but will be detrimental to this parish and to back Kimberley and Watnall.	Object
GPC787		Object
GPC788	Leave it has it is. Too many things changing, why change if it works.	Object
GPC789	I strongly agree with KEEPING Greasley Parish - without any changes. Greasley is a historic parish 1894 and should remain so. Once it goes it goes for good. I believe that the only way to keep GREENBELT is to stay with Greasley - the unchanged version.	Object
GPC79	Broxtowe Borough Council suggest their proposals are for the "better governance" of the Greasley area. However, Eastwood & Kimberley Urban Parishes fail in so many ways currently to govern well their existing parishes, therefore adding more responsibilities to each of these will inevitably impact the residents of both Greasley - a rural parish - and those currently in each of the urban town parishes. The current facilities in Greasley - of note historic, protected properties and the Greasley Sports Centre - will undoubtedly fall into disrepair, again impacting all the local communities. We know Bloor Homes have purchased an area of green belt within the Parish of Greasley & planning to erect 200 homes on that land were rejected last year. Bloor are on record as suggesting if the land was urban instead of rural, likelihood is the plans would be approved. Putting 2 and 2 together seems Bloor Homes have been persuasive.	Object
GPC790	Broxtowe Council had all this change of boundary planned - all the response they asked for earlier in the year was just a show, it was a foregone conclusion. Let the plebs have their say and they will think they are participating - rubbish. So, if we do not keep the original boundary or Greasley Parish- it will then make is so easy for the new "Eastwood/Greasley" Parish under BBC to turn any area they wish into non-Greenbelt land. I can see on the map that the fields I mentioned earlier this year show the disused railway line and this are is owned by Bloor Homes - oh so easy for BBC - all in place ready, never mind the flood risks and all the changes to the infrastructure - we only hoped Greasley would keep the original boundary, not Lawrence's "The country of my heart" but "the country of houses", poor Lawrence would turn in his grave - if he had one and not floating round the sea. Better tell the walkers, runners, joggers, horse riders, dog walkers, cyclists - they won't have long to enjoy their little bit of rurality! Also, what about the wild life, badgers (protected), bats (protected), Little Owls, toads - not sure if they last two are protected. So disappointing if BBC get their way - usually the "bigger boys" win - it will be the loss of an ancient parish - no turning back when this happens.	Object

GPC791- GPC792	<p>I am writing to strongly object to proposals for merger of local parish areas to form the creation of a new Eastwood and Greasley Town Council.</p> <p>As a resident of Newthorpe for over 30 years, I am opposed to any form of plans to reduce community services at grass roots level and for services to be managed by an over-arching Town Council, which covers a much wider area.</p> <p>Budgets for parishes are intended to maintain local services to high standards and bring about a sense of pride in communities.</p> <p>Parish Councillors, their Clerk and staff, know their community well and have the very best interests of residents at heart and provide skills to deliver real benefits to the community they serve.</p> <p>Parish Councillors have a closer connection with local residents and focus more on what's important to them and have a clearer idea of what services the Precept can deliver.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council has worked hard to maintain a good relationship with their local community and it would be sensible for this to continue well into the future. The views and concerns of residents are listened to and this is vitally important.</p> <p>To dissolve the parish of Greasley would have a detrimental impact on services for this area and serve no real purpose.</p> <p>Local people take pride in making their community the best it can be and this can be overlooked when working at Town Council level where politics can also take centre stage.</p> <p>Parish Councils are the First tier of Local Government and are an essential part of the structure of local democracy. They should not be discarded, but protected.</p> <p>Broxtowe Borough Council is not showing democracy at work on this matter and is wanting to absorb essential parish services without adequate consultation with those affected.</p> <p>This matter alone vindicates just how IMPORTANT Greasley Parish Council is to the local community because without their publicity on this matter, I would certainly not have known about it.</p> <p>If it is the intention of Broxtowe Borough Council to agree to permanently dissolve any Parish Council in its area, it indicates a lack of knowledge and understanding of the benefits and services they provide to support the needs of local people.</p>	Object
GPC793	<p>It would be a great loss to this area of Nottinghamshire to lose Greasley Parish. Greasley is a name of great historic importance to the region. The village is now near non-existent, but we still have the historic remains of both an abbey and a castle and also the seat of the historic Barber family. The name Greasley needs to be celebrated more, not reduced in importance by removing its Parish Council.</p>	Object
GPC794	<p>If it "ain't" broke - Don't fix it!</p> <p>Thank you for hard work & effort</p>	Object
GPC795	<p>I feel that parishes should be recognized as individual plots and not put into a big pool with no separation in each area which means people have a say when they don't live in that catchment.</p>	Object
GPC796	<p>Why change something that's not broken</p>	Object
GPC797		Object
GPC798		Object

GPC799	"My experience of centralising local authority departments tells me that it does not work... All the outer lying areas are last on the list to considered ... The present arrangement works very well and does not need altering."	Object
GPC8	Greasley parish Council have built and maintain a wonderful local community full of all the things the residents want, need and enjoy. We do not wish to see any changes made to the running of local facilities. The changes proposed put the things that have been and continue to be done amazingly well at risk. The hubs of our community must continue to be run by Greasley Parish Council who we know and trust.	Object
GPC80	I do not agree with the proposal Greasley Parish reflects and represents the community. Greasley is a real community and would lose that should we become part of Eastwood. This identity would be lost. The Parish Council provide our community with various events throughout the year, which are fully supported. It is my concern that these events will be lost to us. I believe that this proposal is purely for financial reasons and not about people!	Object
GPC800	The sports and Community Centre is vital to many in the area, there is no gym within walking distance if this is to be dissolved.	Object
GPC801	Complete waste of time and money.	Object
GPC802	As the old adage goes "If it ain't broke, don't fix it". It strikes me that G.P.C has successfully looked after this parish over many years and now seems destined to be swallowed up by a larger (and not necessarily better) authority thereby losing both identity and purpose.	Object
GPC803	Its not broken, so does not not need changing. Broxtowe need to get there house in order before taking on more. e.g pot holes Public toilet closed on Monday, sign on walk says open. This is all a waste of time and money.	Object
GPC804	Loss of invaluable services, such as the Greasley Sports & Community Centre & the social clubs for the elderly would be a great loss to the LOCAL community. Greasley Parish represents the district & recognisable community in which I live.	Object
GPC805	It is imperative that the identity, character, green spaces, historical significance & services of Greasley Parish are kept safe & well maintained for the future for all the residents in & around Greasley.	Object
GPC806	Parish Councils are the first point of contact for local residents to voice their concerns and request action for local issues. Members of the Parish Council are normally residents of that area and have a great amount of knowledge in matter that arise and need dealing with. If our option is lose the Parish Council & become reliant on Eastwood Town Council the Greasley Parish will be a forgotten Parish. The local residents of Greasley and their voices, sadly, will not be heard or acknowledged and that it a very, very sad & serious matter. Members of the Parish Council are volunteers who give their time freely in order to serve its residents. If the Parish Council is dissolved then this community will sadly suffer as a consequence.	Object
GPC807	I can't see any benefit from the new proposals. The current arrangements serve the community well ensuring all services are catered for. This would not happen under the new proposals. Rural communities would be put at the back of the queue!	Object
GPC808		Object
GPC809	This will negatively impact the services provided to semi rural residents. Greasley Parish Council has our best interests at heart, protecting the history of the area & our green belt areas. This is the first we have heard of this too.	Object
GPC81	Do not wish to be linked with Eastwood, as it is very neglected. Empty premises, too many charity shops, bookies, vaping shops, do not use the town anymore.	Object

GPC810		Object
GPC811	It appears we are being bullied into accepting these proposals which won't be to our benefit. If its not broken don't mend it	Object
GPC812		Object
GPC813		Object
GPC814	I agree with the above statements. Leave well alone.	Object
GPC815		Object
GPC816	I am strongly opposed to these changes. Why change something that isn't broken. We are a very ancient parish and we do not want to lose said identity and be swallowed up by Eastwood. What are we going to gain from this? I ??? many people feel the same as I do and hope you will take this into account when making your decision. We also have an excellent sports facility and ??? school and people feel a sense of belonging in this community.	Object
GPC817	The bigger the area a parish or town covers the smaller the chance that it's residents will have their voices heard on specific matters that affect certain groups of residents but not others. This is why I'm not in favour of dissolving Greasley Parish Council. I am a Kimberley resident.	Object
GPC818	I can see no advantage to be gained by moving the boundaries and linking Greasley to Eastwood. I envisage more admin at the council and reorganisation which will presumably cost money. As a council tax payer i shall be paying towards an unnecessary change.	Object
GPC819	They deserve their own parish.	Object
GPC82	Local Greasley matters are best dealt with by our local officials. Greasley has its own identity and I do not not believe our interests would be best served by councillors of Eastwood or Kimberley Greasley Parish Council has been very effective in fighting ill conceived building projects on our greenbelt	Object
GPC820	Should this go ahead we feel would only have a detrimental affect. Cannot see any benefit to people of Greasley who are proud to be resident of this parish of Greasley and even our history as a parish is being taken away from us when we have strived so hard to maintain it and its strong sense of community all of which is too easily condemned and take away when we should be doing our utmost to support our community within the Parish.	Object
GPC821	Greasley Sports/Community Centre needs to be safeguarded. It works, is very much part of its community and should not be trusted to the Kimberley/Broxtowe group who let Kimberley Leisure Centre be ripped from the people who used it. Greasley Parish is special because it covers such a rural area, is small and quite unique. We have just been parachuted into a new Parliamentary constituency with no say in the matter. Please leave us something that is familiar, works and has a place in our lives!	Object
GPC822		Object
GPC823		Object
GPC824	Our concern is that Eastwood Council won't protect the Green Belt and will build houses on it. Greasley have serves us well for almost 40 years and we are quite happy to stay with them.	Object
GPC825	We need to realise the importance of our views that Greasley Parish Council represent.	Object

GPC826	<p>At the present time Greasley is in the main a rural community with a "village" feel about it. Sadly, with more houses being built and name properties, especially in the Moorgreen area, being extended and altered the rural feeling is slowly being eroded. This will after complete by if the new proposals are put into practice.</p> <p>Greasley has its services and amenities including parks, rural walks, community centre etc but will these be enough or will they be extended or have more built up areas to accommodate the extra people? This will ruin the whole 'feel' of the area which will impact on people who chose to live here because of its rural location.</p>	Object
GPC827	<p>No, no, no. I was born & brought up in the parish of Greasley, christened, married & will be buried there. It is indeed the 'Country of my heart'. Please let it remain so, such a beautiful area. Please, please, please.</p>	Object
GPC828		Object
GPC829	<p>I am against the decision of Broxtowe council decision to dissolve Greasley Parish Council.</p>	Object
GPC83		Object
GPC830		Object
GPC831	<p>"I do not feel this proposal is beneficial to me as a Greasley resident as my voice and interests will be lost in the new larger parish council. Please provide answers to the following questions: I cannot find anything information about how many Councillors will represent Greasley residents etc. Why? How will the new precept be calculated? How will the new parish council be governed and held to account and by who? What assurances can you provide that the interests and concerns of Greasley residents will be heard and addressed? Please reply to (contact details provided). Thank you "</p>	Object
GPC832	<p>I use the greasley sports and community centre most days and have been doing for the past 4 years when I moved into the area except when COVID restrictions prevented .The centre was one of the factors for me moving here as my health and wellbeing is very important to me to help with my mental health I feel the centre caters for all ages and abilities who all come together under one roof .Any threat to this would have a massive impact on a lot of lifes young and old I feel greasley parish council has it's peoples interests at it's heart and breaking it up will destroy that sense of community</p>	Object
GPC834	<p>I feel that Newthorpe will be 'lost' if part of Eastwood Parish Council and whilst I consider Parish Councils per se to be a complete waste of money, I would prefer one that serves the interests of the entire local community. At the moment I pay a precept for the Parish Community hall and gym on Dovecote Road and consider this should be self-financing as many users are not paying for the facilities and many people in the Parish who do not use it are paying.</p>	Object
GPC835	<p>"1. The dissolution of Greasley Parish Council is being imposed without consent or approval of the impacted residents - in a modern democracy where residents are paying (via local/central taxation) for the services provided, this approach is wholly unfair. 2. Greasley PC is characterised by its distinct identity and significant green belt areas, which it is committed to protecting. This commitment and focus is unlikely to prevail in a larger suburban council. 3. The services provided to Greasley PC residents (old and young) will undoubtedly slip away without a local council to fight for community sports, health and social clubs and groups."</p>	Object

GPC838	<p>Why change something that is working. Greasley will lose its identity is the proposed merger with Eastwood takes place to make Eastwood and Greasley as a combined area.</p> <p>I feel this is partly a political exercise by Broxtowe to break up Greasley and force them into a partnership. Greasley currently provided many facilities within the parish, including childrens play grounds and the Barber Sports Hall. I believe part of this is to aid Eastwood to take over the Barber Sports Hall at they provide no such facilities in their area.</p> <p>From what I understand, several members of Broxtowe Council, who want this change, are also members of Eastwood Town Council. Not an unbiased decision. I also suspect Eastwood want to use some land in Greasley for future building projects.</p>	Object
GPC839	<p>Having moved into the area some 15 years ago we are happy to be part of a semi rural area. Now by creating the new proposed mergers, both Greasley and Watnall will become part of small towns, i.e Eastwood and Kimberley, losing their independent status.</p> <p>It makes me think by moving to the new proposed community governance will give Eastwood and Kimberley more room for expansion. Losing out villages seems to be a common trait throughout and not good for anyones wellbeing.</p>	Object
GPC84	<p>Greasley Sports & Community with the Parish Hall provides an excellent venue for many varied local community groups. Some of these are supported by grants from the Parish council, one of which is a community event in which we, personally, are heavily involved. The facilities throughout the centre have been improved to a very high standard by the Parish Council. This support could be lost should the council be dissolved, due to boundary changes, together with links and representation in the wider area.</p> <p>The existing council is sensitive to issues within the immediate area of the Parish, rather than generalities over wider boundaries. Areas of green belt could be under threat if control is transferred from Greasley to Eastwood.</p> <p>We need to protect and keep our identity and rural nature in Lawrence country.</p>	Object
GPC840	<p>Greasley Council provide everything from Heathcare, mental and physical activities such as sports, children, open days and much, much more. I say NO, NO Leave us alone.</p>	Object
GPC841	<p>Having lived in the parish of Greasley for the last 40 years it is nice to have our own identity. I fear that id the proposal goes ahead the local things, the amenities and events will be lost.</p> <p>I am an allotment holder and have made use of many of the sports and community centre facilities over the years. It is nice living in a small community and knowing the local parish councillors personally. The staff in the parish office are very approachable. We are surrounded by farm land and to keep the green belt is very important to keep our local identity. I fear that if the proposal goes ahead, Greasley will be lost and its identity lost forever. Bigger is not always better.</p>	Object
GPC842	<p>"The Greasley parish councils play a crucial role in representing the interests of rural communities that might otherwise be overlooked and dissolving the Greasley parish council will be detrimental to this.</p> <p>The Greasley parish council is working effectively and efficiently, addressing local issues without the need for costly restructuring.</p> <p>The Greasley sports and community centre provides vital social, mental and physical services that brings benefits to residents in and around Greasley.</p> <p>"</p>	Object

GPC843	<p>"The Greasley parish councils play a crucial role in representing the interests of rural communities that might otherwise be overlooked and dissolving the Greasley parish council will be detrimental to this. The Greasley parish council is working effectively and efficiently, addressing local issues without the need for costly restructuring.</p> <p>The proposed dissolution would cause significant disruption and upheaval, which is particularly undesirable during challenging economic times and I would question whether the claimed benefits of dissolution would actually materialise and if they outweigh the costs and risks involved.</p> <p>The Greasley sports and community centre provides vital social, mental and physical services that brings benefits to residents in and around Greasley. "</p>	Object
GPC844	<p>Watnall is semi-rural and Greasley Parish serves us well in recognising the problems we face. The services they provide are of high standard and need to continue to be locally managed to represent those in this parish. I strongly object to this proposal. Kimberley is now a town and does not encompass many of the problems of a rural area in the same way.</p>	Object
GPC845	<p>Parishes should be based on natural communities and reflect the preferences of the people</p>	Object
GPC846		Object
GPC847	<p>I am concerned that the important function off Greasley Sports and Leisure will be lost if these changes happen. I have these concerns due to the failure of Broxtowe Borough Council aided and abbetted by County Councillors and local members of Parliament to end leisure provision in Kimberley which was a disgrace.</p>	Object
GPC848	<p>Are Eastwood and Broxtowe Councils after Greasley green belt for building?</p> <p>Will our rates and addresses change again?</p> <p>Why cannot we stay as we are?</p>	Object
GPC849		Object
GPC85	<p>Born in Eastwood we have seen the decline of a once prosperous town under consecutive labour councils, a missed opportunity to build on D. H. Lawrence so we don't want them taking what's left of greasley</p>	Object
GPC850	<p>We strongly disagree with the proposals to split Greasley Parish and merge it with more urban areas. Greasley is an essentially rural area characterised by open, green fields and woods. This area is greatly prized by those in the parish. It is difficult to see how the need of this area could be met within a 'mixed' parish with an urban 'town' council, especially Eastwood.</p>	Object
GPC851	<p>The existing Parish Council is personal and caring for it's residents. I can see no benefit in becoming absorbed into a larger and potentially impersonal authority. This is nothing wrong with historical church parishes. I believe this move if it goes ahead to be asset stripping</p>	Object
GPC852	<p>Possible loss of the Greasley Gathering & Christmas Cracker events. Loss personal service to the public (residents) Loss of Green Belt due to new governments policy Greasley Parish Council have been very protective of the green belt Loss of support for local groups who use the sports centre free of charge & are granted money to help with training costs.</p>	Object
GPC853	<p>I have lived in Greasley for over 60 years and have no wish to live in Eastwood. It would be far better for Broxtowe to do away with Eastwood, which is scruffy and run down with most of the buildings past their sell by date.</p>	Object
GPC854	<p>It is seen by those in power to be progression, or tell the truth, make things 'easier' for those people. And in doing this removes the identity, heritage & individuality of ancient communities and the history and traditions therein. It would be a travesty especially for the older generations who pass on those values and traditions.</p>	Object

GPC855	Everything seems to work ok as it is. So why change? Not doubt it will cost money!	Object
GPC856		Object
GPC857	<p>Why do authorities want to destroy local communities?</p> <p>The proposed changes do not have the best interests of our local community in mind</p> <p>Larger town councils will not represent a smaller community.</p> <p>"If it isn't broke - don't (fix) it"??</p> <p>Please leave us alone & concentrate on the real matters affecting local communities.</p> <p>Greasley volunteers will lose their desire to serve.</p>	Object
GPC858	I would sooner it stay as Greasley Parish Council, than join Kimberley Council as I think Kimberley Council will only look after Kimberley Town and leave the rest of Watnall and Greasley to be run down.	Object
GPC859	Leave us alone. People have screwed up Nottingham City/County. Most of them couldn't find Greasley/Giltbrook with a map!	Object
GPC86		Object
GPC860		Object
GPC861	<p>I believe all people involved in this ludicrous venture should step aside to let a special panel of local residents to take a more intelligent view of destroying the historical culture & importance of the beautiful area of Greasley.</p> <p>It is so important to have a meeting for everyone to comment on the situation.</p>	Object
GPC862	Use the money and time to better the areas. Leave Greasley Parish as is. What a waste! If it's not broken don't try to mend it!	Object
GPC863	<p>I strongly disagree that the proposed Greasley & Broxtowe Borough Council should change.</p> <p>Smaller representations give a better personal service for all inhabitants such as men, women, children and help solve problems on and when they arise.</p>	Object
GPC864	I agree with all the points mentioned above, I have lived in Greasley 7 years and found that the council have always done there best to address any concerns that I have had in the past, I just hope that it says that way, old saying: don't try to fix something that is not BROKEN	Object
GPC865	<p>My property will be directly impacted by this move. I totally disagree with the proposal, I think this will have a negative impact on the community. I use the gym at Greasley Sports Centre and I don't want this to be at risk. Also my family visits the centre for various events throughout the year.</p> <p>Additionally, this Council helps with various clubs, events and community projects. I believe if this was to dissolve the Council it would be detrimental to our community.</p>	Object
GPC866	<p>Please things as they are.</p> <p>Eastwood is a famous town regarding DHLawrence.</p> <p>Lots of people visit from far away. It's going to be sad.</p> <p>Please don't go ahead with the change. No!! No!!</p>	Object
GPC867		Object
GPC868		Object
GPC869		Object
GPC87	<p>It's not right, what good will come of it</p> <p>our history, leave Greasley Parish alone</p>	Object
GPC871	Greasley Parish Council is well run on its own it doesn't need an extension to run Eastwood	Object

GPC872	<p>I live just down the Road in Newthorpe</p> <p>The Greasley Councillors do an amazing job organising events for the public. It's a great community. Greasley Parish has been here for decades.</p> <p>"Why fix something that isn't broken."</p> <p>Let it carry on for decades to come.</p>	Object
GPC873		Object
GPC874		Object
GPC875	<p>I think we would end up losing our green belt for even further development.</p> <p>With the leisure centre in Kimberley no longer having as many amenities for the General Public I also fear for the future of Greasley Centre if under a different set of councillors</p>	Object
GPC876		Object
GPC877	<p>Prefer to keep things as local as possible</p> <p>Broxtowe Council (based in Beeston!!) sometimes ignores Eastwood facilities ~ we feel the 'Cinderella' of the Borough</p>	Object
GPC878	<p>As the saying goes 'if it ain't broke, don't fix it.'</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council isn't broken. It NEEDS to be left as it is. The members of the council have worked hard to create a GOOD parish council. Residents need to be LISTENED to. The parish council are people from the area. They know what is needed. They cater for THIS community. They know its needs. There doesn't NEED to be a change.</p>	Object
GPC879	Concerned regarding Green Belt Land and Building new Home's everywhere	Object
GPC88	This has been part of my community for many years my parents & grandparents & great great grandparents were and lived locally married, had there families here, worked & lived in local area of greasley and beauvale The lands date back to norman times, & I feel part of my health & home [???] will fall, as one love lost, so many [???] but woods & [???] our time. The [???] in this area has been famil & protected & our church St Marys has been the backbone of the area since medieval times. It is not necessary to merge these areas as so much has been lost before.	Object
GPC880	We have lived in Watnall since 1986 when Watnall was known as Watnall Village. My cottage was originally owned by Lord Rolleston. Having lost [???] Hall already I fear that our heritage may be lost if Greasley Parish deleted. I worry that the nearby old Moorgreen show field (since lost) will be allocated to for building affordable homes losing our semi-rural identity. Heavy haulage traffic constantly use Main Road Watnall plus traffic from the Hucknall Old Airodome estate. I fear that semi-rural Watnall [???] suffer in Greasley Parish is lost	Object
GPC881	Leave the Greasley Parish as it is.	Object
GPC882	It feels like the dismissal of the history of the area, parish councils are historical , leave it alone , I'm proud to be apart of a parish council	Object
GPC883	"Save our community and keep our community as Greasley parish."	Object
GPC884	<p>It is wise to leave something alone</p> <p>And to avoid attempting to correct, fix, or improve what is already sufficient.</p> <p>Why fix it if its not broken!</p>	Object
GPC885	We are happy with our parish and don't want to be grouped in with others. Most of the people I have spoken to feel the same	Object

GPC886	<p>Why dissolve something that works well and has worked well for many years</p> <p>We love the rural area of Greasley and do not wish it to be joined to urban areas, which operate differently.</p> <p>The history of Greasley will be lost, as eventually the council will allow planning for houses to be built.</p> <p>And what about D.H.Lawrence who keeps this area alive with his wonderful descriptions of this area and brings people to enjoy his works. Shame on whoever thought this plan up!</p>	Object
GPC887	<p>I believe the proposed changes will immediately affect the way communities see themselves and that residents of all parishes will feel a loss of identity</p> <p>I am also concerned that history and community spirit will disappear we should preserve our heritage, not destroy it. Our differences should be celebrated, not forced into uniformity.</p> <p>For the sake of mental wellness we do not want our rural areas swallowed up into too large urban domains.</p> <p>Keep some separate identities for all to enjoy.</p>	Object
GPC888	<p>I object to the merger. Greasley Parish Council operate an excellent leisure centre used by many organisations and residents in the community.</p> <p>They organise a number of events throughout the year which I understand are always well supported.</p> <p>Eastwood Town Council have very little to offer its residents and it appears this move is simply to take over the assets and resources of a much more active and successful local council</p>	Object
GPC889		Object
GPC89	Have moved to Greasley Parish from Nuthall because we love Greasley and can see reason to change boundaries or [???] Can't see any gain whatsoever. Decisions made will only favour the bigger councils	Object
GPC890	Please don't let Broxtowe Council run the leisure centre look what a good job they did of Kimberley Leisure Centre!!!	Object
GPC891		Object
GPC892		Object
GPC893	I am fed up of people deciding to change everything. It never does any good but cause lots of unnecessary paperwork. I might be an old "stick in the mud" but a change is NOT always for the better. Usually it's worse.	Object
GPC894	I do not understand why this change is needed!!	Object
GPC895	<p>I do wonder whether our vote will make any difference. I feel the decision has already been made & we are just going through the motions to tick boxes.</p> <p>I raised questions last time & got no response even though all the information stated I would get a reply.</p>	Object
GPC896	We as a parish are very fortunate to be ran so well and therefore to change it would be a travesty. Everything that Greasley Parish provide is of excellent standard. If it is not broke, why try to fix it. It also feels like there is an ulterior motive. I have also witnessed first hand Eastwood parish and do not want to share it.	Object

GPC897	<p>Protection of green spaces & services to the community needs to be protected long-term. The best way for this ongoing protection is for Greasley Parish Council to continue doing what they are doing unhindered & independently from uninterested parties. Dissolvement would destroy the identity of Greasley.</p> <p>'Small is beautiful'. In my lifetime I have seen & witnessed 'small' swallowed up, closed, engulfed or destroyed completely. Every one has been a terrible mistake & negatively impacted all those involved & not involved. These 'mistakes' have cost the community & wildlife enormously, which can never be undone. Please leave G.P.C as it is.</p>	Object
GPC898	<p>Why try and fiddle with the way it is if not broken. We have always been more than satisfied the way Greasley Council has run. I can see NO benefit to the way this has been thought out. What can I say. Please don't let it happen.</p>	Object
GPC899		Object
GPC9	<p>"Will Broxtowe Borough Council stop destroying the communities of this area. First you let Kimberley Leisure Centre close, then you transfer Kimberley voters to 'Nottingham North and Kimberley', now you want to break up the great parish of Greasley.</p> <p>As a Kimberley resident I was so happy to receive such a lovely welcome at the Greasley Parish Hall where I now have to drive to for my exercise classes. Do not take this valuable resource away from us too! "</p>	Object
GPC90	<p>My previous comments seem to have disappeared so no point in offering more</p>	Object
GPC900	<p>Making the council area larger and having more people in the area will reduce the focus on the needs of the Greasley PC residents and inevitably then money spent per head of population in our area. This is just a cost cutting exercise which will have large amounts of money spent in areas which are out of our area with no benefits to us.</p>	Object
GPC901	<p>As a very close neighbour of Greasley Parish Council I have found them easy to approach an any concerns I have had in the past have been swiftly and kindly attended to.</p> <p>To be able to go to the council meeting when needed to is very reassuring especially as we are in our more of an aged population.</p> <p>As we all get older we need to keep in contact with the local community and joining with a larger council just distances the less able.</p> <p>Not sure what benefit we get from the proposal.</p>	Object
GPC902	<p>Greasley Parish has been in existence for well over 120 years. It is a distinct area despite development. Eastwood is a totally distinct and different sociological mix. In my opinion this review is politically driven. Greasley Councillors are accessible and the residents value control over their area.</p> <p>The proposals are once again centralising control when we should be decentralising control not concentrating it toward the centre. The fact Broxtowe have chosen to ignore residents comments in the first phase underlining what I have stated.</p>	Object
GPC903	<p>I ask "what is the benefits the Greasley community gain by dissolving the Greasley Council. I believe Broxtowe Council would spread the already shrinking funds to benefit Eastwood, Kimberley and Nuthall already high behavioural and crime rates the Greasley don't have at the moment.</p> <p>As a avid walker and fitness enthusiast, i take full advantage of the facilities Greasley provide, in the parks open spaces and sports centre which are both maintained and well managed by them and would like to see it long continue to do so.</p> <p>I'm in no doubt the Broxtowe Council would increase the subscription and look to build on the beautiful landscape causing more flooding that we have already personally experienced just to increase more revenue.</p>	Object
GPC904	<p>I see no reason to change what so ever</p>	Object
GPC905		Object

GPC906	We're fully aware that by being transferred to Eastwood Council where they are totally committed to building as many houses as possible oin all sites including greenbelt that this would negatively impact on where we live where Greasley Parish Council have always protected the green belt. This would also move us from a rural community to an urban community and this is something we do not want. All things considered we would much rather stay in Greasley Parish Council.	Object
GPC907	I do not agree with the Councils proposals to dissolve Greasley Parish Council. I do not approve of my property becoming under the Eastwood Town Council Parish, Eastwood Town has been decimated and not well looked after, this only serves as an example of what is to become of Giltbrook and the Green Belt Land around the Moorgreen area. I would be interesting to know if property values would be impacted by this proposal.	Object
GPC909	Do Broxtowe have a mandate to the force the change on us? I would guess that the majority of people do not want this change. As a resident of Giltbrook I have no wish to be 'connected' to Eastwood.	Object
GPC91		Object
GPC910	I wish to stay as we are not keep changing things.	Object
GPC911		Object
GPC912		Object
GPC913	I believe the Parish Council is a part of the local cohesive community and as such Greasley Parish Council does an excellent job as part of our local community. I do not think a joint Kimberley & Watnall Town Council is the right structure to serve our local community and local support will always tend towards the larger populous - i.e. Kimberley. So I believe this proposal removes the local community cohesion and local residents who are currently represented by G.P.C will lose their voice and council advocacy. I think that this will be a nail in the coffin of our local community and our voice will be lost.	Object
GPC914	The larger an organisation is the less contact it has with the people in it.	Object
GPC915	I would like to leave the parishes as they are now. I have lived here for over 50 years and been happy with the area.	Object
GPC916		Object
GPC916		Object
GPC917	Local Councillors for local community	Object
GPC918	Giving in to the authority i.e. Broxtowe Borough Council will result in the loss of all our beautiful countryside if they get their way they will build on the green belt.	Object
GPC919	We do not want to dissolve Greasley parish which may have a direct impact on the green belt fields behind us. Bigger councils means more demand on built properties.	Object
GPC92	The proposal pays little, or no, regard to the distinctive character of Greasley Parish which is a "distinctive community" Greasley Parish is distinctly rural in comparison to Kimberley & Eastwood. There is a strong probability that the inhabitants of this community will be lost / under-represented in a merger with these two predominantly urban areas. The proposal is NOT in line with the views of Greasley Parish residents.	Object
GPC920	I want to know why this change is being proposed. I will be at the meeting to find out. Whatever the explanation I will oppose it.	Object
GPC921	1. Loss of identity to Greasley 2. Possible loss of revenue to the Greasley Sports Hall and Greasley Parish itself.	Object

GPC922		Object
GPC923		Object
GPC924	The Parish Council is there for a good reason - it is best placed to deal with local community matters & to develop & maintain exactly that - a community.	Object
GPC925	Despite being in close proximity to one another, I do not consider that Greasley Parish and Eastwood Town Council have much in common in respect of the types of properties and the population. By merging the council I strongly believe that Greasley will become very much a poor relation to Eastwood and that the quality of service will be considerably poorer.	Object
GPC926	Leave it as it is - reorganisations always cost money.	Object
GPC927	<p>I am a firm believer in not centralising or enlarging Parish Council controls lack ??? ??? but as an advisory team they are without value.</p> <p>They have grass route experience and at a local level tend to get things done, as opposed to a large centralised committee.</p> <p>I used to be a parish councillor back in Somerset so I know how these things work.</p> <p>Hope you all arrive at the right decision.</p>	Object
GPC928	<p>ABSOLUTELY NOT! There is no requirement for this.</p> <p>We are Greasley. Greasley Church, Greasley Parish Hall, Greasley Gathering - All of the history of Greasley!!</p> <p>We are NOT Eastwood.</p> <p>Services will be affected and a sense of belonging and community will be lost.</p> <p>I live in a Parish Council that I am proud of - NOT a town! - and NOT Eastwood.</p>	Object
GPC929	<p>We are an independent Parish & absolutely wish to remain so to protect our beautiful historical area. Merging with Eastwood will not be acceptable at all. I do not live in Eastwood, I live in Greasley.</p> <p>WE ARE GREASLEY! We have a Greasley Parish Hall, we have a Greasley Church, we are independent of Eastwood & there will be no benefit to merging them. We have a community and you should respect that!</p> <p>I feel incredibly passionate about this lazy suggestion or merging.</p>	Object
GPC93	<p>We do not agree with this idiotic change.</p> <p>a waste of money for no reason</p>	Object

GPC930	<p>I have lived in Giltbrook over 50 years and it feels away from the hustle and bustle of city life.</p> <p>I cannot understand why they wish to get rid of Greasley. I feel there's some underlining motive by the powers 'that be' so my vote is to just leave it as it is !and don't mess with it!</p> <p>I don't want joining with Kimberley or Eastwood come to that. The Vale of Greasley is beautiful as D H Lawrence said the country of my heart.</p> <p>There are many other things that you could do constructive - parking and roads for one thing. Encourage shopping both towns, the same applies to Nuthall village.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Object
GPC931	<p>A system that works perfectly does not need changing.</p>	Object
GPC932	<p>Broxtowe Borough Council wasting taxpayers money again "CGR" costing unnecessary large amounts of money to achieve something that will cost more money. Broxtowe officials and most, NOT ALL, local councillors are in it for themselves while local towns and villages are in decline and unsafe. They should put right that is already wrong before wasting money.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Object
GPC933		Object
GPC934	<p>Please leave things as they are!</p> <p>Greasley has been functioning ok as it is, and will do, long into the future!!</p> <p>Just because councils have no money they should just let things be.</p>	Object
GPC935	<p>Our family of four adults and three properties fully support Greasley Parish Councils response.</p> <p>Another showpiece by Broxtowe Borough Councillors demonstrating their total lack of insight of local parishes.</p>	Object
GPC936	<p>We have had "consultation" but so far I have not seen the results. My guess is the majority of Greasley Parish residents are against the dissolution. So what happened to democracy?</p> <p>Stitched up like a kipper again, no doubt.</p>	Object
GPC937	<p>I have already submitted my objections on an earlier and identical form, but would like to reiterate leave Greasley Parish and Parish Council alone.</p> <p>Greasley has its own identity, as do surrounding councils, bigger does not necessarily mean better.</p> <p>Kimberley has lost its leisure centre, so Greasley stepped up providing (it always has) a vital resource just to name one.</p> <p>I vote option 3 - no changes, thank you.</p>	Object
GPC938	<p>Greasley feels like a distinct and easily identifiable community. It never has felt to me as though it is a part of Eastwood either geographically or emotionally. I think that distinctiveness is worth preserving.</p>	Object

GPC939	<p>There are enough services and communities being affected by changes in the area as it is without then having the impact of dissolving Greasley Parish.</p> <p>Greasley Parish Council do a fantastic job of maintaining the local area including parks, allotments, sports centre and the local green area.</p> <p>We all saw what happened to Kimberley Leisure Centre and the impact this has had on the whole community of all ages.</p> <p>We do not want to see this happen to any other services.</p>	Object
GPC94		Object
GPC940	<p>In my opinion Greasley Parish Council should NOT be dissolved.</p> <p>The councillors do a very good job and care for the local community.</p> <p>If it were to be dissolved then we would not received the attention the residents deserve.</p>	Object
GPC941	I'd rather keep the current parish arrangements or allow minor boundary adjustments.	Object
GPC942	Why change our parish council that is doing a very important job for the local community. Passing all the work they do onto a larger council will only dilute the work the PC does at the moment. My fear is that the area will fall into mere disarray, as if parts aren't bad enough already if things are taken over by a larger council. A community needs a local parish council.	Object
GPC943		Object
GPC944		Object
GPC945	Saving our greenbelts and diverse wildlife. Up keep of parks, planting of wild meadows and trees.	Object
GPC946		Object
GPC947	<p>If Greasley Parish Council is dissolved and incorporated into Eastwood Town Council and Kimberley Town apart from losing our autonomy, our views and local requirements and interests will be swallowed up and probably ignored for the ideals and priorities of the larger members of the proposed new parish.</p> <p>I oppose these plans and urge Broxtowe Borough Council to re-think and allow Greasley Parish Council to continue to meet the needs of the residents of Greasley and the surrounding area which it has done so for many years.</p>	Object
GPC948	It is not clear why these changes are proposed. I suspect to cut costs but at the detriment of the people of Greasley.	Object
GPC949	I feel we are better served by local people who know the area and the needs well.	Object
GPC95	As Greasley is mentioned in the Doomsday Book has [??] place in history. Instead of swallowing it up and forgotten should be honoured and be proud of its heritage. Although no village it has a proud part of our area	Object
GPC950	<p>Greasley have managed the affairs of the Greasley community for many years now and kept large area of green belt land. The concern is that Eastwood will no longer want to keep this green belt and want to build yet more houses for which we do not have the infrastructure to accommodate yet more people, schools are not big enough, few doctors and pharmacies closing regularly.</p> <p>Greasley has a historical heritage which we need to keep. For many years they have actively maintained the Sports Hall and arranged community gatherings which would probably lost if contained with Eastwood.</p>	Object
GPC951	I love my little Greasley Parish, it both beautiful and very rural. If it was to change it would effect my property I bought with the future of living in the beautiful village. Please don't change it.	Object
GPC952		Support
GPC953	Should all be one not here & there. Everyone is the same & people should all be as one. No-one is better than anyone else.	Support

GPC954	I would like any new boundary to be the same doe all similar representations such as Town Council, Borough Council and MP	Support
GPC955	As I live in Kimberley and my son in Watnall I'm happy with the change but can't speak for other residents.	Support
GPC956		Support
GPC957	Larkfields has NO CONNECTION with Greasley. MOST in with Nuthall and Kimberley (shopping etc)	Support
GPC958		Support
GPC959	We never see Greasley Parish councillors. When the Coach Drive Estate flooded last October no Greasley Parish councillors came down to the estate to help, apart from Bob Bullock, but 7 Eastwood Town Councillors came down to help on the day and subsequent days. They organised help and support for everyone who was affected by the flooding. No Greasley Parish councillors, apart from Bob Bullock, have been seen on the estate since. When I have spoken to them on other occasions they have tried to blame others and have tried to claim false information to be true. I don't think Greasley Parish councillors care about our estate!	Support
GPC96	I believe that the existing arrangements enable identification of Parishes to be easily made. If additional signage is necessary so be it. My existing Parish reflects the general recognisable community of an older generation that is not troubled by a younger generation and all the noise that that entails as well as their ability to maintain property in Parish areas bring the street scene and area into disrepute	Object
GPC960	If Greasley Parish Council was remotely effective or useful and contributed in the slightest to better the area, this proposal may seem more of a problem. You have yourselves to blame. Hopefully we will see some new ideas, well any ideas, from the new Town Council to really benefit the area and make our tax money worthwhile.	Support
GPC961	I agree in principal bearing in mind you will get more funding covering larger areas and hopefully ALL areas will benefit in their own way. Giltbrook has grown over the past 40 years. I have lived here and certain things have improved but others have been ignored. i.e road and pavement surfaces and hedges on pathways like Giltway where you have to walk on the road due to overgrown hedges.	Mixed
GPC962	I have lived in Greasley since 1961 and paid a considerable amount of money to Greasley Parish Council in rates and I cannot think of a single thing any councillor has done that has benefitted either me or the community. If it saves me any money the sooner it happens the better.	Support
GPC963	Looking at the map it seems sensible for Eastwood and Greasley to be considered as a single entity.	Support
GPC964		Support
GPC965	We seem to have more in common with Kimberley, Watnall & Nuthall than Greasley.	Support
GPC966		Support
GPC967	Kimberley & Watnall Town is nearest and most appropriate for Greasley to be linked to. Better use of local resources, still accountable to local community & its needs. Thank you	Support
GPC968		Support
GPC969		Support
GPC97	I am concerned that Greasley will lose it's identity should the proposal to dissolve it go ahead. Greasley has significant historical interest and should be kept separate from Eastwood	Object
GPC970		Support
GPC971		Support

GPC972		Support
GPC973	Why are you wasting my money - I live in the area. I have not benefitted from any of the things you outlined. It was disappointing when the swimming pool at Kimberley closed down! It would be good to have a proper Town Council in Eastwood serving this area. I think with the new mayor. Broxtowe is a waste of time. If we spent the money wasted on this and all the other tiers of local government on facilities libraries, proper leisure centre (with pool) parks, I have been to Beeston once!	Support
GPC974	On reflection I think I would be better served by being within the boundary of a mixed community with access to greater facilities & services. Apart from Greasley Leisure Centre I'm not sure how helpful Greasley Parish Council is. I live in Watnall. They struggle to get members and they are always falling out.	Support
GPC975		Support
GPC976	The move of Larkfields ?? to Nuthall is to be welcomed.	Support
GPC977		Support
GPC978	"The amount of money the greasley parish council has should be distributed back to the residents. The gym at the community centre should not have any increase of fees no matter what council looks after it."	Support

<p>GPC979- GPC986</p>	<p>"It's always struck me as being crazy that Watnall is part of Greasley Parish and not Kimberley Town.</p> <p>There's no natural border between Kimberley and Watnall. There's a swathe of countryside between Watnall and Greasley. It's an anachronism borne of a time when the communities were tiny hamlets and the parish was ecclesiastical. Its now administrative.</p> <p>The fact that Greasley Parish and Kimberley Town boundaries split Newdigate Road in Kimberley is totally illogical. I can walk into Kimberley in 5 minutes from my house. It would take 40 mins to walk to Greasley sports centre from here.</p> <p>I take issue with your scaremongering leaflet.</p> <p>Headline:</p> <p>'BROXTOWE PLANS TO DISSOLVE GREASLEY PARISH'</p> <p>This heading is extremely misleading The church parish will not be affected. Its the administrative Parish, which you don't make clear.</p> <p>The first column implies that if the parish is dissolved, the facilities supported by the parish precept will disappear. This is disingenuous. No Council tax payer's money will disappear. It will be divided between Kimberley, Nuthall, Brinsley and Eastwood who will simply take on responsibility for local facilities the have gained.</p> <p>How would the new proposed boundaries negatively impact the services provided to residents? Both Eastwood and Kimberley already manage parks, trees and allotments very successfully; this would actually work better with economies of scale and maintenance contracts. The same goes for Greasley Sports Centre. The finding which currently goes to Greasley Parish Council will simply be redirected to Eastwood and Kimberley, allowing them to maintain services.</p> <p>The people in Watnall really benefit from all the work Kimberley Town Council does and financially supports - the annual Picnic in the Park, the events for Remembrance and beacon lighting at the Chapel on the Hill, food festivals, Christmas Market, warm hubs, parks and allotments, support for local sports clubs, scouts and guides, Kimberley Jam, Halloween event for children, the parish Hall (which is going to be rebuilt soon and much improved), the planting and maintenance of the flower beds and War memorial. The people of Watnall actually already access these events and services without paying any precept for them. They live a stones throw away from the town.</p> <p>So, yes, I'm in favour of the change. It makes complete geographical and community sense."</p> <p>"It makes complete sense.</p> <p>Watnall where I live is in Greasley, yet we're a stone's throw from the centre of Kimberley!</p> <p>We already benefit from all the events that Kimberley Town Council put on or support - in the last 2 years this has included Medieval Madness, Christmas Fairs, Children's Halloween Events, Beacon Lighting at the Chapel on the Hill, Annual Remembrance Ceremonies, Food Festivals and lots more. They also support local sports teams which are important for youngsters - football at the Miner's Welfare (Stag) Ground and the Kimberley Cricket Institute).</p> <p>Greasley is miles away and at the edge of Eastwood. It takes me 40 mins to walk there versus 5 mins to walk to Kimberley.</p> <p>I already feel like I'm part of Kimberley. It has always struck me as daft that we're in Greasley Parish! I'd much rather that my council tax local parish/town council precept went to Kimberley because they do so much for our community."</p>	<p>Support</p>
<p>GPC98</p>	<p>Reorganisations always cost money so why do it</p>	<p>Object</p>

GPC980		Support
GPC981		Support
GPC982		Support
GPC983	"Where is Greasley on a map. Im sure the Greasley councillors are capable and can do a good service for the whole of our area. I suspect preventing new house building around Moorgreen is the main purpose of Greasley council. Living in Newthorpe i dont want to see the green fields getting further away although the blocking of footpaths by private individuals has added to my painfull walking experience."	Support
GPC984	<p>"I agree with and support this recommendation to dissolve Greasley Parish. I feel that the parish in its current form does not represent the community that I identify and engage with.</p> <p>The council in its current form covers such a wide geographic area that the communities within it are detached and unrelated. Beyond the Greasley Sports and Community Centre I feel that this council does so little (in terms of adding value to lives) that I would not miss it and I feel that at present this has led to individuals like myself not wanting to put myself forward to represent my community by standing as a councillor and thereby leaving seats uncontested for elections and in many cases, unfilled.</p> <p>I however would seek assurances that Eastwood and Greasley Town council will continue to operate the Greasley Sports and Community Centre in a similar manner to its present state and functions for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>I would also like specific detail to be made available as to the redistribution of the significant reserves currently held by Greasley Parish to residents & taxpayers.</p> <p>I would suggest that a small amount of these reserves (Maybe c.10% or £60,000) be put aside as a specific reserve to ensure the upkeep and continued operation of the Greasley Sports and Community Centre."</p>	Support
GPC985	Whilst I have always felt that there are too many layers of councils and would prefer this level to be removed totally, I think this merger would increase fairness of representation. I often thought that Watnall was the poor cousin within Greasley Parish. It had to financially support a leisure centre that I suspect few in the area used and generally felt removed from being a priorities of the council.	Support
GPC987	I live in Watnall. 3 miles from Greasley parish hall, separated by fields. I can only get to Greasley by car. Watnall is joined to Kimberley and I can walk to Kimberley centre in 8 minutes and use the facilities there. Keeping Watnall in Greasley Parish makes no sense at all.	Support
GPC988	A sensible decision	Support
GPC989	"Here we again, democracy in action. There is never any point in a public consultation. It is always a forgone conclusion determined by others, the wishes of those concerned are never given any credence. Was it Mark Twain who said that "if voting had any meaning, they wouldn't give us the opportunity?" Please can the voting record of the Broxtowe Councillors be made public so we know who to vote out next time."	Object
GPC99	I' m against the destruction of Greasley sport center	Object
GPC990	The main concern for me is ensuring that Greasley Sports Centre continues to provide it's excellent service.	Mixed
GPC991	Why have residents not been consulted on this decision? I want to see the reasons and the evidence. I have lived in Moorgreen since 1981. I do not agree with the decision unless I know the argument.	Unsure
GPC992		Unsure

GPC993	<p>(For the question Do you agree with the proposal, responder states "No choice for us!")</p> <p>As a resident of Coach Drive who watched G.A.S meeting where ██████ stated he would like to keep Coach Drive Estate in Greasley but did not ask for an amendment to cover this & then voted for the option which took us out really left us in limbo.</p> <p>Secondly, there has been little empathy or support for the residents of the 76 homes which were flooded during Storm Babet & many of those residents are still out of their homes. However we have had a letter regarding a potential meeting & update from the Borough Councillor Bob Bullock regarding the flooding.</p> <p>This is the first time we have been asked our opinion.</p> <p>Yes the Sports Centre is a good asset BUT you needed to communicate with residents prior to this as these are the people who pay the precept to upkeep the centre.</p>	Unsure
GPC994	<p>Question 1. Why?</p> <p>Question 2. What are the benefits?</p> <p>Question 3. What is the cost?</p>	Not stated
GPC995	Who cares??	Not stated
GPC996		Not stated
GPC997	Not been in the area long and don't really know what the difference is between a borough or parish council and how the changes would affect me if not at all	Unsure
GPC998	<p>"I appreciate the facilities provided by the Parish Council. They should be maintained and continued. However following the flooding in the Coach Drive I was sorely disappointed that the Parish Councillors did not in my personal experience show any interest in helping their residents. It was mainly Bob Bullock who continues to liase with residents and Broxtowe BC to rectify the aftermath. The Greasley Parish Council have as I understand the situation found it difficult to recruit new members so I feel the Parish council may be operating on a weakened representation stance. Where there is not a full membership dominant views can influence the outcome. Fresh blood is always needed I haven't been made aware of any drive to recruit new members from a cross section of the Greasley area in all the 45 years I have lived here."</p>	Mixed
GPC999	<p>"I live in Kimberley but I am a regular attender at Greasley Gym. I would be very upset if Greasley Gym facilities or the Parish Hall at Greasley Community Centre were closed. It is a very necessary facility particularly now Kimberley Leisure Centre has closed. Many of the people who attended KLC are now attending Greasley Gym including former staff members. It is a very well run gym and the staff are very good. I think that amalgamating Greasley with Eastwood Town may lead to a loss of identity for Greasley. Greasley has a predominantly rural feel to it which may not be understood by Eastwood Town. If it saves money I would be in favour of the proposal. My main concern is that the gym facilities stay open. "</p>	Unsure

Eastwood Town Council response to Broxtowe Borough Council Community Governance Review – Second Consultation Draft Response

Eastwood Town Council welcomes the revised proposals for the stage two consultation of the Community Governance Review. We note that the revised proposals for a combined Eastwood and Greasley Council covering the north of the Borough are broadly similar to our previous submission with a few differences which we will comment on below.

Firstly, we welcome the recommendation that the new Council be named Eastwood and Greasley Town Council as this preserves the historic names and community identity of both parishes within the new Council structure. The communities of Eastwood and Greasley are closely intertwined and the majority of Greasley residents will access services within Eastwood including schools, doctors' surgeries at Newthorpe Medical Practice (in Eastwood Hilltop ward), Eastwood Primary Care at Church Street and Church Walk practices (in Eastwood St Mary's ward), plus shopping within Hilltop and Eastwood Town Centre. We note that Greasley Parish Council provide an important local service to the community with regards to two parks and Greasley Sports and Community Centre which undoubtedly benefit the residents of Eastwood and the wider area too. Eastwood Town Council also provide services and events that benefit the wider local community including contributing towards the cost of the annual playday in August on Coronation Park with a range of activities for local children, providing the Christmas lighting display throughout the Town and contributing towards the cost of the Christmas Lights switch on event which attracts people from across the wider community of Eastwood, Greasley and beyond. Eastwood Town Council also provide two allotment sites at Derby Road and Dovecote Road as Greasley Parish Council provide allotments at Main Street in Newthorpe. A combined Eastwood and Greasley Council would be able to continue providing these important community resources and events but would be able to pool its resources to do more for the wider local community.

Eastwood Town Council notes the proposed warding of the new Council area and the similarity to our initial proposals:

Parish Ward	Number of Councillors (Proposed)	Eastwood Town Council initial proposal
Eastwood Hall	2	3
Eastwood Hilltop	5	5
Eastwood St Mary's	5	5
Greasley Castle	3	6*
Smithurst	4	

*Initially proposed 6 Councillors for Greasley with no sub-warding of Borough ward area

Eastwood Town Council supports these proposed warding arrangements with the exception of only having two Councillors for the proposed Eastwood Hall ward as the area is currently represented by four Councillors on Eastwood Town Council Parish ward and two Councillors on Greasley's Lower Beauvale Parish ward (although we understand only one Parish Councillor stood at the last election). Our proposal to reduce representation in this area to three Councillors already represented a 50% reduction in the number of Councillors, the revised proposals represent a 66% reduction and only leaves one more Parish Councillor for the area than there are Borough Councillors.

In addition, using the April 2023 electorate figures for each of the Eastwood borough wards divided by the number of proposed Parish Councillors gives the following average number of electors per Parish Councillor

Borough Ward	April 2023 Electorate figure	Number of Councillors (Proposed)	Number of electors per Parish Councillor
Eastwood Hall	1947	2	974
Eastwood Hilltop	3827	5	765
Eastwood St Mary's	3611	5	722

We note that in the Community Governance Review Terms of Reference, section 3 Electoral arrangements (page 4) states:-

“In respect of parish wards, the Council will ensure that there would be an equitable ratio of electors to councillors from any proposals”.

The proposed number of electors per Parish Councillor is significantly higher for Eastwood Hall than the other proposed wards. If the number of Parish Councillors for Eastwood Hall ward was increased to three then the following average number of electors per parish Councillor would be $(1947 \div 3) = 649$ which is closer to the average for the other wards. In addition, Brinsley which has a similar population to Eastwood Hall ward but has 13 Councillors works out at an average of $(1823 \div 13) = 140$ electors per Parish Councillor.

We do not therefore believe that two Parish Councillors are sufficient for Eastwood Hall ward and recommend that the proposal is increased to three.

Finally, we note the proposal to sub-ward Greasley Borough ward into two smaller parish wards. Eastwood Town Council supports these proposals but feels that the proposed names of Greasley Castle and Smithurst don't reflect the local communities. The proposed Greasley Castle ward incorporating the GRE1, GRE4 and GRE5 polling districts broadly covers the communities of Newthorpe and Moorgreen so we suggest the parish ward should be called Newthorpe and Moorgreen. The proposed Smithurst ward incorporating GRE2 and GRE3 polling districts broadly covers Giltbrook area so the parish ward should be called Giltbrook.

Therefore our final proposals for the combined Eastwood and Greasley Town Council are:-

Parish Ward	Number of Councillors
Eastwood Hall	3
Eastwood Hilltop	5
Eastwood St Mary's	5
Newthorpe and Moorgreen	3
Giltbrook	3

We urge Broxtowe to adopt these recommendations.

Dated 23rd September 2024 approved copy at Eastwood Town Council meeting 9th September 2024.

To Whom It May Concern,

Re: Response to Community Governance Review Stage 2 Consultation

Greasley Parish Council is deeply concerned by the Borough Council's decision to recommend a proposal that received the least public support during its own consultation process. This decision, the recommendation of Option 1, disregards the clear preferences of our residents and undermines the democratic principles that should control this Community Governance Review (CGR). Greasley Parish Council (GPC) submitted thoughtful and balanced proposals for minor boundary adjustments, aligned with Section 2 of your own terms of reference, which would have allowed all affected parishes to continue functioning effectively. Instead the Borough Council chose to move forward with the proposal to dissolve Greasley Parish, raising concerns among our residents that their voices had not and will not be heard.

Following the first round of consultation in early 2024, GPC received feedback indicating that many residents found your consultation process difficult to engage with. In response, we took steps to facilitate broader participation. We distributed response forms to all residents, created an online consultation form, welcomed handwritten letters and emails, and hosted two in-person events to gather feedback.

As a result, we received input from residents across all parishes affected by the proposals, not just from Greasley. This response reflects the majority of respondents' views, and, from discussions with other local councils, it appears that we have been the only parish to canvass our residents on the second round of consultation. We can therefore confirm that our response accurately represents the feelings of our residents and service users—whether Greasley residents or not—rather than just the opinions of our councillors. We have shared all 1005 responses with you via email and would be happy to provide them in physical copy if more appropriate. It would be helpful to receive confirmation that these have been received and will be distributed in their entirety to all councillors involved in the decision-making process. Any responses which arrive after 1st October 2024 will be sent on or before the closing date of 6th October.

Broxtowe Borough Council's own terms of reference for this Community Governance Review state that "*the views of local residents and the parish councils will be an important consideration where a potential change to a parish boundary is considered.*" We urge you to ensure that all submissions are given equal weight, particularly given that over 950 residents have expressed their opposition to this proposal. These residents will be the most affected by the proposed changes, and should be listened to.

Broxtowe's report to the GAS Committee in May 2024 stated that "*members need to be satisfied that the final draft recommendation will ensure that local governance continues to be effective and convenient and that it reflects the identities and interests of local communities. The recommendations arising from a review should deliver improved community engagement, more cohesive communities, better local democracy, and result in more efficient delivery of local services.*" This Parish Council and residents do not believe these targets will be met should this proposal be approved.

Greasley Parish Council has been a steadfast advocate for our semi-rural community's unique needs and priorities. Kimberley and Eastwood Town Councils have their own priorities reflecting the needs of their communities, which differ vastly from those of Greasley residents. Residents and Greasley Parish Council lack confidence in the effectiveness of the proposed new councils and have strong concerns that this proposal could create one, possibly two, large and unsustainable councils that are unable to effectively represent residents to the standard provided by the current arrangement. The councils may become too large for residents to feel adequately 'heard', reducing the quality of *community engagement*.

Feedback from other parish councils suggests that residents in Watnall supported the plan to merge with Kimberley Town Council and felt little affinity to Greasley. However, responses received by GPC and, in fact, by the Borough Council itself directly contradict this. A significant number of Watnall residents have expressed a clear preference to remain part of Greasley, stating that the proposed changes would leave them feeling "cut off" from their existing community, friends, and neighbours. This sentiment was also reflected in responses to Stage 1 of the consultation included in Broxtowe's own supporting documentation to the GAS Committee. This would not create "*more cohesive communities,*" with fundamental concerns that this change will have the opposite effect.

Similarly, residents of Kimberley, Eastwood, Nuthall and Brinsley who responded to our consultation have expressed that they do not wish for additional areas of Greasley to be incorporated into their parishes. They are concerned that such changes could dilute resources and attention from their already sizable and busy town councils. These residents have clearly stated their preference for the existing arrangements to remain in place, raising significant fears that the potential merged councils will be burdened with too many diverse priorities, services, and areas, resulting in less *efficient local services*. Please see Appendix 1 for the breakdown of responses per area up to 30th September 2024.

In Broxtowe Borough Council's own words, "*it considers that for community governance to be effective, parishes must be viable and have a precept which enables them to effectively promote the well-being of their residents and to contribute to the real provision of services in their areas in an economic and efficient manner.*" The Parish Council owns, manages, and maintains key community assets, including two parks, 36 allotments, and the Greasley Sports and Community Centre (GSCC), which serves as the only council-run hub for fitness, community activities, and healthcare services in North Broxtowe - more vital than ever following the closure of the Kimberley Leisure Centre. The parish also organises and funds all of its own events and is home to vital greenbelt land and open countryside, areas we committed to protecting against inappropriate development. Kimberley Town Council also manages many of its own services, facilities, parks, and allotments, and organises its own events. Eastwood Town Council manages two allotment sites and a building on Nottingham Road. GPC is concerned that the effectiveness of service provision may decline if all these responsibilities are consolidated into two parishes with less experience and expertise in the service's management. Such a change could lead to significantly increased precepts or additional requests for assistance from the Borough Council in managing these services, similar to the current arrangements with Coronation Park. This is a genuine concern that cannot be dismissed without concrete assurances of continued service provision without significant cost increases.

Maintaining the parishes as they are and have been for over 100 years would allow all councils to utilise their specific sets of skills, local knowledge, and processes, that have enabled them to deliver *better local democracy* for the areas they serve. Greasley is currently served by 15 parish council seats. Should this proposal be approved, this representation will be reduced to a minority within two larger councils, risking an entire community's views being neglected. The proposed number of residents per councillor will also be increased significantly.

We also raise serious concerns regarding the processes followed during this review. A comment made in the May 2024 recommendation implies that the Borough Council has not yet considered the "*transfer of Greasley Parish Council staff and transfer of resources.*" This is a significant consideration that should be addressed before any decision on this proposal can be fully assessed. Residents cannot be expected to present their views on a matter that has not been fully reasoned and presented to them. Borough councillors, especially those who serve the respective parish/town councils or with significant interests in the areas being discussed, cannot be expected to make a well-considered decision when significant information is not available to them at the time of discussion.

Your document also states that "*consideration of the precept for the new Parish Council will also need to be considered prior to the 2027/28 financial year.*" Our consultation results indicate that the precept and the resources available to the resulting parishes are of utmost concern to our residents. It is unreasonable to expect

comprehensive arguments to be formulated when residents have significant concerns about the financial stability and resources available to any new parish or town councils. Greasley Parish Council has dedicated many years to sound financial management, ensuring that essential services are protected and sustained, and has confirmed its ongoing efficiency and effectiveness by way of clear internal and external audits which are published to allow transparency to all residents. How can this review assure that these services will continue at their current standard or better when the division of staff, resources, and future financial implications have not yet been fully considered?

Parish and town councils were informed that the process would be delayed due to the general elections on 4th June 2024, and were advised that the consultation period would run from Monday, 15th July to Sunday, 6th October 2024. However, no updates were provided to confirm the opening of the consultation in July, and the relevant page on the Borough Council website was not updated until 6th August 2024. Members of the public were not notified by the Borough Council until letters were sent by post in the first week of August, effectively reducing the consultation period by approximately two weeks. This is a serious breach of the process and your own agreed timeline.

As part of our engagement, we submitted a list of frequently asked questions to the Community Governance Review (CGR) team, seeking answers to share with residents. We also invited residents, Borough Council officers, and councillors to attend two in-person events at Greasley Sports and Community Centre. These sessions were designed as informal gatherings to allow residents to engage directly with councillors and officers, providing an opportunity to seek answers that would enable them to respond meaningfully to the consultation. Although Broxtowe Borough Council staff members were invited to explain the practical execution of the proposed merger and the legal processes involved, the answers received were limited, leaving residents no clearer on the practical outcomes of this proposal.

In light of these concerns, we respectfully urge the Borough Council to carefully consider its decision and fully weigh the perspectives and needs of all affected communities. It is imperative that the final outcome of this review genuinely reflects the interests of the local residents, ensures continued effective governance and is taken having followed all of the legal processes and framework as set down. We ask that the Borough Council honour its commitment to transparency, fairness, and democratic representation by thoroughly evaluating all feedback and considering alternative proposals that better serve the needs and interests of our community.

Greasley Parish Council remains committed to working collaboratively with the Borough Council to achieve an outcome that benefits all.

Appendix 1; Greasley Parish Council Consultation Responses

Please see below the breakdown of GPC Consultation responses as of 01.10.2024. To date, **1005** responses have been received.

The breakdown provided below is indicative only. It represents, to the best of our ability, a tally of all responses with a clearly identified area of origin, either through an address or explicit statements within the document (e.g., "I live in Giltbrook").

Responses with vague references to locations that could not be definitively determined have been excluded. Additionally, many responses did not indicate an area of origin, so the figures below may not accurately reflect the total number of responses from each location.

Total responses in opposition of dissolving Greasley Parish - 956

Unaddressed - 831
Areas of Greasley - 108
Newthorpe/Moorgreen - 47
Giltbrook - 19
Coach Drive area - 13
Watnall - 26
Braemar/Matkins - 4
Other Parishes/Towns - 16
Brinsley - 2
Kimberley - 4
Nuthall - 5
Eastwood - 3
Hucknall - 1
Wollaton - 1

Total responses in favour of dissolving Greasley Parish - 38

Unaddressed - 26
Areas of Greasley - 11
Watnall - 5
Coach Drive area - 1
Giltbrook - 2
Larkfields - 2
Newthorpe - 1
Other Parishes/Towns - 1
Kimberley - 1

Total responses with indeterminate opinions - damaged or undecided - 11

Unaddressed - 6
Areas of Greasley - 4
Watnall - 1
Moorgreen - 1
Coach Drive Area - 2
Other Parishes/Towns - 1
Kimberley - 1